Complete Theory of Simplicial Discrete Informational Spacetime: Towards a Predictive and Testable Theory of Quantum Spacetime.

Karazoupis Miltiadis

Independent Researcher; miltos.karazoupis@gmail.com

Abstract: This paper introduces the Complete Theory of Simplicial Discrete Informational Spacetime. This meticulously constructed and self-contained theoretical framework is designed to address the profound challenges at the intersection of quantum mechanics and gravity. It offers a novel perspective on cosmology and the emergence of spacetime. The framework is rigorously developed and exhaustively defined, proposing a paradigm shift beyond the classical continuum to a fundamentally discrete and informational spacetime. At its core is the concept of simplicial chronotopes, indivisible quanta of spacetime and information, mathematically realized as regular 4-simplices. This work provides a complete and detailed exposition of the theory, from its primitive definitions rooted in Planck-scale quantization to its dynamical laws, emergent phenomena, and testable predictions. Crucially, the framework provides detailed derivations for key parameters, such as the Poisson ratio and spacetime stiffness, grounded in the symmetry and elastic response of the 4-simplex and linked to Planckian energy density and holographic entropy scaling. Through a synergistic combination of Non-commutative Geometry and Quantum Information Theory, the theory addresses the quantum-to-classical transition, singularity avoidance, and the emergence of classical gravity. It offers a mathematically rigorous and physically plausible pathway towards a predictive and testable theory of quantum spacetime and gravity (Karazoupis, 2025).

Keywords: quantum information theory; simplicial spacetime; Simplicial Discrete Informational Spacetime; emergence; quantum gravity; 4D simplicial complex dynamics; classical limit; non-commutative geometry

Introduction: The Informational Discrete Spacetime Framework

This section provides a comprehensive introduction to the Simplex-Focused Informational Discrete Spacetime Theory Framework. It delineates the framework's context within the ongoing quest for a theory of quantum gravity, elucidates the motivations for adopting a discrete and informational approach to spacetime, and specifies the key objectives in developing a predictive and testable theory of quantum spacetime.

Modern physics stands at a critical juncture, marked by the fundamental incompatibility of its two most successful and foundational theories: General Relativity (GR) and Quantum Mechanics (QM). General Relativity, with its elegant description of gravity as the curvature of spacetime, provides an accurate and compelling account of phenomena at macroscopic scales, from the motion of planets to the evolution of the cosmos. Quantum Mechanics, conversely, offers an extraordinarily precise and empirically validated description of the microscopic realm, governing the behavior of atoms, particles, and fundamental interactions. Despite their individual successes, these two theories remain fundamentally irreconcilable, presenting a profound challenge to our understanding of the universe, particularly in regimes where both gravitational and quantum effects are expected to be significant, such as at the Planck scale, within black holes, and in the very early universe. This theoretical impasse necessitates the development of a consistent theory of quantum gravity, capable of unifying these seemingly disparate descriptions of reality. A core tenet of classical General Relativity is the assumption of a smooth, continuous, and differentiable manifold for spacetime. However, the inherent singularities predicted by General Relativity, such as those at the center of black holes and the Big Bang singularity, along with growing theoretical and observational indications, suggest that this assumption may break down at the most fundamental level. The very concept of a spacetime continuum, while remarkably successful at macroscopic scales, may be an approximation, insufficient to capture the true nature of spacetime at the Planck scale, where quantum gravitational effects are expected to dominate. This breakdown of the classical spacetime description hints at a more fundamental, potentially discrete and informational, structure underlying the fabric of reality, prompting a radical reconsideration of the nature of spacetime itself.

In response to these fundamental challenges, this paper introduces the Simplex-Focused Informational Discrete Spacetime Theory Framework. This novel and ambitious theoretical construct proposes a paradigm shift in our understanding of spacetime and gravity. Meticulously detailed and rigorously defined in the subsequent sections, this framework departs radically from the classical paradigm. It posits that spacetime is not a continuous manifold, but is fundamentally discrete and informational at its most basic level. It proposes that spacetime is constituted by indivisible quanta of spacetime and information, termed simplicial chronotopes, which serve as the fundamental building blocks of reality. These chronotopes are envisioned as unified quantum entities, seamlessly integrating spacetime and information, and are mathematically represented as regular 4-simplices, chosen for their geometric simplicity, informational capacity, and mathematical tractability (Karazoupis, 2025).

The choice of regular n-simplices, specifically 4-simplices in this 4-dimensional spacetime framework, as fundamental building blocks is deeply motivated by a confluence of physical and mathematical considerations. Regular n-simplices, as the simplest polytopes in n-dimensions, embody the principle of minimality, making them natural candidates for the most fundamental constituents of spacetime. Their maximal connectivity suggests an optimal structure for efficient information flow and processing, aligning with the informational emphasis of the framework. Crucially, simplicial complexes built from simplices are known to approximate curved manifolds, providing a pathway to recovering General Relativity and its description of gravity as spacetime curvature. Furthermore, the mathematical tractability of simplicial complexes and the established lineage of simplicial approaches to quantum gravity, such as Simplicial Quantum Gravity and Causal Dynamical Triangulations, provide a robust foundation for developing a concrete and predictive theory of quantum spacetime based on simplicial building blocks (Karazoupis, 2025).

Literature Review: Contextualizing the Informational Paradigm

This section provides a detailed literature review, contextualizing the Simplex-Focused Informational Discrete Spacetime Theory Framework within the broader landscape of theoretical physics. It focuses on discrete spacetime approaches to quantum gravity and the expanding informational paradigm in fundamental physics.

The quest for a consistent and empirically viable theory of quantum gravity has spurred the exploration of diverse theoretical approaches. Many of these approaches share a common departure from the classical assumption of a continuous spacetime manifold. These discrete spacetime approaches propose that spacetime, at its most fundamental level, is not a smooth continuum but rather possesses a discrete, possibly granular, structure. This section reviews key foundational approaches to discrete spacetime and quantum gravity, highlighting their core ideas, strengths, and limitations, and contextualizing the Simplex-Focused Framework within this broader landscape.

Causal Set Theory, pioneered by Rafael Sorkin and collaborators (Sorkin, 1990), presents a conceptually elegant and radically discrete approach to quantum gravity. It posits

that spacetime is fundamentally discrete, not merely as a mathematical approximation, but as a genuine ontological feature of reality. This discreteness is not simply about replacing a continuum with a lattice-like structure. Instead, Causal Set Theory proposes that spacetime is fundamentally built from discrete, indivisible elements, often referred to as "atoms of spacetime," that are primarily related by their causal relationships (Dowker, 2018). The mathematical object embodying this idea is the causal set, formally defined as a locally finite partially ordered set. Causal Set Theory prioritizes causality as the foundational structure, aiming to reconstruct spacetime geometry from causal relations. This contrasts with the Simplex-Focused Framework, which prioritizes simplicial geometry as the fundamental structure. While Causal Set Theory offers a conceptually minimalist and causally grounded approach, it faces challenges in recovering the full geometric richness of spacetime from purely causal relations, particularly the "continuum embedding problem," which concerns the embedding of a causal set into a Lorentzian manifold. The Simplex-Focused Framework, with its geometrically richer simplicial building blocks, offers a complementary approach, focusing on the emergence of spacetime geometry from the collective behavior of simplicial chronotopes, leveraging their inherent geometric properties and mathematical tractability (Karazoupis, 2025).

Loop Quantum Gravity (LQG) is another prominent and well-developed approach to quantum gravity that embraces spacetime discreteness, albeit through a different, primarily geometric, route (Ashtekar & Lewandowski, 2004; Rovelli, 2004). Unlike Causal Set Theory's focus on causality, LQG focuses on the quantization of spacetime geometry itself, leading to a picture of spacetime as fundamentally granular and quantized. LQG employs canonical quantization techniques, applying them directly to geometric operators, such as area and volume operators, leading to the remarkable prediction that these geometric operators have discrete spectra. This implies that area and volume are quantized, taking on discrete values, suggesting a granular nature of spacetime at the Planck scale. This granular nature is often visualized through spin networks, graph-like structures considered quantum states of spacetime geometry, with nodes and links representing quantized geometric excitations (Penrose, 1971). While LQG shares the premise of spacetime discreteness and background independence with the Simplex-Focused Framework, LQG's discreteness arises from the quantization of geometric operators. In contrast, the Simplex-Focused Framework posits fundamental discreteness at the level of spacetime constituents themselves, the simplicial chronotopes. LQG's fundamental entities are excitations of quantized geometry represented by spin networks, while the Simplex-Focused Framework's fundamental entities are chronotopes, mathematically represented as regular n-simplices, which are themselves considered the building blocks of spacetime geometry. The Simplex-Focused Framework, by starting with geometrically precise simplices, offers a more direct and geometrically intuitive approach to spacetime discreteness compared to the more abstract spin networks of LQG, while still drawing inspiration from LQG's quantized geometry and background independence (Karazoupis, 2025).

Simplicial Quantum Gravity and Causal Dynamical Triangulations (CDT) represent approaches that are not merely related but fundamentally foundational and directly relevant to the Simplex-Focused Informational Discrete Spacetime Theory Framework (Ambjørn, Jurkiewicz, & Loll, 2000). These approaches directly embrace the discretization of spacetime geometry using simplicial complexes, aligning perfectly with the core principle of chronotopes as regular n-simplices in the Simplex-Focused Framework. Simplicial Quantum Gravity, with its historical roots in Regge Calculus (Regge, 1961), utilizes simplicial complexes to approximate spacetime and discretize General Relativity. CDT, a Lorentzian variant of Simplicial Quantum Gravity, employs the path integral formalism to sum over discrete spacetime histories constructed from Lorentzian simplices, incorporating causality to address acausality issues in earlier Euclidean Dynamical Triangulations (EDT). CDT has shown remarkable progress in recovering a semi-classical spacetime at large scales and exhibiting promising phase transitions, suggesting its potential to dynamically generate a universe with properties resembling our own (Loll, 2019). Simplicial Quantum Gravity and CDT offer a geometrically intuitive and computationally tractable approach to quantum gravity, directly leveraging the inherent properties of simplices. This approach directly resonates and aligns profoundly with the Simplex-Focused Informational Discrete Spacetime Framework's "Chronotope as a Simplex" representation. Indeed, the framework's proposal to consider simplices as geometrically extended chronotopes directly builds upon and extends the core ideas of Simplicial Quantum Gravity and CDT, offering a more physically motivated interpretation of simplices as fundamental informational units (Karazoupis, 2025).

Group Field Theory (GFT) provides a conceptually distinct and mathematically sophisticated approach to quantum gravity, offering a field-theoretic perspective on the fundamental constituents of spacetime (Oriti, 2009). GFT aims to define a quantum field theory whose fundamental excitations are not particles propagating in spacetime, but rather quanta of spacetime itself. This field-theoretic approach contrasts with the geometricallycentric Simplex-Focused Framework, which posits simplicial chronotopes as fundamental, geometrically structured constituents. While GFT draws inspiration from Simplicial Quantum Gravity by utilizing simplices as building blocks, it quantizes spacetime itself as a field, whereas the Simplex-Focused Framework focuses on the collective behavior of geometrically defined simplicial chronotopes to generate emergent spacetime geometry. GFT often utilizes group-theoretic variables to describe the fundamental building blocks of spacetime and interprets these building blocks as quantized simplices, particularly tetrahedra in 4 dimensions (Baez & Dolan, 1998). However, in GFT, these simplices are not merely geometric building blocks assembled to form a discrete spacetime; they are rather quanta of a field, analogous to particles in standard quantum field theory. GFT provides a powerful framework for studying phase transitions and condensation phenomena in spacetime, offering tools to explore how macroscopic spacetime and gravity can emerge from a fundamental, pre-geometric phase, which can be potentially beneficial for understanding spacetime emergence within the Simplex-Focused Framework (Karazoupis, 2025).

The Simplex-Focused Framework is not only grounded in discrete spacetime approaches but also deeply embedded within the expanding informational paradigm in physics, which posits information as a fundamental, perhaps even primordial, constituent of reality.

John Archibald Wheeler's profound and provocative dictum, "It from Bit" (Wheeler, 1990), serves as the philosophical and conceptual cornerstone of the informational paradigm. This concise phrase encapsulates a radical vision: that the very fabric of reality, everything we perceive as "it" - from particles and fields to forces and spacetime itself - ultimately derives its existence and properties from "bits" of information. Wheeler meticulously articulated this vision, arguing that information is not merely a descriptor of physical systems but is primary, with physical reality at its deepest level being fundamentally informational (Wheeler, 1990). This perspective directly challenges the traditional reductionist approach in physics, suggesting that particles, forces, and even spacetime itself are emergent phenomena, arising from the organization and processing of fundamental information. Wheeler's "It from Bit" philosophy has had a profound and lasting impact on theoretical physics, particularly within the quantum gravity community, inspiring numerous research directions that explore the informational foundations of spacetime and quantum mechanics. The Simplex-Focused Informational Discrete Spacetime Theory Framework directly embraces this "It from Bit" perspective, making it a central guiding principle and embodying it in the simplicial chronotope as a simplicial quantum entity of spacetime and information (Karazoupis, 2025).

The Holographic Principle, particularly as realized in the Anti-de Sitter/Conformal Field Theory (AdS/CFT) correspondence, provides compelling theoretical evidence for the fundamental role of information in gravity and spacetime (Maldacena, 1998). The Holographic Principle, initially formulated by 't Hooft (1993) and Susskind (1995), suggests that the information describing a volume of spacetime can be encoded on its boundary, hinting at a dimensional reduction in the fundamental degrees of freedom. The AdS/CFT correspondence provides a concrete and mathematically tractable realization of this principle, demonstrating a duality between gravitational physics in a higher-dimensional spacetime and a non-gravitational quantum field theory living on its lower-dimensional boundary. This correspondence provides strong theoretical support for the idea that information is more fundamental than spacetime itself, and that gravity and spacetime geometry might be emergent phenomena arising from underlying informational degrees of freedom. The Simplex-Focused Framework, particularly its "Holographic Scaling" and "Entanglement-Based Emergence" mechanisms, draws significant inspiration from the Holographic Principle and AdS/CFT correspondence, proposing that spacetime geometry is "built up" from quantum entanglement and information, aligning with the holographic encoding of information on lower-dimensional boundaries (Karazoupis, 2025).

Erik Verlinde's Entropic Gravity proposal further reinforces the informational paradigm by suggesting that gravity itself is not a fundamental force but rather an emergent phenomenon arising from thermodynamic principles and information (Verlinde, 2011). Verlinde's work builds upon earlier insights into black hole thermodynamics and demonstrates that Einstein's field equations can be derived from thermodynamic considerations, specifically from the proportionality of entropy to horizon area. This proposal strengthens the informational paradigm by suggesting that gravity is fundamentally an entropic force, a statistical effect arising from the underlying informational degrees of freedom of spacetime. The Simplex-Focused Spacetime Theory Framework's "Entropic Gravity" mechanism directly incorporates Verlinde's ideas, proposing that gravity emerges as an entropic force driven by the statistical tendency of the simplicial chronotope network to maximize its entropy or information content (Karazoupis, 2025).

The convergence of quantum information theory and spacetime physics has blossomed into a vibrant and rapidly growing interdisciplinary field, exploring various avenues of connection between quantum information concepts and the fundamental nature of spacetime, gravity, and quantum mechanics (Karazoupis, 2025). This interdisciplinary field, encompassing research directions such as quantum entanglement and spacetime geometry, quantum information as a tool for quantum gravity, and informational interpretations of quantum mechanics and spacetime, provides a rich intellectual context for the Simplex-Focused Framework, which actively contributes to this ongoing exploration of the deep and fundamental connections between quantum information and the very fabric of spacetime, with its emphasis on the chronotope as a simplicial quantum entity of spacetime and information (Karazoupis, 2025).

Research Questions

This section reiterates the key research questions and objectives that guide the development and validation of the Simplex-Focused Informational Discrete Spacetime Theory Framework, providing a clear roadmap for future research.

Key Research Questions

Emergence from Simplicial Chronotopes: How do continuous spacetime, geometry, quantum mechanics, and gravity emerge from the collective dynamics and interactions of fundamentally discrete and informational simplicial chronotopes?

Reproduction of Known Physics: Can the framework reproduce the successes of GR and the Standard Model in their respective domains? (Karazoupis, 2025)

Testable Predictions: Does the framework lead to novel, empirically testable predictions differentiating it from existing theories and opening avenues for experimental verification of quantum gravity?

Defining the Simplex-Focused Framework: To rigorously define the Simplex-Focused Informational Discrete Spacetime Theory Framework by clearly articulating its core principles and postulates, justifying the choice of regular n-simplices, and exploring diverse mathematical representations of the chronotope.

Exploring Simplex-Based Emergence Mechanisms: To systematically explore and analyze potential emergence mechanisms for spacetime, geometry, quantum mechanics, and gravity within the simplex-focused framework, focusing on statistical averaging, coarse-graining, network geometry, entanglement, and symmetry principles.

Addressing the Classical Limit: To rigorously address the classical limit of the simplexfocused framework by investigating strategies for recovering classical spacetime and geometry, exploring mechanisms for decoherence and coarse-graining, and demonstrating GR approximation in the appropriate classical limit.

Identifying Future Directions: To outline strategic directions for future research and development of the simplex-focused framework, prioritizing mathematical formalisms, empirical validation, and iterative refinement, and fostering collaboration within the scientific community.

Methodology

The methodology employed in this paper is characterized by a rigorous and selfcontained approach, ensuring mathematical consistency, physical plausibility, and empirical testability throughout the development and exposition of the Simplex-Focused Informational Discrete Spacetime Theory Framework.

The framework strategically prioritizes Non-commutative Geometry (NCG) and Quantum Information Theory Tools (QIT) as primary mathematical formalisms due to their inherent quantum nature and relevance to simplicial spacetime. NCG provides tools for describing quantum simplicial geometry, while QIT offers tools for quantifying information and quantum dynamics (Karazoupis, 2025). Graph Theory (Newman, 2018; Barabási, 2016) and Category Theory (Hatcher, 2002; Raasakka, 2018) are considered valuable supporting tools for specific aspects of the framework, particularly for network analysis and high-level conceptualization.

The paper systematically explores a diverse landscape of emergence mechanisms, drawing inspiration from various areas of physics and complexity science and adapting them to the simplex-based context. These mechanisms are categorized into three main areas:

Emergence of Spacetime and Geometry: Statistical Averaging, Coarse-Graining and Renormalization, Network Geometry and Graph Distances, and Entanglement-Based Emergence are investigated as potential pathways for the emergence of continuous spacetime and geometry from discrete simplicial chronotope networks.

Emergence of Quantum Mechanics: Statistical Mechanics of Chronotope Networks, Quantum Information Theoretic Emergence, Emergent Symmetries and Representations, and Stochastic Dynamics and Noise-Induced Quantization are explored as mechanisms for the emergence of quantum mechanics from simplicial chronotope dynamics and information processing.

Emergence of Gravity: Entropic Gravity, Network-Based Gravity, Quantum Graphity Inspired Gravity, and Modified Emergent Gravity are investigated as potential explanations for the emergence of gravity from simplicial chronotope interactions and network structure.

Addressing the classical limit is a central focus of the methodology, employing a combination of strategies:

Coarse-Graining: Coarse-graining techniques are applied to simplicial geometry to smooth out discreteness at macroscopic scales, demonstrating the emergence of continuous spacetime from the underlying discrete structure (Cardy, 1996) (Karazoupis, 2025).

Decoherence: Decoherence mechanisms, drawing upon Open Quantum Systems Theory, are explored to explain the emergence of deterministic behavior and the suppression of quantum fluctuations at macroscopic scales, addressing the quantum-to-classical transition in simplicial dynamics (Karazoupis, 2025).

GR Approximation: The framework aims to demonstrate that the emergent spacetime geometry and dynamics, obtained through coarse-graining and decoherence, approximate General Relativity in the appropriate classical limit (weak gravity, low velocities), recovering Newtonian gravity and Einstein's field equations as effective descriptions at macroscopic scales (Karazoupis, 2025).

The methodology emphasizes empirical validation as a crucial aspect of the framework's development. Testable predictions are derived for various phenomena, including:

Quantum Spacetime Fluctuations: Spectral density predictions for quantum spacetime fluctuations are derived, aiming for detection in gravitational wave interferometers like LIGO/Virgo/KAGRA.

Angle-Stabilized Materials: Stiffness predictions are made for angle-stabilized nanostructures, such as those with dihedral angles of $\cos\langle \sup \rangle -1\langle \sup \rangle (1/4) \approx 75.5^{\circ}$, potentially testable with materials like boron nitride and graphene (Ebonda03) (Karazoupis, 2025).

Photon Dispersion: Speed corrections for photons are predicted, potentially testable with observations of Gamma-Ray Bursts (GRBs) using instruments like Fermi-LAT.

CMB Anomalies: Predictions for CMB anomalies, such as hemispherical power asymmetry and lensing anomalies, are outlined, potentially testable with data from Planck and SPTpol.

Gravitational Wave Memory: Predictions for stochastic phase shifts and memory jumps in gravitational waves from black hole mergers are presented, potentially observable with advanced detectors like LISA/Virgo/KAGRA and future Einstein Telescope.

These testable predictions are designed to provide concrete avenues for empirical validation and to differentiate the Simplex-Focused Framework from existing theories, guiding future experimental and observational efforts in quantum gravity research (Karazoupis, 2025).

Analysis

Planck-Scale Quantization: Defining the Fundamental Units of Spacetime

The theory posits that spacetime, at its most fundamental level, is not continuous but rather discrete and quantized at the Planck scale. This fundamental quantization is derived from dimensional analysis and is manifested in a set of fundamental Planck units, which serve as the natural units for describing physics at the Planck scale and within the simplicial spacetime framework.

The Planck length ($\ell < sub > P < /sub >$) is defined as the fundamental unit of length in this discrete spacetime theory, derived from dimensional analysis using the reduced Planck constant (\hbar), the gravitational constant (G), and the speed of light in a vacuum (c). The Planck length is mathematically defined as:

 $\ell < sub > P < /sub > = \sqrt{(\hbar G/c < sup > 3 < /sup >)}$

This equation, derived from dimensional analysis of the fundamental constants \hbar , G, and c, establishes the smallest physically meaningful unit of length, representing the scale at which quantum gravitational effects are expected to dominate and spacetime discreteness becomes manifest.

Derivation of Planck Length

The Planck length can be derived by considering the physical dimensions of the fundamental constants \hbar , G, and c.

The reduced Planck constant \hbar has dimensions of [Energy × Time] or [Mass × Length² × Time⁻¹].

The gravitational constant G has dimensions of [Length<sup>3</sup $> \times$ Mass<sup>-1</sup $> \times$ Time⁻²].

The speed of light c has dimensions of [Length \times Time $\langle sup \rangle$ -1 $\langle sup \rangle$].

By combining these constants in a way that results in dimensions of length, we arrive at the Planck length:

 $\ell < sub > P < /sub > = (\hbar < sup > a < /sup > G < sup > b < /sup > c < sup > d < /sup >)$

Equating the dimensions:

[Length] = [Mass^(a-b) × Length^(2a+3b+d) × Time^(-a-2b-d)]

Solving for a, b, and d to match the dimensions of length:

 $a - b = 0 \Longrightarrow a = b$ 2a + 3b + d = 1

 $-a - 2b - d = 0 \Longrightarrow a + 2b + d = 0$

Substituting a = b into the second and third equations:

5b + d = 13b + d = 0 => d = -3b

Substituting d = -3b into 5b + d = 1:

 $5b - 3b = 1 \Longrightarrow 2b = 1 \Longrightarrow b = 1/2$

Therefore:

a = b = 1/2

$$d = -3b = -3/2$$

Substituting these values back into the Planck length equation:

 $\ell < sub > P < /sub > = (\hbar < sup > 1/2 < /sup > G < sup > 1/2 < /sup > c < sup > -3/2 < /sup >) = \sqrt{(\hbar G/c < sup > 3 < /sup >)}$

This dimensional analysis rigorously derives the Planck length from fundamental constants, establishing it as the fundamental unit of length in the theory (Karazoupis, 2025).

Derivation of Planck Time

The Planck time (t_P) is defined as the fundamental unit of time, representing the smallest physically meaningful unit of time and derived from the Planck length (ℓ _P) and the speed of light in a vacuum (c). The Planck time is mathematically defined as:

 $t < sub > P < /sub > = \ell < sub > P < /sub > /c \approx 5.391 \times 10 < sup > .44 < /sup > s$

This equation, calculated using the Planck length and the speed of light, establishes the timescale at which quantum gravitational fluctuations are expected to become significant and spacetime discreteness becomes relevant. The Planck time, approximately $5.391 \times 10 < sup > 44 < / sup > seconds$, represents an incredibly short duration, highlighting the extreme scales at which spacetime quantization is predicted to occur.

Derivation of Planck Time:

The Planck time is directly derived from the Planck length and the speed of light, representing the time it takes for light to traverse the Planck length, the fundamental unit of length.

$$t < sub > P < /sub > = \ell < sub > P < /sub > / c$$

Substituting the expression for Planck length:

 $t \leq sub > P \leq sub > = \sqrt{(\hbar G/c \leq sup > 3 \leq sup >) / c} = \sqrt{(\hbar G/c \leq sup > 5 \leq sup >)}$

This equation directly relates Planck time to Planck length and the speed of light, establishing it as the fundamental unit of time in the theory (Karazoupis, 2025). The numerical value is obtained by substituting the values of \hbar , G, and c:

 $t < sub > P < /sub > \approx 5.391 \times 10 < sup > -44 < /sup > s$

This calculation provides the approximate value of Planck time, highlighting its incredibly short duration and its role as the fundamental unit of time at the Planck scale.

Derivation of Planck Energy

The Planck energy (E_P) is defined as the fundamental unit of energy, representing the energy scale at which quantum gravitational effects are expected to become dominant and derived using the reduced Planck constant (\hbar) and the Planck time (t_P). The Planck energy is mathematically defined as:

 $E < sub > P < /sub > = \hbar/t < sub > P < /sub > \approx 1.956 \times 10 < sup > 9 < /sup > J$

This equation, calculated using the reduced Planck constant and the Planck time, establishes the energy scale at which quantum gravitational phenomena are expected to become significant. The Planck energy, approximately $1.956 \times 10 < sup > 9 < /sup > Joules$, represents an extremely high energy scale, highlighting the extreme conditions under which quantum gravitational effects are predicted to be observable.

Derivation of Planck Energy:

The Planck energy is derived from the fundamental relation between energy and time in quantum mechanics, using the reduced Planck constant and the Planck time.

 $E < sub > P < /sub > = \hbar / t < sub > P < /sub >$

Substituting the expression for Planck time:

 $E < sub > P < /sub > = \hbar / (\sqrt{(\hbar G/c} < sup > 5 < /sup >)) = \sqrt{(\hbar c} < sup > 5 < /sup >/G)$

This equation directly relates Planck energy to the reduced Planck constant, speed of light, and gravitational constant, establishing it as the fundamental unit of energy in the theory. The numerical value is obtained by substituting the values of \hbar , c, and G:

 $E{<\!sub{>}P{<\!/sub{>}}\approx 1.956\times 10{<\!sup{>}9{<\!/sup{>}J}}$

This calculation provides the approximate value of Planck energy, highlighting its incredibly high magnitude and its role as the fundamental unit of energy at the Planck scale.

Derivation of Planck Temperature

The Planck temperature (T_P) is defined as the fundamental unit of temperature, representing the highest physically meaningful temperature and derived from the Planck energy (E_P) and the Boltzmann constant (k). The Planck temperature is mathematically defined as:

 $T < sub > P < /sub > = E < sub > P < /sub > /k \approx 1.417 \times 10 < sup > 32 < /sup > K$

This equation, calculated using the Planck energy and the Boltzmann constant, establishes the temperature scale relevant to the very early universe and black holes, where quantum gravitational effects are expected to play a crucial role. The Planck temperature, approximately $1.417 \times 10 < sup > 32 < / sup > Kelvin$, represents an incredibly high temperature, highlighting the extreme thermal conditions associated with quantum gravity.

Derivation of Planck Temperature:

The Planck temperature is derived from the fundamental relation between energy and temperature in thermodynamics, using the Planck energy and the Boltzmann constant.

T < sub > P < /sub > = E < sub > P < /sub > / k

Substituting the expression for Planck energy:

 $T < sub > P < /sub > = \sqrt{(\hbar c < sup > 5 < /sup > /G) / k}$

This equation directly relates Planck temperature to Planck energy and the Boltzmann constant, establishing it as the fundamental unit of temperature in the theory. The numerical value is obtained by substituting the values of E_P and k:

This calculation provides the approximate value of Planck temperature, highlighting its incredibly high magnitude and its role as the fundamental unit of temperature at the Planck scale.

Quantization Rule and Example

To enforce discreteness at the Planck scale, a fundamental quantization rule is postulated: all physical quantities (Q) are quantized and take on discrete values that are integer multiples of their corresponding Planck counterparts (Q_P). This quantization rule is mathematically expressed as:

$$Q = nQ < sub > P < /sub >, n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$$

where:

Q represents any observable physical quantity.

Q_P represents the Planck-scale unit corresponding to the observable Q. n is a non-negative integer belonging to the set of natural numbers and zero ($\mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$).

This quantization rule signifies that physical quantities are not continuous but rather take on discrete values, quantized in units of their Planck counterparts, enforcing the fundamental discreteness of spacetime and physical quantities at the Planck scale within the Complete Theory of Simplicial Discrete Informational Spacetime (Karazoupis, 2025).

Example:

As a concrete illustration of the quantization rule, consider length (ℓ) and energy (E). According to the quantization rule, a length (ℓ) can be expressed as an integer multiple of the Planck length (ℓ _P), for example, $\ell = 5\ell$ _P, representing a discrete length that is five times the fundamental Planck length unit. Similarly, energy (E) can be expressed as an integer multiple of the Planck energy (E_P), for example, E = 3E_P, representing a discrete energy level that is three times the fundamental Planck energy unit. These examples illustrate the fundamental discreteness of physical quantities as dictated by the quantization rule, highlighting the departure from classical continuum physics and the embrace of a discrete quantum nature of spacetime and physical observables at the Planck scale.

Quantum Simplicial Network: Simplicial Chronotopes and Network Structure

The mathematical structure underpinning the Complete Theory of Simplicial Discrete Informational Spacetime is a quantum simplicial network. This network is constructed from fundamental building blocks – 4-simplices – and imbued with quantum properties.

Gluing Condition: Adjacency in the Simplicial Complex

The Gluing Condition dictates how individual 4-simplices are connected within the simplicial complex, defining the adjacency relations that give rise to the network structure. It states that two simplices, s_i and s_j, are considered "glued" or adjacent if and only if they share a common tetrahedral face. A tetrahedral face, in this context, is a 3-simplex, which in turn is composed of 4 vertices. This condition ensures that the simplices are not arbitrarily connected but form a contiguous and geometrically meaningful structure, mimicking the local connectivity expected in a spacetime manifold. The sharing of a common tetrahedral face establishes the fundamental adjacency relation within the simplicial network, defining how the discrete building blocks are assembled to form a larger, interconnected spacetime structure.

Mathematical Formulation of Gluing Condition

Lets $\langle sub \rangle i \langle sub \rangle =$ $\{v < sub > i1 < /sub >,$ v_{i2}, v_{i3}. v_{i4}, $v < sub > i5 < /sub > \}$ and s_j = {v_{j1}, v_{j2}, v_{j3}, v_{j4}, v_{j5}} be two 4-simplices, where v < sub > ik < /sub > and v < sub > ik < /sub > represent the vertices of each simplex.Simplices s_i and s_j are glued together if and only if there exists a subset of 4 vertices common to both sets of vertices, i.e., if |s < sub > i </sub > j </sub > j </sub > j= 4. This condition mathematically ensures that the intersection of two adjacent simplices is precisely a tetrahedral face, enforcing the Gluing Condition and defining adjacency in the simplicial complex.

Simplex Orientation: Time Direction, Causality, or Internal State?

To enforce causal ordering and imbue the simplicial network with a sense of time and causality, each simplex s_i within the set S is assigned an orientation. This orientation is represented by a discrete value of either +1 or -1. The assignment of orientation is crucial for establishing a causal structure within the discrete spacetime, allowing for the definition of a causal ordering between simplices and potentially influencing the dynamics of the simplicial network. This orientation is not merely a mathematical label but is intended to have physical significance, potentially related to the direction of time flow or causal propagation within the simplicial network, although the precise interpretation of orientation remains an open question for further investigation. The specific rules governing the assignment and interpretation of orientation are further elaborated in the discussion of dynamical laws and emergent phenomena, particularly in relation to causal ordering and time evolution within the simplicial spacetime framework.

Physical Interpretation of Orientation

The physical interpretation of simplex orientation is not explicitly defined in the provided text, leaving it as an open question for further research. However, potential interpretations could include:

Time Direction: Orientation could represent the local direction of time flow within each simplex, with +1 and -1 corresponding to future and past orientations, respectively. This interpretation would directly link orientation to the causal structure of spacetime.

Causal Ordering: Orientation could encode information about causal ordering between simplices, with the relative orientation of adjacent simplices determining their causal relationship. This interpretation would align with the emphasis on causality in discrete spacetime approaches like Causal Set Theory.

Internal Simplex State: Orientation could represent an internal quantum state of the simplex, unrelated to time or causality, but influencing its interactions and dynamics within the simplicial network. This interpretation would allow for a more general and abstract understanding of orientation.

Further research is needed to explore these and other potential interpretations of simplex orientation and to determine its precise physical significance within the Complete Theory of Simplicial Discrete Informational Spacetime.

Combinatorial Properties of 4-Simplices: Edges, Faces, and Cells

Each 4-simplex, as a fundamental building block of simplicial spacetime, possesses specific combinatorial properties that are determined by its nature as a 4-simplex and are crucial for defining its geometric and topological characteristics. These combinatorial properties are purely determined by the number of vertices, edges, faces, and tetrahedral cells that constitute a 4-simplex, and are independent of any metric or geometric embedding.

Edges: Fundamental Connections within Simplices

Each 4-simplex contains $(\langle sup \rangle 5 \langle sup \rangle \langle sub \rangle 2 \langle sub \rangle) = 10$ edges. This number is calculated using binomial coefficients, specifically the combination formula $(\langle sup \rangle n \langle sup \rangle \langle sub \rangle) = n! / (k! * (n-k)!)$, representing the number of ways to choose k elements from a set of n elements without regard to order. In this case,

(⁵₂) represents the number of ways to choose 2 vertices out of the 5 vertices of a 4-simplex to form an edge. In the context of the simplicial network, edges represent fundamental connections or links between vertices within each simplex, defining its internal connectivity and contributing to its geometric structure.

Mathematical Calculation of Edges

Number of edges = $(\langle sup \rangle 5 \langle sub \rangle 2 \langle sub \rangle) = 5! / (2! * (5-2)!) = 5! / (2! * 3!) = (5 * 4 * 3 * 2 * 1) / ((2 * 1) * (3 * 2 * 1)) = (5 * 4) / (2 * 1) = 10$

This calculation demonstrates that each 4-simplex, by virtue of its combinatorial definition, contains precisely 10 edges, a fundamental combinatorial property of its simplicial structure.

Triangular Faces: Bounding Surfaces of Simplices

Each 4-simplex contains $(\langle \sup \rangle 5 \langle \sup \rangle \langle \sup \rangle 3 \langle \sup \rangle \rangle) = 10$ triangular faces. This number is calculated using binomial coefficients, specifically $(\langle \sup \rangle 5 \langle \sup \rangle \langle \sup \rangle 3 \langle \sup \rangle)$, representing the number of ways to choose 3 vertices out of 5 to form a triangular face. Triangular faces represent 2-dimensional surfaces that bound the 4-simplex, defining its surface area and contributing to its geometric properties. These triangular faces play a crucial role in defining the dihedral angles and curvature of the simplicial complex, as well as in the propagation of information and fields across the simplicial network.

Mathematical Calculation of Triangular Faces

Number of triangular faces = $(\langle sup \rangle 5 \langle sup \rangle \langle sub \rangle 3 \langle sub \rangle) = 5! / (3! * (5-3)!) = 5! / (3! * 2!) = (5 * 4 * 3 * 2 * 1) / ((3 * 2 * 1) * (2 * 1)) = (5 * 4) / (2 * 1) = 10$

This calculation demonstrates that each 4-simplex, by virtue of its combinatorial definition, contains precisely 10 triangular faces, another fundamental combinatorial property of its simplicial structure.

Tetrahedral Cells: Volumetric Constituents and Adjacency Definition

Each 4-simplex contains (⁵(sub>4</sub>) = 5 tetrahedral cells. This number is calculated using binomial coefficients, specifically (⁵(sub>4</sub>), representing the number of ways to choose 4 vertices out of 5 to form a tetrahedral cell. Tetrahedral cells, or 3-simplices, represent 3-dimensional volumes within the 4-simplex, defining its volumetric content and playing a crucial role in the Gluing Condition. As tetrahedral faces are shared between adjacent 4-simplices, tetrahedral cells define the adjacency relations between simplices, dictating how they are connected to form the simplicial network.

Mathematical Calculation of Tetrahedral Cells

Number of tetrahedral cells = $(\langle sup \rangle 5 \langle sup \rangle \langle sub \rangle 4 \langle sub \rangle) = 5! / (4! * (5-4)!) = 5! / (4! * 1!) = (5 * 4 * 3 * 2 * 1) / ((4 * 3 * 2 * 1) * 1) = 5 / 1 = 5$

This calculation demonstrates that each 4-simplex, by virtue of its combinatorial definition, contains precisely 5 tetrahedral cells, a fundamental combinatorial property of its simplicial structure and crucial for defining adjacency in the simplicial complex.

Adjacency Matrix: Encoding Network Connectivity

To mathematically represent the adjacency relationships between simplices within the network, an adjacency matrix (A) is defined. The adjacency matrix A is a square matrix of size N x N, where N is the number of simplices in the set S, and encodes the connectivity of the simplicial network based on the Gluing Condition. The matrix elements A < sub>ij </ sub> are defined as:

A_{ij} =

{ 1, if simplices s_i and s_j share a tetrahedron { 0, if simplices s_i and s_j do not share a tetrahedron This definition ensures that the adjacency matrix A is a binary matrix, with entries of 1 indicating adjacency and entries of 0 indicating non-adjacency. The adjacency matrix provides a concise and computationally useful representation of the simplicial network's connectivity, capturing the essential information about how simplices are glued together to form the larger spacetime structure. This matrix representation is crucial for analyzing network properties, defining dynamical rules, and performing numerical simulations of the simplicial spacetime (Karazoupis, 2025).

Hilbert Space: Quantum State Space of the Simplicial Network

The quantum state of the simplicial network, representing the quantum degrees of freedom of simplicial spacetime, is defined within a Hilbert space (H). The Hilbert space for the entire simplicial complex is constructed as the tensor product of Hilbert spaces associated with individual simplices, reflecting the composite nature of spacetime in this discrete framework.

Qubit Space for Individual Simplices

For each simplex s_i, the individual Hilbert space H_i is defined as a qubit space, the simplest quantum system, spanned by two orthonormal basis states, denoted as $|0\rangle$ and $|1\rangle$. These basis states represent the fundamental quantum states of each simplex, encoding its basic quantum degrees of freedom. A general quantum state $|\psi$ _i) for a single simplex s_i can be expressed as a linear superposition of these basis states:

$$|\psi < sub > i < /sub > i < /sub$$

where:

|ψ_i) represents a general quantum state of the i-th simplex s_i, belonging to the Hilbert space H_i.

- α _i and β _i are complex coefficients representing the probability amplitudes for the simplex to be in the basis states $|0\rangle$ and $|1\rangle$, respectively.
- $|0\rangle$ and $|1\rangle$ are the two orthonormal basis states spanning the qubit space H_i, representing distinct quantum states of the simplex.

The complex coefficients $\alpha < sub > i < /sub > and \beta < sub > i < /sub > must satisfy the normalization condition to ensure that |<math>\psi < sub > i < /sub >$ represents a valid quantum state:

 $|\alpha < sub > i < /sub > | < sup > 2 < /sup > + |\beta < sub > i < /sub > | < sup > 2 < /sup > = 1$

This normalization condition ensures that the total probability of finding the simplex in either basis state $|0\rangle$ or $|1\rangle$ is equal to 1, consistent with the probabilistic interpretation of quantum mechanics. The basis states $|0\rangle$ and $|1\rangle$ represent fundamental quantum states of the simplex, potentially related to different geometric or informational configurations of the simplicial building block, although their precise physical interpretation remains open for further investigation. The superposition principle, inherent in quantum mechanics, allows each simplex to exist in a probabilistic combination of these basis states, capturing the quantum nature of the simplicial building blocks of spacetime.

Tensor Product Structure for Simplicial Complex

The Hilbert space for the simplicial complex (H) is mathematically defined as the tensor product of individual Hilbert spaces (H_i) associated with each simplex s_i) in the set S:

$$H = \bigotimes < sup > N < /sup > < sub > i = 1 < /sub > H < sub > i < /sub > i < /s$$

where:

- H represents the total Hilbert space of the simplicial complex, encompassing all possible quantum states of the simplicial network.
- \otimes denotes the tensor product, a mathematical operation that combines Hilbert spaces to create a larger Hilbert space representing the composite system.
- N is the total number of simplices in the simplicial complex S.

H_i represents the individual Hilbert space associated with the i-th simplex s_i, describing its quantum state.

This tensor product structure signifies that the quantum state of the entire simplicial network is built from the quantum states of its constituent simplices, reflecting the composite nature of spacetime in this framework. The total Hilbert space H is exponentially larger than the individual Hilbert spaces H_i, capturing the vastness of the quantum state space for the simplicial complex and allowing for complex quantum phenomena to emerge from the collective behavior of simplices.

Entanglement: Quantum Correlations between Simplices

Entanglement, a key feature of quantum mechanics and a crucial resource for quantum information processing, plays a fundamental role in the simplicial network, particularly in defining quantum correlations between adjacent simplices. For adjacent simplices s_i and s_j, defined by the Gluing Condition (i.e., simplices that share a tetrahedron), entangled states are considered, specifically Bell-like states, to represent quantum correlations between their states.

Bell-like Entangled State for Adjacent Simplices

A Bell-like entangled state $|\Psi < sub > ij < /sub >$ for adjacent simplices s < sub > i < /sub > and s < sub > j < /sub > is mathematically defined as:

 $|\Psi < sub > ij < /sub > \rangle = 1/\sqrt{2} \quad (|1 < sub > i < /sub > j < /sub >) + e < sup > i\phi < /sup > |0 < sub > i < /sub > 1 < sub > j < /sub >)) + e < sub > i < /sub > i < /sub > j < /sub >) + e < sub > i < /sub > i < /s$

where:

|Ψ_{ij}) represents a Bell-like entangled state for adjacent simplices s_i and s_j, belonging to the tensor product Hilbert space H_i ⊗ H_j.

 $1/\sqrt{2}$ is a normalization factor, ensuring that the entangled state is properly normalized.

- |1_i0_j> represents a product state where simplex s_i is in state |1> and simplex s_j is in state |0>.
- |0_i1_j> represents a product state where simplex s_i is in state |0⟩ and simplex s_j is in state |1⟩.
- e^{i ϕ} is a complex phase factor, where ϕ is a geometric phase arising from parallel transport within the simplicial network.

This entangled state represents a quantum correlation between the states of adjacent simplices, signifying that their quantum states are not independent but are linked in a nonclassical manner. The entangled state exhibits superposition and entanglement, key features of quantum mechanics, capturing the quantum correlations between the simplicial building blocks of spacetime. The geometric phase ϕ , arising from parallel transport, introduces a geometric element into the entanglement structure, potentially reflecting the underlying geometry of the simplicial network and linking entanglement to geometric properties of simplicial spacetime. The geometric phase ϕ is further elaborated in "Geometric Phase ϕ ," where its derivation from a U(1) gauge theory on the simplicial network is detailed, providing a deeper understanding of the interplay between geometry and entanglement in the simplicial spacetime framework.

Vertex Stress: Quantifying Geometric Deviation from Regularity

Stress within the simplicial network is defined locally at each vertex (v) of the complex, representing the concentration of geometric distortion or deviation from an idealized, stress-free configuration around that vertex. Vertex stress (σ _v) serves as a measure of local geometric irregularity and potential instability within the simplicial network.

 $\begin{aligned} \sigma &<\!\! sub\!\!>\!\! v <\!\!/ sub\!\!> = & \sum <\!\! sub\!\!>\!\! (e <\!\! sub\!\!>\!\! 1 <\!\!/ sub\!\!>\!\!, e <\!\! sub\!\!>\!\! 2 <\!\!/ sub\!\!>\!\!) \in \!\! edges & at \\ v &<\!\!/ sub\!\!> (\theta <\!\! sub\!\!>\!\! actual <\!\!/ sub\!\!>\!\! (e <\!\! sub\!\!>\!\! 1 <\!\!/ sub\!\!>\!\!, e <\!\! sub\!\!>\!\! 2 <\!\!/ sub\!\!>\!\! - & e <\!\! sub\!\!>\!\! 2 <\!\!/ sub\!\!>\!\!$

where:

- σ _v represents the vertex stress at vertex v, a scalar quantity quantifying the local geometric distortion.
- $\sum \langle sub \rangle (e \langle sub \rangle 1 \langle sub \rangle, e \langle sub \rangle 2 \langle sub \rangle) \in edges at v \langle sub \rangle denotes the summation over all pairs of edges (e \langle sub \rangle 1 \langle sub \rangle, e \langle sub \rangle 2 \langle sub \rangle) that are incident at vertex v, spanning the local neighborhood around the vertex.$
- θ_{actual}(e₁, e₂) represents the actual dihedral angle between the two tetrahedral faces sharing the edge (e₁, e₂) at vertex v in the simplicial network. The dihedral angle measures the angle between two intersecting planes (tetrahedral faces) along a common line (edge), quantifying the local "bending" or "kinkiness" of the simplicial geometry around the edge.
- θ _{ideal} represents the ideal dihedral angle for a regular 4-simplex, a constant value representing the dihedral angle in a perfectly regular and stress-free 4-simplex.

The squared difference (θ _{actual}(e₁, e₂) - θ _{ideal})² quantifies the deviation of the actual dihedral angle from the ideal dihedral angle for each edge incident at vertex v. By summing these squared deviations over all edges incident at vertex v, the vertex stress measure σ _v provides a comprehensive quantification of the local geometric distortion or deviation from regularity at each vertex in the simplicial network. Higher values of vertex stress indicate greater geometric distortion and potentially higher instability at that vertex.

The ideal dihedral angle (θ _{ideal}) serves as a crucial reference point for calculating vertex stress, representing the dihedral angle in a perfectly regular and stress-free 4-simplex. A regular 4-simplex is characterized by maximal symmetry, with all edges of equal length and all dihedral angles being equal to the ideal dihedral angle. The ideal dihedral angle for a regular 4-simplex is mathematically determined to be:

 $\theta \le ub \ge ideal \le ub \ge cos \le up \ge -1 \le (1/4) \approx 75.5^{\circ}$

This constant value, approximately 75.5 degrees, is derived from the geometric properties of a regular 4-simplex and represents the dihedral angle in a perfectly regular and stress-free configuration. It serves as the benchmark against which actual dihedral angles in the simplicial network are compared to quantify vertex stress, with deviations from this ideal value indicating local geometric distortions and stress concentrations (Karazoupis, 2025).

Derivation of Ideal Dihedral Angle

The derivation of the ideal dihedral angle $\theta \le sub \ge ideal \le sub \ge cos \le sup > 1 \le sup \ge (1/4)$ for a regular 4-simplex involves geometric considerations of the 4-simplex and its constituent simplices. While the detailed derivation is mathematically involved, the key idea is to consider the geometry of two adjacent tetrahedral faces sharing a common edge in a regular 4-simplex and calculate the angle between their normal vectors. This calculation, based on the geometric properties of regular simplices, leads to the value $\theta \le sub \ge ideal \le sub \ge cos \le sup \ge 1 \le s^\circ$, which is a fundamental geometric property of regular 4-simplices and serves as the reference point for defining vertex stress in the simplicial network (Karazoupis, 2025).

Strain Tensor: Quantifying Geometric Deformation via Hooke's Law

Strain within the simplicial network quantifies the geometric deformation of the network in response to stress, drawing an analogy to elasticity theory and adapting Hooke's law to the discrete simplicial spacetime. The strain tensor (ϵ _{ab}) is derived from the stress tensor (σ _{ab}) via a linearized version of Hooke's law, providing a measure of geometric deformation in response to stress concentrations within the simplicial network.

The strain tensor (ϵ _{ab}) is mathematically derived from the stress tensor (σ _{ab}) using a linearized version of Hooke's law, adapted for a 4-dimensional simplicial complex to relate stress and strain in this discrete geometric setting:

 $\epsilon < sub > ab < /sub > = (1+v)/Y \sigma < sub > ab < /sub > - v/Y Tr(\sigma)\delta < sub > ab < /sub > a$

where:

- ϵ _{ab} represents the strain tensor, a symmetric rank-2 tensor quantifying the geometric deformation at a vertex v. The indices a and b run from 1 to 4, representing the spacetime dimensions.
- σ _{ab} represents the stress tensor at vertex v, a symmetric rank-2 tensor quantifying the stress components at the vertex.
- Y represents Young's modulus, a scalar quantity representing the spacetime stiffness modulus of the simplicial network, characterizing its resistance to deformation. The value of Y is derived in Section "Spacetime Stiffness Y=E_P/l_P/sup>," and is related to Planck energy density and holographic entropy scaling.
- v represents Poisson's ratio, a dimensionless scalar quantity representing the Poisson ratio for a 4-simplex, characterizing its elastic properties, specifically the ratio of transverse strain to axial strain. The value of v is theoretically determined to be 0.25 for a regular 4-simplex, as derived in Section "Poisson Ratio v=0.25".
- $Tr(\sigma) = \sum \langle sup \rangle 4 \langle sup \rangle \langle sub \rangle a = 1 \langle sub \rangle \sigma \langle sub \rangle aa \langle sub \rangle represents the trace of the strain tensor, a scalar quantity representing the volumetric stress or the sum of diagonal components of the stress tensor.$
- δ sub>ab</sub> represents the Kronecker delta, a dimensionless tensor ensuring tensorial consistency and proper index contraction in the stress-strain relation.

This linearized Hooke's law, adapted for a 4-dimensional simplicial complex, provides a mathematical relationship between stress and strain in the simplicial network, allowing for the derivation of strain tensor components (ϵ _{ab}) from the stress tensor components (σ _{ab}) and the material properties of the simplicial spacetime, characterized by Young's modulus (Y) and Poisson's ratio (v). The strain tensor thus quantifies the geometric deformation of the simplicial network in response to stress concentrations, providing a measure of how the simplicial spacetime deforms under stress.

Critical Threshold: Triggering Network Reconfiguration via Pachner Moves

To ensure geometric stability and prevent unbounded deformations, a critical threshold (ϵ _{crit}) for strain is defined. This critical threshold represents a limit to the elastic deformation of the simplicial network, beyond which the network becomes unstable and undergoes topological reconfiguration via Pachner moves. The critical threshold (ϵ _{crit}) serves as a trigger for network reconfiguration, allowing the simplicial spacetime to dynamically adapt and maintain geometric stability in response to excessive strain.

The critical threshold for strain (ϵ _{crit}) is defined as a dimensionless quantity, representing a universal limit for strain beyond which the simplicial network becomes unstable and reconfigures its topology:

 ϵ _{crit} = 1 (dimensionless)

This dimensionless value, 1, is chosen as a physically plausible critical threshold, representing a strain level beyond which the elastic approximation of Hooke's law is expected to break down and the simplicial network undergoes non-linear and topological reconfiguration. The dimensionless nature of the critical threshold suggests its universality,

applying to all 4-simplices within the simplicial network and representing a fundamental limit to elastic deformation in simplicial spacetime.

Beyond the critical threshold (ϵ _{crit}), when the strain in the simplicial network exceeds this limit, the network undergoes Pachner moves. Pachner moves are local topology-changing operations on simplicial complexes that represent discrete topological reconfigurations of the simplicial network in response to exceeding the critical strain threshold. These moves, such as the 2-3 flip and 3-2 move (and their higher-dimensional generalizations), are fundamental operations in simplicial topology that allow for local changes in the connectivity and structure of the simplicial complex while preserving its manifold properties. In the context of the Simplicial Spacetime Theory Framework, Pachner moves are interpreted as dynamical reconfiguration processes that allow the simplicial network to dynamically adjust its topology to reduce stress concentrations and maintain geometric stability when the strain exceeds the critical threshold. These moves are crucial for the framework's dynamics, allowing for topological evolution and adaptation of the simplicial spacetime, preventing unbounded deformations and ensuring the existence of a well-defined and stable spacetime structure.

Examples of Pachner Moves:

- 2-3 Flip (in 2D): In a 2-dimensional simplicial complex (triangulation), a 2-3 flip replaces two triangles sharing a common edge with three triangles by flipping the diagonal edge. This move changes the connectivity and topology of the triangulation locally while preserving its overall manifold properties.
- 3-2 Move (in 2D): The inverse of the 2-3 flip, a 3-2 move replaces three triangles meeting at a vertex with two triangles by removing the central vertex and its incident edges.
- Higher-Dimensional Pachner Moves: Generalizations of these moves exist in higher dimensions, such as the 4D Pachner moves relevant to the Simplicial Spacetime Theory Framework, which involve local topological reconfigurations of 4-simplices while preserving the manifold properties of the 4D simplicial complex.

The specific type of Pachner move that occurs in response to exceeding the critical strain threshold (e.g., 2-3 flip, 3-2 move, or higher-dimensional moves) depends on the local geometry and stress distribution within the simplicial network and is governed by the dynamics of stress minimization and geometric stability.

Entropy Bound Derivation: Limiting Information Content by Boundary Area

The framework incorporates the covariant entropy bound, a fundamental principle in quantum gravity and information theory, which states that the entropy (S) of a spatial region is bounded by its boundary area (A) in Planck units. This bound, derived from black hole thermodynamics and the Holographic Principle, reflects the holographic nature of spacetime and limits the information content that can be contained within a given spatial region. In the Simplicial Spacetime Theory Framework, this entropy bound is mathematically expressed as:

$S \le A / 4\ell < sub > P </sub > sup > 2 </sup >$

This inequality establishes a fundamental upper bound on the entropy (S) of any spatial region (R) in terms of its boundary area (A) and the Planck length (ℓ _P). The factor of 1/4 ℓ _P² signifies that the entropy bound is quantized in units of Planck area, reflecting the discrete nature of spacetime at the Planck scale. The logarithmic factor ln(2), often included in more refined versions of the Area Law (S(R) = (A / 4 ℓ _P²)ln(2)), is approximated to unity (ln(2) \approx 1) for simplicity in the provided text, leading to the simplified bound S \leq A / 4 ℓ _P². This entropy bound has profound implications for the information content and holographic nature of simplicial spacetime, limiting the degrees of freedom within any spatial region and suggesting that spacetime is fundamentally holographic.

Derivation from Covariant Entropy Bound

The entropy bound $S \le A / 4\ell < sub > P < /sub > (sup > 2) is derived from the covariant entropy bound, a generalization of the Bekenstein-Hawking entropy formula to arbitrary spacetimes and spatial regions. The covariant entropy bound, formulated by Bousso (1999), states that the entropy on a light-sheet is bounded by the area of the surface that bounds the light-sheet. In the context of a spatial region R with boundary area A, the covariant entropy bound reduces to the Area Law: <math>S \le A / 4\ell < sub > P < /sub > (sup > 2)$. This derivation connects the entropy bound to fundamental principles of general relativity and thermodynamics, providing a theoretical basis for limiting the information content of spatial regions in terms of their boundary area.

Holographic Scaling and Active Simplex Count

Using the holographic entropy bound and the calculated area of the Hubble sphere, the maximum number of states (N_{states}) that can be contained within the observable universe is estimated, providing an upper limit on its information capacity. The maximum number of states is related to the entropy by the Boltzmann entropy formula: N_{states} $\leq e \leq sup \leq S \leq sup > S$. Applying the entropy bound S $\leq A / 4\ell \leq sub \geq P \leq sup \geq 2 \leq sup > S$, the maximum number of states is bounded by:

 $N < sub > states < /sub > \leq e < sup > S < /sup > \leq e < sup > A/4 \ell < sub > P < /sub > < sup > 2 < /sup > 2 <$

 $\label{eq:approximating} Approximating $N < sub > states </sub > \approx A $ / $ 4\ell < sub > P </sub > (sub > 2 </sup > for simplicity in the provided text, the maximum number of states is estimated as: $ 100 mm states is estimated as:$

 $N < sub > states < /sub > \leq A / 4\ell < sub > P < /sub > < sup > 2 < /sup > \approx 10 < sup > 122 < /sup > \approx 10 < sup > 122 < /sup > 122 < /s$

This value, approximately 10¹²², represents an estimate for the maximum number of quantum states or degrees of freedom that can be accommodated within the observable universe, based on the holographic entropy bound and the area of the Hubble sphere. This bound highlights the holographic nature of the universe, suggesting that its information content is finite and limited by its boundary area, rather than its volume.

The active simplex count (N_{active}) represents the estimated number of simplices actively contributing to the holographic projection of the observable universe, providing an estimate for the number of independent degrees of freedom in the simplicial spacetime framework. Using the 4-volume of the observable universe (V(4)) and the Planck volume (v₄), the active simplex count is estimated as the ratio of these volumes: N_{active} = V(4) / v₄

where:

- V(4) represents the 4-volume of the observable universe, estimated as V(4) = $(ct < sub > H < /sub >) < sup > 4 < /sup > \approx 10 < sup > 184 < /sup > \ell < sub > P < /sub > < sup > 4 < /sup >, using the Hubble time and the speed of light to define the spatial and temporal extent of the observable universe.$
- v₄ represents the Planck volume, the fundamental unit of 4-volume in Planck units, representing the volume occupied by a single 4-simplex at the Planck scale.

Active Simplex Count and Holographic Resolution

For simplicity and to obtain a numerical estimate consistent with the provided text, the active simplex count is approximated using the area of the Hubble sphere and the Planck area:

 $N < sub > active < /sub > \approx A / 4\ell < sub > P < /sub > < sup > 2 < /sup > \approx 10 < sup > 122 < /sup >$

This value, approximately 10¹²², suggests that only a fraction of the total simplices potentially present within the observable universe are actively contributing to the holographic projection, with the bulk simplices being holographic projections from the boundary degrees of freedom. This holographic resolution implies that the independent degrees of freedom of simplicial spacetime are significantly reduced compared to a volume-based counting, consistent with the Holographic Principle and suggesting that the observable universe is effectively encoded on a lower-dimensional boundary.

The resolution of the holographic scaling analysis, with the estimated active simplex count N_{active} ≈ 10 ¹²² being significantly smaller than a naive volume-based counting of simplices, leads to the interpretation that only the boundary qubits, approximately N_{active} in number, are independent degrees of freedom. The bulk simplices, representing the vast interior of spacetime, are not fundamentally independent but are rather holographic projections from this boundary, encoded in the information residing on the boundary degrees of freedom. This holographic resolution is consistent with the Holographic Principle and suggests that the bulk spacetime geometry and matter content are emergent phenomena, projected from a lower-dimensional boundary, reducing the number of independent degrees of freedom required to describe the observable universe and simplifying the description of quantum gravity at the Planck scale.

Quantum Discreteness: Spacetime and Physical Quantities are Quantized

The axiom of Quantum Discreteness is the first and foremost axiom of the Complete Theory of Simplicial Discrete Informational Spacetime, asserting that spacetime and all physical quantities are fundamentally discrete and quantized at the Planck scale. This axiom represents a radical departure from the classical notion of continuous spacetime and embraces a quantum discrete nature of reality at the most fundamental level, reflecting the core tenet of quantum gravity and the Planck-scale nature of simplicial spacetime.

Mathematical Statement of Quantum Discreteness

The mathematical statement of the axiom of Quantum Discreteness is formalized by asserting that for any observable quantity (O), its spectrum is discrete and quantized, meaning that the observable can only take on discrete values that are integer multiples of a fundamental Planck-scale unit (O_P). Mathematically, this quantization rule is expressed as:

$$O = nO < sub > P < /sub >, n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$$

where:

- O represents any observable physical quantity in the theory, encompassing spacetime quantities like length, time, area, and volume, as well as matter and field quantities like energy, momentum, and charge.
- $\begin{aligned} O{<\!\!sub\!>} P{<\!\!/sub\!>} represents the Planck-scale unit corresponding to the observable O, serving as the fundamental quantum of that quantity (e.g., <math display="inline">\ell{<\!\!sub\!>} P{<\!\!/sub\!>} for length, t{<\!\!sub\!>} P{<\!\!/sub\!>} for time, E{<\!\!sub\!>} P{<\!\!/sub\!>} for energy, T{<\!\!sub\!>} P{<\!\!/sub\!>} for temperature, A{<\!\!sub\!>} P{<\!\!/sub\!>} = \ell{<\!\!sub\!>} P{<\!\!/sub\!>} esub{<\!\!sub\!>} 2{<\!\!/sup\!>} for area, V{<\!\!sub\!>} P{<\!\!/sub\!>} = \ell{<\!\!sub\!>} P{<\!\!/sub\!>} for temperature, V{<\!\!sub\!>} P{<\!\!/sub\!>} = \ell{<\!\!sub\!>} P{<\!\!/sub\!>} esub{<\!\!sub\!>} esub{<\!\!sub\!>} for volume, V{<\!\!sub\!>} e{\!\!sub\!>} e{\!\!sub\!>} e{\!\!sub\!>} esub{<\!\!sub\!>} esub{\!\!sub\!>} es$
- n is a non-negative integer belonging to the set of natural numbers and zero ($\mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$), representing the quantum number that labels the discrete values of the observable.

This mathematical statement rigorously formalizes the quantization rule, asserting that all physical observables in the Complete Theory of Simplicial Discrete Informational Spacetime are quantized and take on discrete values that are integer multiples of their Planckscale counterparts, enforcing discreteness at the Planck scale and fundamentally departing from classical continuum physics.

Derivation of Length Quantization from Commutator Algebra

The quantization of spacetime, specifically the quantization of length, is not merely postulated but is derived from the commutator algebra of the simplicial network, providing a theoretical basis for the axiom of Quantum Discreteness. Considering the commutator of length operators ($\ell < sup > i < /sup >, \ell < sup > j < /sup >)$ associated with simplices in the simplicial network, the commutator algebra is mathematically given by:

$$\label{eq:sub} \begin{split} & [\ell<\!\!sup\!\!>\!\!i<\!\!sup\!\!>\!\!j<\!\!sup\!\!>\!\!j=\\ & i\ell<\!\!sub\!\!>\!\!P<\!\!/\!\!sub\!\!>\!\!c<\!\!sup\!\!>\!\!c<\!\!sup\!\!>\!\!ik<\!\!sup\!\!>\!\!\ell<\!\!sup\!\!>\!\!k<\!\!/\!\!sup\!\!>\!\!\ell<\!\!sup\!\!>\!\!\ell<\!\!sup\!\!>\!\!\ell<\!\!sup\!\!>\!\!\ell<\!\!sup\!\!>\!\!\ell<\!\!sup\!\!>\!\!\ell<\!\!sup\!\!>\!\!\ell<\!\!sup\!\!>\!\!\ell<\!\!sup\!\!>\!\!\ell<\!\!sup\!\!>\!\!\ell<\!\!sup\!\!>\!\!\ell<\!\!sup\!\!>\!\!\ell<\!\!sup\!\!>\!\!\ell<\!\!sup\!\!>\!\!\ell<\!\!sup\!\!>\!\!\ell<\!\!sup\!\!>\!\!\ell<\!\!sup\!\!>\!\!\ell<\!\!sup\!\!>\!\!\ell<\!\!sup\!\!>\!\!\ell<\!\!sup\!\!>\!\!\ell<\!\!sup\!\!>\!\!\ell<\!\!sup\!\!>\!\!\ell<\!\!sup\!\!>\!\!\ell<\!\!sup\!\!>\!\!\ell<\!\!sup\!\!>\!\!\ell<\!\!sup\!\!>\!\!\ell<\!\!sup\!\!>\!\!\ell<\!\!sup\!\!>\!\!\ell<\!\!sup\!\!>\!\!d$$

where:

 ℓ ⁱ and ℓ ^j represent length operators associated with simplices in the simplicial network, representing quantum operators corresponding to measurements of length in different directions or components of the simplicial spacetime.

 ℓ sub>P</sub> represents the Planck length, the fundamental unit of length in the theory.

i is the imaginary unit, $\sqrt{-1}$, reflecting the quantum nature of the commutator algebra.

- ϵ ^{ijk} represents the Levi-Civita symbol, a totally antisymmetric tensor of rank 3, ensuring the antisymmetric nature of the commutator and reflecting the non-commutativity of length operators in quantum spacetime.
- $\ell < sup > k < /sup > represents$ another length operator, completing the commutator algebra and ensuring closure under commutation.

This commutator algebra, derived from the underlying quantum structure of the simplicial network and reflecting the non-commutativity of length operators in quantum spacetime, leads to discrete eigenvalues for the length operator (ℓ), demonstrating the quantization of length. Solving the eigenvalue equation for the length operator, the eigenvalues (ℓ) are mathematically found to be discrete and quantized as:

 $\ell = n\ell < sub > P < /sub >, n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$

where n is a non-negative integer. This derivation provides a theoretical proof for the quantization of length, and by extension spacetime, arising naturally from the commutator algebra of the simplicial network, supporting the axiom of Quantum Discreteness and demonstrating that spacetime discreteness is not merely an assumption but a consequence of the underlying quantum structure of the simplicial spacetime framework.

Holographic Finiteness: Bounding Information Content by Boundary Area

The axiom of Holographic Finiteness is the second fundamental axiom of the Complete Theory of Simplicial Discrete Informational Spacetime, positing that the information content of any spatial region is finite and bounded by its boundary area, consistent with the Holographic Principle. This axiom imposes a fundamental limit on the degrees of freedom in any spatial region, reflecting the holographic nature of spacetime and ensuring finiteness of information, preventing infinite information densities and potential paradoxes associated with infinite degrees of freedom in quantum gravity.

Mathematical Statement of Holographic Finiteness (Area Law)

The mathematical statement of the axiom of Holographic Finiteness is formalized by the Area Law, which states that the entropy (S) of any spatial region (R) with boundary area (A) is bounded by a quantity proportional to its boundary area in Planck units. Mathematically, the Area Law is expressed as:

$$S(R) = (A / 4\ell < sub > P < /sub > (sup > 2 < /sup >) ln(2)$$

where:

- S(R) represents the entropy of the spatial region R, quantifying its information content or the number of accessible microstates.
- A represents the boundary area of the spatial region R, the area of the surface enclosing the region.
- ℓ sub>P</sub> represents the Planck length, the fundamental unit of length in the theory.
- ln(2) is the natural logarithm of 2, arising from the qubit nature of the fundamental degrees of freedom.
- The factor of 1/4ℓ_P² represents the Planck area scale, quantizing the entropy bound in units of Planck area.

This equation, representing the Area Law, establishes a direct proportionality between the entropy of a spatial region and its boundary area, with the entropy being quantized in units of Planck area and bounded by the boundary area. The Area Law signifies that the information content of a spatial region is not proportional to its volume, as would be expected in classical physics, but rather to its boundary area, consistent with the Holographic Principle and suggesting that the degrees of freedom of spacetime are effectively reduced to its boundary.

Derivation of Area Law from Entanglement Entropy

The Area Law, and thus the axiom of Holographic Finiteness, is not merely postulated but is derived from entanglement entropy in the simplicial network, providing a theoretical basis for limiting information content by boundary area and linking Holographic Finiteness to quantum entanglement, a fundamental feature of quantum mechanics. Considering a bipartition of the Hilbert space H = H < sub > A < / sub > B < / sub > B < / sub > into two regions A $and B with a common boundary <math>\partial A$, the entanglement entropy (S<sub>A</ sub>) between regions A and B is calculated using the reduced density matrix $\rho < sub>A < / sub>$ for region A, tracing out the degrees of freedom in region B:

 $S < sub > A < /sub > = -Tr(\rho < sub > A < /sub > ln(\rho < sub > A < /sub >))$

where:

 $S < sub > A < /sub > represents the entanglement entropy between regions A and B, quantifying the quantum entanglement across the boundary <math>\partial A$.

Tr denotes the trace operator, summing over the diagonal elements of the density matrix.

 ρ _A represents the reduced density matrix for region A, obtained by tracing out the degrees of freedom in region B from the total density matrix ρ of the system.

 $\ln(\rho < sub > A < /sub >)$ represents the natural logarithm of the reduced density matrix.

For a system in a pure state, the entanglement entropy S_A quantifies the quantum entanglement between regions A and B, representing the amount of information shared between the two regions due to quantum correlations. In the context of the simplicial network, considering the entanglement entropy across the boundary ∂A of a spatial region R, the entanglement entropy is found to be proportional to the boundary area, leading to the Area Law:

 $S < sub > A < /sub > = (Area(\partial A) / 4\ell < sub > P < /sub > (sup > 2 < /sup >)ln(2)$

This derivation demonstrates that the Area Law, and thus Holographic Finiteness, arises naturally from the entanglement structure of the simplicial network, specifically from the entanglement entropy across spatial boundaries. Entanglement entropy, a fundamental concept in quantum information theory, is thus intrinsically linked to the geometric Area Law, providing a derivation of Holographic Finiteness from entanglement in the simplicial spacetime framework and suggesting that entanglement is the underlying mechanism for bounding information content by boundary area, consistent with the Holographic Principle.

Geometric Stability: Ensuring Stability and Bounded Curvature

The axiom of Geometric Stability is the third fundamental axiom of the Complete Theory of Simplicial Discrete Informational Spacetime, ensuring that the simplicial network maintains geometric stability by limiting curvature and preventing unbounded fluctuations. This axiom is crucial for ensuring that the emergent spacetime geometry is physically realistic and stable, preventing pathological configurations and ensuring the existence of a well-defined classical limit, where spacetime behaves in a predictable and physically meaningful manner.

Planck-Scale Hooke's Law: Stress-Strain Relation

Geometric stability is enforced through a stress-strain relation, linking the stress tensor (σ _{ab}) at a vertex v to the strain tensor (ϵ _{ab}) via a Planck-scale Hooke's Law, adapted for a 4-dimensional simplicial complex to describe the elastic response of simplicial spacetime to stress:

 $\sigma <\!\! sub \!\!>\!\! ab \!\!<\!\!/sub \!\!>\!\! = Y(\epsilon \!\!<\!\! sub \!\!>\!\! ab \!\!<\!\!/sub \!\!>\!\! + \nu/(1\!\!-\!(D\!-\!1)\nu) \operatorname{Tr}(\epsilon)\delta \!\!<\!\! sub \!\!>\!\! ab \!\!<\!\!/sub \!\!>\!\!)$ where:

- σ _{ab} represents the stress tensor, quantifying the internal forces per unit area within the simplicial network, representing the internal stresses acting on the simplicial geometry.
- ϵ _{ab} represents the strain tensor, quantifying the geometric deformation of the simplicial network in response to stress, representing the geometric response of simplicial spacetime to internal stresses.
- v = 0.25 represents Poisson's ratio, a dimensionless quantity characterizing the elastic properties of a 4-simplex, specifically the ratio of transverse strain to axial strain. The value of v = 0.25 is theoretically determined for a regular 4-simplex, as derived in Section "Poisson Ratio v=0.25," reflecting its geometric properties.
- D = 4 represents the spacetime dimension, specifying the dimensionality of the simplicial complex.
- $Tr(\epsilon) = \sum \langle sup \rangle 4 \langle sup \rangle \langle sub \rangle a = 1 \langle sub \rangle \epsilon \langle sub \rangle aa \langle sub \rangle$ represents the trace of the strain tensor, quantifying the volumetric strain or the overall expansion or contraction of the simplicial spacetime.
- $\delta < sub > ab < /sub >$ represents the Kronecker delta, ensuring tensorial consistency and proper index contraction in the stress-strain relation.

This Planck-scale Hooke's Law, adapted for a 4-dimensional simplicial complex, provides a mathematical relationship between stress and strain in simplicial spacetime, defining its elastic response to geometric distortions and ensuring geometric stability by limiting the allowed curvature and preventing unbounded deformations.

Critical Stress Threshold: Triggering Pachner Moves

Geometric stability is further enforced by a critical stress threshold (σ _{crit}), representing a maximum stress level that the simplicial network can sustain elastically. When the von Mises stress, a measure of multiaxial stress state, exceeds this critical threshold, the simplicial network undergoes reconfiguration via Pachner moves, preventing unbounded curvature and ensuring geometric stability by dynamically adjusting its topology. The critical stress threshold is mathematically defined as:

 $\label{eq:sub} $$\sigma < sub > crit </sub > extreme sub > crit </sub > crit </sub > crit </sub > extreme sub > f </sub > f </sub$

where:

- σ _{crit} represents the critical stress threshold, a scalar quantity representing the maximum stress level for geometric stability.
- Y = E < sub > P < /sub > P < /sub > 3 < /sup > is Young's modulus, the spacetime stiffness modulus.
- ϵ _{crit} = 1 (dimensionless) is the critical strain threshold, representing the dimensionless limit for strain beyond which reconfiguration occurs.

This critical stress threshold, numerically equal to the Planck stress, represents an extremely high stress level, signifying that the simplicial spacetime is highly resistant to deformation and maintains geometric stability up to Planckian stress scales. Exceeding this critical threshold triggers Pachner moves, local topology changes that allow the network to

relax stress concentrations and maintain geometric stability, preventing unbounded curvature and ensuring the existence of a well-defined and stable spacetime structure.

Curvature Bound: Limiting Spacetime Curvature

The critical stress threshold, in turn, imposes a fundamental bound on the curvature (R) of simplicial spacetime, ensuring geometric stability by limiting curvature fluctuations and preventing unbounded curvature values. The curvature bound is mathematically expressed as:

$$\label{eq:sub-crit-sub-lesub-relation} \begin{split} R &\leq \sigma < sub > crit < / sub > l < sub > P < / sub > 2 < / sup > = (E < sub > P < / sub > l < sub > P < / sub > < sup > 2 < / sup > = (E < sub > P < / sub >$$

where:

R represents the spacetime curvature, a measure of the geometric distortion of spacetime.

 σ _{crit} represents the critical stress threshold, the Planck stress.

ℓ_P represents the Planck length.

This curvature bound, proportional to the Planck curvature ($\ell < sub > P < /sub > (sub > P < /sub > 2 < /sup >), establishes a fundamental limit on the maximum curvature that can be sustained in simplicial spacetime, ensuring geometric stability and preventing unbounded curvature fluctuations. The curvature bound signifies that spacetime curvature in the Complete Theory of Simplicial Discrete Informational Spacetime is not arbitrary or unbounded but is limited by the Planck scale, preventing the formation of singularities and ensuring the existence of a physically realistic and stable spacetime geometry, particularly in the classical limit.$

Quantum Hamiltonian: Defining the Energy Operator for Simplicial Dynamics

The dynamics of the simplicial network are fundamentally governed by a quantum Hamiltonian operator (\hat{H}) , which represents the total energy of the system and dictates its time evolution according to the principles of quantum mechanics. The Hamiltonian operator is defined as a sum of three terms, each representing a different contribution to the total energy of the simplicial network: a geometric stress term, a coupling term, and a decoherence term.

The full Hamiltonian operator (H) for the simplicial network is mathematically expressed as a sum of three terms:

$$\begin{split} \hat{H} &= \sum \langle sub > v \langle sub > (Y/2)\sigma \langle sub > v \langle sub > 0xE2 \rangle \langle sub > vertex \langle sub > -J\sum \langle sub > \langle i,j \rangle \langle sub > \sigma \langle sub > i \langle sub > x \langle sup > \sigma \langle sub > j \langle sub > x \langle sup > x \langle sup > \sigma \langle sub > i \langle sub > sup > x \langle sup > \sigma \langle sub > i \langle sub > sup > x \langle sup$$

where:

- Ĥ represents the total Hamiltonian operator for the simplicial network, governing its quantum dynamics and time evolution.
- $\sum \langle sub \rangle v \langle sub \rangle (Y/2)\sigma \langle sub \rangle v \langle sub \rangle \langle 0xE2 \rangle \langle 0xE2 \rangle \langle sub \rangle vertex \langle sub \rangle represents the geometric stress term, summing over all vertices v in the simplicial network. This term quantifies the energy associated with geometric stress concentrations at each vertex, penalizing deviations from the idealized stress-free simplicial geometry.$
- J∑_(i,j) σ_i^x σ_j^x represents the coupling term, summing over all pairs of adjacent simplices (i,j) in the simplicial network, where adjacency is defined by the Gluing Condition. This term quantifies the energy associated with quantum coupling or interactions between adjacent simplices, driving correlations and entanglement within the network.
- $h\sum$ _i σ _i σ <sub>z</sup> represents the decoherence term, summing over all simplices i in the simplicial network. This term quantifies the energy associated with decoherence processes acting on individual simplices, inducing dissipation and loss

of quantum coherence in the simplicial network and driving the quantum-to-classical transition in simplicial spacetime.

Geometric Stress Term

The geometric stress term, represented as $\sum \langle sub \rangle v \langle sub \rangle (Y/2)\sigma \langle sub \rangle v \langle sub \rangle \langle 0xE2 \rangle \langle 0xE2 \rangle \langle sub \rangle vertex \langle sub \rangle$ in the Hamiltonian, sums over all vertices v in the simplicial network. This term quantifies the energy associated with geometric stress concentrations at each vertex, penalizing deviations from the idealized stress-free simplicial geometry.

- Y represents Young's modulus, the spacetime stiffness modulus, characterizing the resistance of simplicial spacetime to deformation. The derivation of Y is detailed in Section "Spacetime Stiffness Y=E_P/l_P³," linking it to Planck energy density and holographic entropy scaling.
- σ _v<0xE2><0xE2>_{vertex} represents the vertex stress operator at vertex v, a quantum operator corresponding to the vertex stress observable. The eigenvalues of the vertex stress operator (σ _v) are bounded by the critical stress threshold ($0 \le \sigma$ _v $\le \sigma$ _{crit}), ensuring geometric stability and limiting stress concentrations.
 - Coupling Term

The coupling term, represented as $J\sum \langle sub \rangle \langle i,j \rangle \langle sub \rangle \sigma \langle sub \rangle i \langle sub \rangle \langle sup \rangle x \langle sup \rangle \sigma \langle sub \rangle j \langle sub \rangle \langle sup \rangle x \langle sup$

- J represents the coupling energy, a parameter determining the strength of coupling between adjacent simplices. In this framework, the coupling energy is set to the Planck energy (J = E_P), reflecting the Planck-scale nature of fundamental interactions in simplicial spacetime.
- $\sigma < sub > i < /sub > sup > x < /sup > and$ $\sigma < sub > j < /sub > sup > x < /sup > represent$ Pauli-X acting the qubit Hilbert spaces H_i and operators on H_j associated with adjacent simplices s_i and s_j, respectively. The Pauli-X operator flips the basis states of a qubit, representing quantum transitions or fluctuations in the simplex states and mediating interactions between adjacent simplices. The choice of Pauli-X operators for the coupling term is motivated by their role in quantum information processing and their ability to create entanglement between qubits, reflecting the informational and quantum nature of interactions in simplicial spacetime.
 - Decoherence Term

The decoherence term, represented as $h\sum < sub > i < /sub > \sigma < sub > i < /sub > z < /sup > in$ the Hamiltonian, sums over all simplices i in the simplicial network. This term quantifies the energy associated with decoherence processes acting on individual simplices, inducing dissipation and loss of quantum coherence in the simplicial network and driving the quantumto-classical transition in simplicial spacetime.

h represents the decoherence parameter, a parameter determining the strength of decoherence acting on individual simplices. The decoherence parameter h is related to the decoherence rate (Γ _{decohere}), quantifying the rate at which quantum coherence is lost due to environmental interactions. The value of h is chosen to be small compared to the Planck energy scale, reflecting the weak decoherence rate at macroscopic scales.

 σ _i^z represents the Pauli-Z operator acting on the qubit Hilbert space H_i associated with simplex s_i. The Pauli-Z operator measures the state of a qubit in the computational basis, representing measurement-like interactions that project the simplex states onto the classical basis states |0⟩ and |1⟩ and induce decoherence in the superposition of basis states. The choice of Pauli-Z operators for the decoherence term is motivated by their role in quantum measurement theory and their ability to induce classicalization through state projection and decoherence.

Mathematical Formulation of Hamiltonian of Two Adjacent Simplices

To facilitate explicit calculations and analyze the quantum dynamics of the simplicial network, the Hamiltonian operator (\hat{H}) can be represented as a matrix, particularly for simplified systems with a small number of simplices. For a simplified system of two adjacent simplices s₁ and s₂, sharing a tetrahedral face and thus coupled through the coupling term in the Hamiltonian, the Hamiltonian operator (\hat{H}) can be represented as a 4x4 matrix (H) acting on the tensor product Hilbert space H₁ $\langle sub>2</sub>$, which is a 4-dimensional Hilbert space spanned by the basis states |0₁0₂, and |1₁1</sub>2</sub>. The matrix representation of the Hamiltonian for two adjacent simplices is mathematically given by:

Н										-
[Y/2(σ <sul< td=""><td>>v1+o<s< td=""><td>ub>v2)</td><td>+ 2</td><td>2h -</td><td>J</td><td>-J -J</td><td>0</td><td>]</td></s<></td></sul<>	>v1+o <s< td=""><td>ub>v2)</td><td>+ 2</td><td>2h -</td><td>J</td><td>-J -J</td><td>0</td><td>]</td></s<>	ub>v2)	+ 2	2h -	J	-J -J	0]
[-J	Y/2	o≪sub>v1	>+o _{v2<td>ub>)</td><td>+</td><td>2h</td><td>0</td><td>-J</td><td>]</td>}	ub>)	+	2h	0	-J]
[-J	0	Y/2(σ _{v1<}	/sub>+o _v	/su	ıb>)	+	2h	-J]
[0) -J -J	-Y/2(sub>v1	>+o _{v2<td>ub>)</td><td>+ 2h]</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td>}	ub>)	+ 2h]				

where:

тт

- H represents the 4x4 matrix representation of the Hamiltonian operator for two adjacent simplices s₁ and s₂, providing a concrete mathematical form for numerical calculations and analytical analysis.
- Y represents Young's modulus, the spacetime stiffness modulus, quantifying the strength of the geometric stress term.
- σ _{v1} and σ _{v2} represent the stress operators at vertices v₁ and v₂ associated with simplices s₁ and s₂ associated with simplices s₁ and s₂, respectively. In this simplified representation, the stress operators are treated as scalar values, representing the eigenvalues of the vertex stress operator and quantifying the local geometric stress at each vertex.
- h represents the decoherence parameter, quantifying the strength of the decoherence term and the rate of quantum decoherence.
- J represents the coupling energy, quantifying the strength of the coupling term and the quantum interactions between adjacent simplices.

This 4x4 matrix representation provides a concrete mathematical form for the Hamiltonian operator for a simplified system of two adjacent simplices, allowing for explicit calculations of its eigenvalues and eigenvectors, analysis of its quantum dynamics, and investigation of entanglement and decoherence effects in simplified simplicial systems. The matrix elements of the Hamiltonian capture the contributions from geometric stress, coupling between simplices, and decoherence acting on individual simplices, providing a tractable model for studying the fundamental quantum dynamics of the simplicial network and exploring the emergence of classical behavior from quantum simplicial dynamics.

State Transitions: Lindblad Master Equation for Dissipative Simplicial Dynamics

The time evolution of the quantum state of the simplicial network, described by its density matrix (ρ), is governed by the Lindblad master equation, a fundamental equation in

Open Quantum Systems Theory that describes dissipative quantum dynamics and incorporates decoherence effects due to system-environment interactions. The Lindblad master equation provides a framework for modeling the quantum-to-classical transition in simplicial spacetime, describing how quantum coherence is lost and classical behavior emerges from the underlying quantum dynamics of the simplicial network.

The Lindblad master equation mathematically describes the time evolution of the density matrix (ρ) of the simplicial network, incorporating both unitary evolution due to the Hamiltonian operator (\hat{H}) and dissipative evolution due to decoherence processes. The Lindblad master equation is given by:

 $d\rho/dt = -i/\hbar \ [\hat{H}, \ \rho] + \sum < sub > i < /sub > \gamma \ (L < sub > i < /sub > i < /sub > i < /sub > i < /sup > - 1/2 \{L < sub > i < /sub > i < /sub$

where:

- $d\rho/dt$ represents the time derivative of the density matrix ρ , describing the rate of change of the quantum state of the simplicial network over time, capturing the dynamical evolution of the system.
- ρ represents the density matrix of the simplicial network, a quantum operator describing the statistical ensemble of quantum states of the system, particularly relevant for describing mixed states and dissipative dynamics, where the system is not in a pure quantum state but rather a statistical mixture of states.
- H represents the Hamiltonian operator for the simplicial network, governing the unitary and coherent part of the time evolution, and representing the energy of the system and its conservative dynamics.
- $[\hat{H}, \rho] = \hat{H}\rho \rho\hat{H}$ represents the commutator between the Hamiltonian operator and the density matrix, describing the unitary evolution of the system according to the von Neumann equation or the quantum Liouville equation, representing the coherent and reversible part of the quantum dynamics.
- $$\begin{split} &\sum \langle sub > i < / sub > \gamma & (L < sub > i < / sub >$$

Lindblad Master Equation and Dissipator Term

The Lindblad master equation mathematically describes the time evolution of the density matrix (ρ) of the simplicial network, incorporating both unitary evolution due to the Hamiltonian operator (\hat{H}) and dissipative evolution due to decoherence processes. The Lindblad master equation is given by:

 $d\rho/dt = -i/\hbar \ [\hat{H}, \ \rho] + \sum < sub > i < /sub > \gamma \ (L < sub > i < /sub > i < /sub > i < /sub > i < /sup > - 1/2 \{L < sub > i < /sub > i < /sub$

where the dissipator term is $\sum \langle sub \rangle i \langle sub \rangle \gamma$ (L_ii</sub>i</sub>i</sub>j</sub>-

1/2{L_ii</sub>i</sub>i, ρ }), describing the non-unitary and dissipative part of the time evolution due to decoherence processes, accounting for the irreversible loss of quantum coherence and the emergence of classical behavior.

- \sum _i denotes the summation over a set of Lindblad operators L_i, representing different decoherence channels or environmental interactions that induce dissipation and decoherence in the system.
- γ represents the decoherence rate, a positive parameter quantifying the strength of decoherence and the rate at which quantum coherence is lost due to system-environment

interactions. In this framework, γ is set to the decoherence rate Γ _{decohere}, reflecting the strength of environmental interactions inducing decoherence in simplicial spacetime.

- L_i represent Lindblad operators, also known as collapse operators or jump operators, which describe the specific quantum operations that induce decoherence in the system, representing the microscopic mechanisms of decoherence and the specific ways in which the environment interacts with the system to induce loss of coherence. In this framework, the Lindblad operators are chosen to be L_i = σ _i ^z, representing measurement-like interactions that project the simplex states onto the computational basis and induce decoherence in the superposition of basis states, driving the quantum-to-classical transition in simplicial spacetime.
- L_i[†] represents the Hermitian conjugate of the Lindblad operator L_i, ensuring that the dissipator term is mathematically consistent and preserves the trace and positivity of the density matrix.
- L_ipL_irepresents the "gain" term in the dissipator, describing the repopulation of states due to quantum jumps or transitions induced by the environment, accounting for the influx of probability into certain states due to decoherence.

{L_i[†]L_i,

L_i[†]L_ip

 $\rho L < sub > i </sub > sup > † </sup > L < sub > i </sub > represents the anticommutator between$ $the operator L < sub > i </sub > < sup > † </sup > L < sub > i </sub > and the density matrix <math>\rho$, describing the "loss" term in the dissipator, representing the depopulation of states due to quantum jumps or transitions induced by the environment, accounting for the outflow of probability from certain states due to decoherence.

 ρ

Derivation of Transition Rate

The Lindblad master equation allows for the calculation of transition probabilities between different quantum states of the simplicial network, quantifying the rates at which simplices undergo quantum transitions between their basis states $|0\rangle$ and $|1\rangle$, representing quantum jumps or flips between these fundamental states. Specifically, the transition rate (Γ _{flip}) for a simplex to flip between basis states $|0\rangle$ and $|1\rangle$, representing a quantum transition or a quantum jump between these fundamental states, can be derived from the Lindblad master equation and is mathematically given by:

 $\begin{array}{lll} \label{eq:generalized_sub_linear} \label{eq:generalized_sub_linear} \end{tabular} \Gamma <\!\! sub\! >\!\! flip <\!\! /sub\! >\!\! (J <\!\! sup \! >\!\! 2 <\!\! /sup \! >\!\! /\hbar <\!\! sup \! >\!\! 2 <\!\! /sup \! >\!\!) & \gamma & / & (\gamma <\!\! sup \! >\!\! 2 <\!\! /sup \! >\!\! + (E <\!\! sub\! >\!\! P <\!\! /sub\! >\!\! /\hbar) <\!\! sup \! >\!\! 2 <\!\! /sup \! >\!\!) \approx 10 <\!\! sup \! >\!\! 87 <\!\! /sup \! >\!\! s <\!\! sup \! >\!\! 1 <\!\! /sup \! >\!\! 1 <\!\! sup \! >\!\! sup \! sup \! >\!\! sup \! sup \! >\!\! sup \! >\!\! sup \! >\!\! sup \! sup \! >\!\! sup \! sup \! >\!\! sup \! >\!\! sup \! sup$

where:

- Γ _{flip} represents the transition rate for a simplex to flip between basis states $|0\rangle$ and $|1\rangle$, quantifying the probability per unit time for this quantum transition to occur and characterizing the dynamical timescale of quantum fluctuations in the simplicial network.
- J represents the coupling energy, quantifying the strength of quantum coupling between adjacent simplices and influencing the rate of quantum transitions.
- \hbar represents the reduced Planck constant, setting the scale for quantum effects and transition rates.
- $\gamma = \Gamma <$ sub>decohere</sub> represents the decoherence rate, quantifying the strength of decoherence acting on individual simplices and influencing the rate of quantum state flips due to environmental interactions.

=

+

E_P represents the Planck energy, the fundamental unit of energy at the Planck scale, appearing in the denominator and suppressing the transition rate at high energies.

This transition rate, approximately 10⁻⁸⁷ s⁻¹, is numerically estimated using Planck-scale values for the parameters and represents an extremely low probability per unit time for a simplex to undergo a quantum transition. This low transition rate reflects the stability of the simplicial network at the Planck scale and suggests that quantum fluctuations and transitions are rare events at the fundamental level, occurring on extremely long timescales compared to typical quantum timescales. However, the cumulative effect of these transitions over cosmological timescales and across a vast number of simplices can lead to significant emergent phenomena, such as spacetime dynamics, decoherence, and the quantum-to-classical transition in simplicial spacetime, even with a low per-simplex transition rate.

Emergent Phenomena: Macroscopic Manifestations of Simplicial Spacetime

This section explores emergent phenomena arising from the Complete Theory of Discrete Informational Spacetime, demonstrating how macroscopic spacetime geometry, dark energy, and black hole thermodynamics, the hallmarks of classical and astrophysical physics, emerge from the underlying quantum simplicial network and its dynamics. These emergent phenomena bridge the gap between the microscopic simplicial world and the macroscopic classical world, demonstrating the physical relevance and explanatory power of the framework.

Spacetime Geometry: Emergence of Classical Spacetime from Simplicial Structure

Macroscopic spacetime geometry, characterized by a smooth and continuous metric tensor and described by General Relativity at classical scales, emerges as a coarse-grained description of the underlying quantum simplicial network. The classical metric tensor g_{$\mu\nu$}(x), representing the geometric properties of spacetime at a point x, emerges as the expectation value of a quantum metric operator \hat{g} _{$\mu\nu$}(x), averaged over quantum fluctuations and simplicial microstates.

The classical metric tensor g_{μv}(x) at a point x is mathematically defined as the expectation value of the quantum metric operator \hat{g} _{μv}(x) in a quantum state $|\Psi\rangle$ of the simplicial network:

$$g < sub > \mu v < /sub > (x) = \langle \Psi | \hat{g} < sub > \mu v < /sub > (x) | \Psi \rangle$$

where:

- g_{µv}(x) represents the classical metric tensor at a point x, a symmetric rank-2 tensor describing the spacetime geometry at macroscopic scales, capturing the smooth and continuous geometric properties of spacetime as described by General Relativity.
- $\langle ... \rangle$ denotes the expectation value in the quantum state $|\Psi \rangle$ of the simplicial network, representing a statistical average over quantum fluctuations and simplicial microstates, effectively coarse-graining over the underlying discrete and quantum nature of spacetime at the Planck scale.
- \hat{g} _{μv}(x) represents the quantum metric operator, a quantum operator-valued tensor field associated with the point x, representing the quantum fluctuations of the metric at the Planck scale and capturing the underlying quantum geometry of simplicial spacetime.

Classical Metric Tensor as Expectation Value

The classical metric tensor g_{μv}(x) at a point x is mathematically defined as the expectation value of the quantum metric operator \hat{g} _{μv}(x) in a quantum state $|\Psi\rangle$ of the simplicial network:

$$g < sub > \mu v < /sub > (x) = \langle \Psi | \hat{g} < sub > \mu v < /sub > (x) | \Psi \rangle$$

where:

- g_{µv}(x) represents the classical metric tensor at a point x, a symmetric rank-2 tensor describing the spacetime geometry at macroscopic scales, capturing the smooth and continuous geometric properties of spacetime as described by General Relativity.
- $\langle ... \rangle$ denotes the expectation value in the quantum state $|\Psi \rangle$ of the simplicial network, representing a statistical average over quantum fluctuations and simplicial microstates, effectively coarse-graining over the underlying discrete and quantum nature of spacetime at the Planck scale.
- $\hat{g} < sub > \mu v < /sub > (x)$ represents the quantum metric operator, a quantum operator-valued tensor field associated with the point x, representing the quantum fluctuations of the metric at the Planck scale and capturing the underlying quantum geometry of simplicial spacetime.

Quantum Metric Operator and 4-Volume Overlap

The quantum metric operator $\hat{g} < sub > \mu v < /sub > (x)$ is further defined as a sum over simplices s < sub > i < /sub > containing the point x, weighted by their probability amplitudes and a normalized 4-volume overlap function:

 $\hat{g} < sub > \mu v < /sub > (x) = \sum < sub > s < sub > i < /sub > \exists x < /sub > i < /sub$

where:

- \sum _{s_i $\exists x}$ denotes the summation over all simplices s_i in the simplicial network that contain the point x, representing the local neighborhood around the point x in the simplicial spacetime and contributing to the emergent metric at that point.
- $|\beta < sub > i < /sub > | < sup > 2 < /sup > represents the probability amplitude squared for simplex s < sub > i < /sub > being in the excited state |1⟩, quantifying the contribution of each simplex to the emergent metric and reflecting the quantum state of the simplicial building blocks.$
- $\eta < sub > \mu v < /sub > = diag(-1, 1, 1, 1)$ represents the Minkowski metric, a flat spacetime metric used as a reference metric for active simplices, reflecting the local flatness of spacetime at the Planck scale and providing a local coordinate system for defining the metric components.
- - v_i(x) represents the 4-volume overlap of simplex s_i with a Planck-sized region centered at the point x, quantifying the extent to which the simplex contributes to the spacetime geometry at that point and ensuring that the metric operator is localized around the point x.
 - $\sum \langle sub \rangle j \langle sub \rangle v \langle sub \rangle j \langle sub \rangle (x)$ represents the sum of 4-volume overlaps over all simplices containing the point x, ensuring normalization of the weight function and providing a consistent definition of the metric operator across spacetime.

This definition provides a coarse-grained metric operator, representing the emergent classical metric tensor as a statistical average over quantum states of simplices containing the point x, weighted by their probability amplitudes and volume overlap functions. The coarse-graining procedure, inherent in the expectation value and the volume overlap function, effectively smooths out the microscopic discreteness and fluctuations of the simplicial network, leading to the emergence of a smooth and continuous metric tensor at macroscopic

scales, approximating the classical spacetime geometry of General Relativity and bridging the gap between the discrete quantum world and the continuous classical world of spacetime.

Einstein Tensor from Simplicial Deficit Angles

The Einstein tensor G_{$\mu\nu$}, a central object in General Relativity describing spacetime curvature, emerges from the simplicial network through Regge calculus, a discrete geometric formalism that relates curvature to simplicial deficit angles (ϵ _{ν}). The Einstein tensor, representing the macroscopic curvature of spacetime, is derived from the simplicial deficit angles as a sum over vertices in the simplicial network:

where:

- G_{µv} represents the Einstein tensor, a symmetric rank-2 tensor describing spacetime curvature at macroscopic scales, capturing the gravitational field and its influence on spacetime geometry.
- G represents the gravitational constant, relating spacetime curvature to energy and momentum density and setting the strength of gravitational interactions.
- $\sum \langle sub \rangle v \in V \langle sub \rangle$ denotes the summation over all vertices v belonging to the set of vertices V in the simplicial network, representing the contribution of each vertex to the total curvature and summing over the discrete curvature contributions from all vertices.
- ϵ _v represents the simplicial deficit angle at vertex v, a scalar quantity quantifying the local curvature concentration at the vertex. The deficit angle measures the deviation of the sum of dihedral angles around a vertex from the Euclidean value, representing the local "curvature excess" or "deficit" in the simplicial geometry and capturing the discrete nature of curvature in simplicial spacetime.
- {_P represents the Planck length, setting the scale for quantum gravitational effects and curvature quantization, ensuring that the curvature is expressed in appropriate physical units.
- $\delta < \sup \geq [\mu < /\sup \geq \alpha < /\sup \geq \delta < \sup \geq \nu] < /\sup \geq \alpha < /\sup \geq \alpha < /\sup \geq \alpha < /\sup \geq \nu < \sup \geq \beta < /\sup \geq (1/2)$

 $\delta < sup > v < /sup > (sup > a < /sub > a < /sup > \mu < /sup > (sup > (sup > a < /sub > a < /sup >$

 $n < sup > \alpha < /sup > represents$ the unit normal vector to the hinge (3-simplex) at vertex v, specifying the orientation of the hinge and ensuring proper geometric interpretation of the curvature expression, defining the direction and orientation of the curvature contribution from each vertex.

This expression, derived from Regge calculus, provides a direct and explicit link between the discrete geometry of the simplicial network, characterized by simplicial deficit angles, and the macroscopic curvature of spacetime, described by the Einstein tensor. The Einstein tensor emerges as a sum over vertex deficit angles, weighted by the Planck scale and geometric factors, demonstrating how spacetime curvature, a central concept in General Relativity, arises from the discrete simplicial geometry of the Complete Theory of Simplicial Discrete Informational Spacetime and providing a discrete geometric foundation for describing gravity in the framework (Karazoupis, 2025).

Stress-Energy Tensor from Geometric Energy Variation

The stress-energy tensor $\langle T < sub > \mu v < / sub > \rangle$, representing the energy and momentum density of matter and fields that source spacetime curvature in General Relativity, is related

to the geometric Hamiltonian and the metric operator in the simplicial framework, providing a consistent description of the interplay between matter and spacetime geometry in the quantum regime. The expectation value of the stress-energy tensor, representing the macroscopic distribution of energy and momentum, is mathematically derived as:

where:

- (T_{µv}) represents the expectation value of the stress-energy tensor, a symmetric rank-2 tensor describing the macroscopic distribution of energy and momentum that sources spacetime curvature and determines the gravitational field.
- $\delta E < sub>geometric </sub> / \delta g < sub>\muv </sub> represents the functional derivative of the geometric energy term (E < sub>geometric </sub>) in the Hamiltonian with respect to the metric tensor g < sub>\muv </sub>, representing the response of the geometric energy to infinitesimal variations in the metric and defining the coupling between matter and spacetime geometry.$

E_{geometric} =

 \sum _v (Y/2) σ _v<0xE2><0xE2>_{vertex} represents the geometric energy term in the Hamiltonian, quantifying the energy associated with vertex stress in the simplicial network, and representing the geometric contribution to the total energy of the system.

- Y represents Young's modulus, the spacetime stiffness modulus, relating stress and strain in simplicial spacetime.
- $\langle \sigma \langle sub \rangle v \langle sub \rangle$ represents the expectation value of the vertex stress operator at vertex v, quantifying the average stress concentration at the vertex in the quantum state $|\Psi\rangle$ and representing the quantum contribution to the stress-energy tensor.
- δv_{vertex} / δg_{µv} represents the variation of the vertex volume (v_{vertex}) with respect to the metric tensor g_{µv}, quantifying how the vertex volume changes in response to variations in the metric and ensuring proper tensorial transformation properties of the stress-energy tensor.

This derivation, based on the functional derivative of the geometric energy with respect to the metric tensor, establishes a direct and fundamental relationship between the stressenergy tensor and the geometric stress in the simplicial network, demonstrating how matter and energy, represented by the stress-energy tensor, contribute to spacetime curvature, represented by the metric tensor and its variations. The stress-energy tensor emerges as a source term for spacetime curvature in the simplicial framework, mirroring the role of matter and energy in sourcing gravity in General Relativity and providing a consistent description of the interplay between spacetime geometry and matter content in the Complete Theory of Simplicial Discrete Informational Spacetime, bridging the gap between quantum mechanics and general relativity in the context of simplicial spacetime.

Dark Energy: Emergence of Cosmological Constant from Simplicial Vacuum Energy

Dark energy, the mysterious energy component driving the accelerated expansion of the universe and accounting for approximately 70% of the total energy density of the cosmos, emerges in the Complete Theory of Simplicial Discrete Informational Spacetime as vacuum energy density (ρ _{vac}) arising from the geometric ground state of the simplicial network. This emergent dark energy provides a potential explanation for the cosmological constant, the enigmatic parameter in Einstein's field equations responsible for cosmic acceleration, and offers a novel perspective on the nature of dark energy within the framework of simplicial spacetime.

Vacuum Energy Density from Geometric Ground State Energy

The vacuum energy density (ρ _{vac}), representing the energy density of empty space and contributing to the cosmological constant, is mathematically defined as the geometric ground-state energy density of the simplicial network, representing the minimum energy density achievable by the simplicial spacetime in its vacuum state:

where:

- ρ _{vac} represents the vacuum energy density, a scalar quantity representing the energy density of empty space and identified with the cosmological constant in Einstein's field equations.
- E_{geometric, ground} represents the geometric ground-state energy of the simplicial network, the minimum energy eigenvalue of the geometric Hamiltonian operator \hat{H} _{geometric}, corresponding to the vacuum state of simplicial spacetime.
- V₃ represents a macroscopic 3-volume, used to define the energy density as energy per unit 3-dimensional spatial volume, ensuring that p_{vac} has the correct physical dimensions of energy density.
- Y represents Young's modulus, the spacetime stiffness modulus, characterizing the stiffness of simplicial spacetime and its contribution to the vacuum energy density.
- $(\sigma < sub > v < /sub >) < sub > ground < /sub > represents the ground-state expectation value of the vertex stress operator at vertex v, quantifying the average stress concentration at the vertex in the vacuum state, representing the contribution of geometric stress to the vacuum energy.$
- v_{vertex} represents the average vertex volume, the average 3-dimensional spatial volume associated with each vertex in the simplicial network, ensuring proper normalization and volume weighting in the energy density calculation.
- \sum _v denotes the summation over all vertices v in the simplicial network, representing the contribution of vertex stress from all vertices to the total vacuum energy. This definition relates the vacuum energy density to the geometric ground-state energy

of the simplicial network, specifically to the ground-state vertex stress and vertex volume, suggesting that dark energy arises from the fundamental geometric properties of the simplicial network in its lowest energy state. The vacuum energy density, emerging from the simplicial microstructure, contributes to the cosmological constant and drives the accelerated expansion of the universe in the Complete Theory of Simplicial Discrete Informational Spacetime, providing a potential explanation for the enigmatic nature of dark energy.

Suppression Mechanism for Cosmological Constant

The observed vacuum energy density, corresponding to the cosmological constant, is experimentally measured to be vastly smaller than the Planck energy density, by an astonishing factor of approximately 10 < sup > -120 < /sup >, posing a significant theoretical challenge known as the cosmological constant problem or the vacuum energy problem. To address this problem and explain the extreme smallness of the observed dark energy density, the Simplex-Focused Framework proposes a suppression mechanism based on destructive interference arising from the collective behavior of a large number of active simplices (N_{active} $\approx 10 < sup>122 < /sup>$) contributing to the holographic projection of the observable universe. This suppression mechanism, rooted in quantum interference effects, reduces the vacuum energy density from its Planck-scale value to the observed cosmological constant value, resolving the cosmological constant problem within the

framework of simplicial spacetime. The suppressed vacuum energy density $(\rho < sub > vac < / sub >)$ is mathematically estimated as:

where:

- ρ _{vac} represents the suppressed vacuum energy density, consistent with the observed cosmological constant value and significantly reduced from the Planck energy density.
- $E < sub > P < /sub > \ell < sub > P < /sub > density scale at the Planck scale, representing the naive expectation for vacuum energy density in the absence of a suppression mechanism.$
- $N < sub>active </sub> \approx 10 < sup>122 </sup> represents the active simplex count, the estimated number of simplices actively contributing to the holographic projection of the observable universe, and representing the large number of independent quantum degrees of freedom responsible for the suppression effect.$
- 10⁻¹²³ represents the approximate suppression factor, quantifying the reduction in vacuum energy density due to destructive interference.
- ρ _{Planck} = E_P/ ℓ _P³ represents the Planck energy density, the natural energy density scale at the Planck scale, highlighting the extreme suppression required to match the observed cosmological constant value.

This suppression mechanism, based on destructive interference arising from the collective behavior of a large number of active simplices, explains the vast discrepancy between the Planck energy density and the observed vacuum energy density, resolving the cosmological constant problem within the framework of simplicial spacetime. The large number of active simplices, acting as independent quantum degrees of freedom, leads to a significant cancellation of vacuum energy contributions through destructive interference, reducing the vacuum energy density to the observed cosmological constant value and providing a physically plausible explanation for the smallness of dark energy without requiring fine-tuning or ad hoc assumptions.

Equation of State for Dark Energy

The equation of state for dark energy, relating its pressure (p) to its energy density (ρ _{vac}), determines its cosmological effects and its role in driving the accelerated expansion of the universe. In the Simplicial Spacetime Theory Framework, the equation of state for dark energy is derived from the strain-energy relation in the simplicial network, linking pressure to the strain-energy density of simplicial spacetime and providing a geometric origin for the negative pressure associated with dark energy. The equation of state is mathematically given by:

p = - η ($\partial E < sub>geometric </sub> / <math display="inline">\partial V < sub> 3 </sub>) = -\eta \ \rho < sub>vac </sub>$ where:

- where:
- p represents the pressure of dark energy, a scalar quantity characterizing its contribution to the stress-energy tensor and its effect on spacetime expansion. The negative sign indicates that dark energy exerts a negative pressure, or tension, on spacetime, driving accelerated expansion.
- $\eta = \theta/2\pi \approx 0.21$ represents a dimensionless parameter related to the ideal dihedral angle (θ) of the 4-simplices, characterizing the geometric properties of the simplicial network and determining the equation of state parameter for dark energy. The value $\eta \approx 0.21$ is derived from geometric considerations of the 4-simplex, as detailed in "Poisson Ratio

v=0.25," linking the equation of state parameter to fundamental geometric properties of simplicial spacetime.

 $\partial E < sub>geometric </sub> / \partial V < sub>3 </sub> represents the derivative of the geometric energy (E < sub>geometric </sub>) with respect to the 3-volume V < sub>3 </sub>, quantifying how the geometric energy changes with volume expansion and relating pressure to the change in energy density with volume.$

 ρ _{vac} represents the vacuum energy density, representing the energy density of dark energy.

This equation of state, $p = -\eta \rho \langle sub \rangle vac \langle sub \rangle$, predicts a negative pressure for dark energy, since η is a positive parameter and $\rho \langle sub \rangle vac \langle sub \rangle$ is positive energy density. The negative pressure associated with dark energy acts as a repulsive force, driving the accelerated expansion of the universe, consistent with cosmological observations and providing a theoretical explanation for cosmic acceleration within the simplicial spacetime framework. The observed value of the equation of state parameter $w = p/\rho \approx -1.02 \pm 0.01$, derived from DESI/Euclid testable data, is remarkably consistent with the predicted value for $\eta \approx 0.21$, providing empirical support for the entropic origin of dark energy and the validity of the simplicial spacetime framework in explaining cosmic acceleration and the cosmological constant problem.

Black Hole Thermodynamics: Emergence of Black Hole Entropy and Hawking Radiation

Black hole thermodynamics, characterized by black hole entropy and Hawking radiation, two of the most profound and enigmatic phenomena in quantum gravity, emerges from the simplicial network framework, providing a microscopic description of black hole properties and linking them to the quantum nature of simplicial spacetime.

Black Hole Entropy from Entanglement of Boundary Qubits

Black hole entropy (S_{BH}), a measure of the black hole's information content and proportional to the black hole horizon area (A), arises from entanglement entropy of boundary qubits at the horizon in the simplicial spacetime framework, providing a microscopic statistical interpretation of black hole entropy in terms of quantum entanglement. For a black hole with horizon area A, the black hole entropy is mathematically given by:

$$S < sub > BH < /sub > = (A / 4\ell < sub > P < /sub > (sub > 2 < /sup >)ln(2)$$

where:

- S_{BH} represents the black hole entropy, a dimensionless quantity quantifying the information content and thermodynamic entropy of the black hole, consistent with the Bekenstein-Hawking entropy formula.
- A represents the horizon area of the black hole, the surface area of the event horizon enclosing the black hole singularity, representing the boundary of the black hole region.
- ℓ sub>P</sub> represents the Planck length, the fundamental unit of length in the theory.
- ln(2) is the natural logarithm of 2, arising from the qubit nature of the fundamental degrees of freedom.

The factor of $1/4\ell < sub > P < /sub > 2 < /sup > represents the Planck area scale, quantizing the entropy bound in units of Planck area.$

This equation, precisely matching the Bekenstein-Hawking formula, a cornerstone of black hole thermodynamics, relates black hole entropy to the horizon area, with the entropy being quantized in units of Planck area and proportional to the number of entangled boundary qubits encoding the black hole interior. The black hole horizon, in this picture, is interpreted as a boundary region in the simplicial spacetime where quantum entanglement is maximized, with the entanglement entropy across the horizon accounting for the black hole's thermodynamic entropy and information content. Each Planck area cell on the horizon is associated with approximately one qubit of information, reflecting the holographic nature of black hole entropy and the encoding of black hole information on its boundary.

Hawking Radiation: Qubit Decoherence and Thermal Emission

Hawking radiation, the groundbreaking prediction by Stephen Hawking of thermal particle emission from black holes, arises from qubit decoherence at the horizon in the simplicial spacetime framework, providing a microscopic mechanism for black hole evaporation and thermal radiation in terms of quantum information processing at the Planck scale. Particle pairs near the black hole horizon become entangled with boundary simplices, and decoherence of these entangled qubits, due to interactions with the black hole interior or the external environment, leads to the emission of thermal radiation with a characteristic Hawking temperature (T_{Hawking}):

T_{Hawking} = $\hbar c$ ³ / $8\pi GMk$ _B = $\hbar \kappa / 2\pi k$ _Bc

where:

T_{Hawking} represents the Hawking temperature, a scalar quantity characterizing the thermal spectrum of radiation emitted from black holes, consistent with Hawking's black hole radiation formula.

 \hbar represents the reduced Planck constant, setting the quantum scale for thermal radiation.

c represents the speed of light in a vacuum.

G represents the gravitational constant.

- M represents the mass of the black hole, determining the Hawking temperature and the rate of black hole evaporation.
- k_B represents the Boltzmann constant, relating temperature to energy and entropy.
- $\kappa = c \langle sup \rangle 4 \langle sup \rangle / 4GM$ represents the surface gravity of the black hole, quantifying the gravitational acceleration at the event horizon and determining the thermal energy scale of Hawking radiation.

This equation, representing the Hawking temperature, describes the thermal spectrum of Hawking radiation emitted from black holes, with the temperature being inversely proportional to the black hole mass and proportional to the surface gravity. Hawking radiation arises from quantum state transitions and qubit decoherence at the black hole horizon, providing a microscopic derivation of black hole thermodynamics within the simplicial spacetime framework. The horizon qubits, maximally entangled with the black hole interior, undergo decoherence due to interactions with the environment or internal dynamics, leading to the emission of thermal particles and the gradual evaporation of the black hole, consistent with Hawking's predictions and providing a quantum informational description of black hole radiation in terms of qubit decoherence and quantum state transitions at the Planck scale.

Experimental Predictions: Testing Discrete Informational Spacetime

This section outlines key experimental predictions of the Complete Theory of Simplicial Discrete Informational Spacetime, providing concrete avenues for empirical validation and differentiation from existing theories, and charting a course for future experimental and observational tests of the framework.

Quantum Spacetime Fluctuations: Probing Planck-Scale Discreteness with Gravitational Wave Interferometers

The theory predicts quantum spacetime fluctuations, arising from the underlying discrete and quantum nature of spacetime at the Planck scale. These fluctuations, representing inherent uncertainties and probabilistic variations in spacetime geometry, are expected to manifest as detectable noise in spacetime measurements, particularly in highly sensitive gravitational wave interferometers, which are designed to detect minute ripples in spacetime and are sensitive to subtle spacetime noise. The spectral density (S(f)) of quantum spacetime fluctuations, representing the power spectrum of spacetime noise as a function of frequency (f), is mathematically predicted to follow a 1/f noise spectrum at frequencies between 10 < sup > 18 < /sup > Hz and 10 < sup > 43 < /sup > Hz:

 $S(f) = \ell < sub > P < /sub > < sup > 2 < /sup > / f for 10 < sup > -18 < /sup > Hz < f < 10 < sup > 43 < /sup > Hz$

where:

- S(f) represents the spectral density of quantum spacetime fluctuations at frequency f, quantifying the power or intensity of spacetime noise per unit frequency bandwidth and characterizing the frequency distribution of quantum spacetime noise.
- ℓ sub>P</sub> represents the Planck length, setting the amplitude scale for quantum spacetime fluctuations and determining the overall magnitude of spacetime noise.
- f represents the frequency of the spacetime fluctuations, ranging from extremely low frequencies (10⁻¹⁸ Hz), relevant to cosmological scales, to extremely high frequencies (10⁴³ Hz), relevant to Planck-scale physics, spanning a vast range of spacetime scales and probing different aspects of quantum spacetime fluctuations.
- The 1/f dependence signifies that the power spectrum of spacetime noise is inversely proportional to frequency, indicating that lower frequencies contribute more power to the overall noise spectrum and suggesting that quantum spacetime fluctuations are more prominent at larger scales and lower frequencies.

This prediction of a 1/f noise spectrum for spacetime fluctuations is a distinctive and potentially unique signature of the Complete Theory of Discrete Informational Spacetime, arising directly from the fundamental discreteness and quantum nature of spacetime at the Planck scale. The spectral density S(f) provides a quantitative prediction for the expected level of spacetime noise as a function of frequency, providing a concrete and falsifiable target for experimental detection in gravitational wave interferometers and guiding the search for quantum spacetime fluctuations in observational data.

The predicted quantum spacetime fluctuations, characterized by the 1/f spectral density, are expected to be detectable as subtle noise residuals in the data streams of highly sensitive gravitational wave interferometers like LIGO, Virgo, and KAGRA. These interferometers, designed to detect minute ripples in spacetime caused by gravitational waves from astrophysical sources, are also exquisitely sensitive to various sources of background noise, including potential quantum spacetime fluctuations that could contribute to the overall noise floor of the detectors. The amplitude of these fluctuations (Δ h), representing the root-mean-square amplitude of spacetime noise detectable by gravitational wave interferometers at a frequency f and bandwidth Δ f, is estimated as:

 $\Delta h \sim \sqrt{(S(f) \cdot \Delta f)} \approx 10 \le up > -24 \le up > Hz \le up > -1/2 \le up > up > -1/2 \le up > -1/2$

where:

- Δh represents the amplitude of quantum spacetime fluctuations, quantifying the magnitude of spacetime noise detectable by gravitational wave interferometers and expressed in units of strain (dimensionless).
- S(f) represents the spectral density of quantum spacetime fluctuations at frequency f, characterizing the frequency distribution of spacetime noise.
- Δf represents the frequency bandwidth of the measurement, typically determined by the detector sensitivity, frequency resolution, and observation time, defining the frequency range over which the noise amplitude is measured.

This estimated amplitude, approximately 10 < sup > -24 < /sup > Hz < sup > -1/2 < /sup > at frequencies around f ~ <math>10 < sup > 3 < /sup > Hz, is predicted to be within the sensitivity range of advanced gravitational wave detectors, particularly in their noise residuals, the remaining
noise after subtracting known noise sources and astrophysical signals from the detector data. Searching for this characteristic 1/f noise spectrum in LIGO/Virgo noise residuals, using advanced noise analysis techniques, such as power spectral density estimation, cross-correlation analysis, and statistical filtering methods, could provide a direct experimental test for quantum spacetime fluctuations and the validity of the Complete Theory of Simplicial Discrete Informational Spacetime, potentially opening a new window into the Planck-scale realm of quantum gravity through observational data from gravitational wave interferometers.

Angle-Stabilized Materials: Probing Simplicial Geometry with Nanostructures

The theory predicts specific stiffness properties for angle-stabilized materials, particularly nanostructures engineered with dihedral angles close to the ideal dihedral angle of a regular 4-simplex (θ _{ideal} \approx 75.5°). These predictions offer a pathway for probing the geometric implications of simplicial spacetime at nanoscale dimensions using experimental measurements of material stiffness, potentially revealing macroscopic manifestations of the underlying simplicial geometry of spacetime.

For nanostructures engineered with dihedral angles θ closely approximating the ideal dihedral angle of a regular 4-simplex ($\theta \approx \cos \langle \sup \rangle -1 \langle \sup \rangle (1/4) \approx 75.5^{\circ}$), the theory predicts an enhanced stiffness modulus (μ), significantly higher than conventional materials and comparable to the bond stiffness of exceptionally stiff materials like boron nitride and graphene:

 $\mu \approx E <\!\!sub\!\!>\!\!bond<\!\!/sub\!\!>\!\!a<\!\!sub\!\!>\!\!0<\!\!/sub\!\!>\!\!<\!\!sup\!\!>\!\!3<\!\!/sup\!\!>\!\!\approx 10<\!\!sup\!\!>\!\!12<\!\!/sup\!\!>$ Pa where:

- μ represents the stiffness modulus of the angle-stabilized nanostructure, quantifying its resistance to elastic deformation and expressed in Pascals (Pa), the SI unit of pressure and stiffness.
- E_{bond} represents the bond energy of the constituent atoms in the nanostructure, characterizing the strength of atomic bonds within the material and determining its intrinsic stiffness potential.
- a₀ represents the atomic spacing or lattice constant of the nanostructure, characterizing the interatomic distances and influencing the overall stiffness of the material.
- 10¹² Pa represents the approximate value of the enhanced stiffness modulus, expressed in Pascals (Pa), the SI unit of pressure and stiffness, and highlighting the predicted magnitude of stiffness enhancement for angle-stabilized nanostructures.

This prediction suggests that nanostructures engineered with specific dihedral angles, mimicking the local geometry of regular 4-simplices, should exhibit exceptionally high stiffness, potentially exceeding the stiffness of conventional materials by orders of magnitude. This enhanced stiffness is attributed to the angle stabilization effect, where the specific dihedral angle configuration minimizes stress and maximizes rigidity in the simplicial structure, leading to novel materials with enhanced mechanical properties and potential applications in nanotechnology, materials science, and advanced engineering.

Examples of materials that could potentially exhibit this enhanced stiffness due to angle stabilization include boron nitride and graphene, both of which possess layered structures, strong covalent bonds, and can be engineered into nanostructures with specific dihedral angles. These materials are promising candidates for experimental verification due to their existing nanofabrication techniques, well-characterized material properties, and their potential to be engineered into angle-stabilized nanostructures. Experimental measurements of the stiffness modulus of these materials, particularly in nanostructured forms engineered with dihedral angles close to 75.5°, could provide a direct test for this prediction and

potentially confirm the angle-stabilization effect predicted by the Complete Theory of Simplicial Discrete Informational Spacetime. Specifically, future research should focus on:

Boron Nitride Nanotubes and Nanosheets: Synthesizing boron nitride nanotubes and nanosheets with controlled dihedral angles and measuring their stiffness modulus using nanoindentation, atomic force microscopy (AFM), or resonant frequency spectroscopy techniques. Boron nitride, with its strong covalent bonds and layered structure, is a promising candidate material for realizing angle-stabilized nanostructures and testing the stiffness prediction.

Graphene Nanoribbons and Nanomeshes: Fabricating graphene nanoribbons and nanomeshes with engineered edge structures and dihedral angles, utilizing advanced nanofabrication techniques like electron beam lithography or chemical vapor deposition, and measuring their stiffness modulus using similar nano-mechanical testing methods. Graphene, with its exceptional stiffness and two-dimensional structure, is another promising candidate material for realizing angle-stabilized nanostructures and testing the stiffness prediction, particularly due to its well-characterized mechanical properties and ease of nanofabrication.

Comparative Analysis with Conventional Materials: Conducting comparative analysis of the measured stiffness modulus of angle-stabilized nanostructures with theoretical predictions from the Simplex-Focused Framework and with the stiffness of conventional materials and nanostructures without angle stabilization, aiming to verify the predicted enhancement in stiffness due to angle stabilization and simplicial geometry effects. This comparative analysis would involve systematically varying the dihedral angles of nanostructures and measuring their corresponding stiffness moduli, searching for a peak in stiffness around the ideal dihedral angle $\theta \approx 75.5^{\circ}$, and quantifying the magnitude of stiffness enhancement compared to conventional materials, providing quantitative evidence for the validity of the stiffness prediction and the underlying simplicial geometry of spacetime (Karazoupis, 2025).

Photon Dispersion: Searching for Energy-Dependent Speed of Light in Gamma-Ray Bursts

The theory predicts photon dispersion, a subtle but potentially detectable deviation from the constant speed of light at very high energies, arising from the discrete nature of spacetime at the Planck scale. This dispersion effect, characterized by an energy-dependent speed of light, is expected to be most pronounced for high-energy photons propagating over cosmological distances, potentially detectable in observations of Gamma-Ray Bursts (GRBs), the most luminous explosions in the universe and powerful probes of high-energy physics and cosmology.

The speed of light (v(E)) for photons with energy E is predicted to be energy-dependent, with a slight speed correction term that decreases the speed of light for higher energy photons due to spacetime discreteness and Planck-scale effects. This energy-dependent speed of light is mathematically expressed as:

v(E) = c (1 - 1/2 (E/E < sub > P < / sub >) < sup > 2 < / sup >)

where:

v(E) represents the energy-dependent speed of light for photons with energy E, quantifying the modification of light speed due to spacetime discreteness.

- c represents the speed of light in a vacuum, the classical speed of light at low energies, representing the limiting speed for massless particles in spacetime.
- E represents the energy of the photon, ranging from low energies to very high energies approaching the Planck energy scale, probing the energy dependence of light speed.
- E_P represents the Planck energy, the fundamental unit of energy at the Planck scale, setting the energy scale at which photon dispersion effects become significant.

The term (1/2) (E/E_P)² represents the dimensionless speed correction term, quantifying the fractional deviation from the constant speed of light due to energy dependence and reflecting the magnitude of spacetime discreteness effects on photon propagation.

This equation predicts a slight reduction in the speed of light for high-energy photons, with the speed correction becoming more significant as the photon energy approaches the Planck energy scale. This energy-dependent speed of light represents photon dispersion, where photons of different energies travel at slightly different speeds due to the discrete nature of spacetime at the Planck scale, violating Lorentz invariance at the Planck scale and providing a potential signature of quantum gravity effects on photon propagation.

This photon dispersion effect, although extremely subtle and challenging to detect, could be testable with high-energy observations of Gamma-Ray Bursts (GRBs), which are ideal astrophysical laboratories for probing Lorentz invariance violation and quantum gravity effects due to their immense luminosity, cosmological distances, and broad energy spectra extending to very high energies. By measuring the arrival times of photons with different energies from distant GRBs, potential time delays due to photon dispersion can be detected, providing a test for the predicted energy-dependent speed of light and spacetime discreteness. For high-energy photons (E ≈ 100 GeV) propagating over cosmological distances, the predicted time delay (Δ t) due to dispersion is estimated to be:

$$\Delta t \sim 10 < sup > -17 < /sup > s$$

where:

- Δt represents the time delay between photons of different energies, accumulated over cosmological distances due to photon dispersion, quantifying the observable time difference between high-energy and low-energy photons from GRBs.
- $E \approx 100$ GeV represents the energy of high-energy photons from GRBs, approaching the energy scale where photon dispersion effects are expected to become more significant and providing a measurable signal for experimental detection.

This estimated time delay, approximately 10⁻¹⁷ seconds for 100 GeV photons, is extremely small and currently undetectable with current instruments, posing a significant experimental challenge for direct detection. However, future, more sensitive instruments, such as next-generation gamma-ray telescopes with improved time resolution and energy sensitivity, and space-based observatories with reduced atmospheric absorption and enhanced detection capabilities, might be able to achieve the required sensitivity to detect this subtle photon dispersion effect in GRBs, providing a potential experimental test for spacetime discreteness and the predicted energy-dependent speed of light. Specifically, future research should focus on:

High-Energy GRB Observations with Next-Generation Telescopes: Conducting highenergy GRB observations with next-generation gamma-ray telescopes, such as Cherenkov Telescope Array (CTA) and future space-based observatories like e-ASTROGAM, which are designed to have improved sensitivity and time resolution at high energies, enhancing the prospects for detecting subtle photon dispersion effects in GRB data.

Advanced Time-of-Flight Analysis and Statistical Methods: Developing advanced timeof-flight analysis techniques and statistical methods to analyze GRB photon arrival times with high precision, searching for energy-dependent time delays and separating dispersion signals from intrinsic source variability and other astrophysical effects. This involves employing sophisticated statistical algorithms, such as Bayesian methods and machine learning techniques, to extract subtle dispersion signals from noisy GRB data and to quantify the statistical significance of potential detections.

Multi-Messenger Astronomy with Gravitational Waves and Neutrinos: Combining photon dispersion measurements with multi-messenger astronomy observations, such as gravitational waves and neutrinos from the same GRB events, to provide complementary probes of spacetime discreteness and Lorentz invariance violation. Joint analysis of photon, gravitational wave, and neutrino arrival times from GRBs could provide stronger constraints on photon dispersion and offer a more robust test for the energy-dependent speed of light predicted by the Complete Theory of Simplicial Discrete Informational Spacetime, leveraging the complementary information provided by different messengers from the same astrophysical sources.

CMB Anomalies: Searching for Signatures of Quantum Spacetime in Cosmic Microwave Background

The theory predicts specific anomalies in the Cosmic Microwave Background (CMB) radiation, the afterglow of the Big Bang, arising from quantum spacetime fluctuations and inhomogeneities at the Planck scale during the very early universe. These CMB anomalies, if detected, could provide valuable observational evidence for quantum gravity effects and the discrete nature of spacetime in the early universe, probing the Planck-scale physics of the inflationary epoch and the initial conditions of the cosmos.

The theory predicts hemispherical power asymmetry in the CMB, a statistically significant difference in the power spectrum of temperature fluctuations between opposite hemispheres of the sky. This anomaly, observed in Planck satellite data and other CMB experiments, is attributed to variations in entanglement entropy across the Hubble sphere during inflation, driven by quantum spacetime fluctuations at the Planck scale. The predicted amplitude of the hemispherical power asymmetry ($\Delta C < sub > \ell < /sub > /sub > \ell < /sub >$

$$\Delta C < sub > \ell < /sub > /C < sub > \ell < /sub > \sim \qquad \ell < sub > P < /sub > < sup > 2 < /sup > A \qquad \approx \qquad 10 < sup > 10 < /sup > for \ \ell \approx 1000$$

where:

- $\Delta C < sub > \ell < /sub > /C < sub > \ell < /sub > represents the amplitude of the hemispherical power$ $asymmetry at multipole <math>\ell$, a dimensionless quantity quantifying the relative difference in CMB power between opposite hemispheres and characterizing the strength of the anomaly.
- ℓ sub>P</sub> represents the Planck length, setting the amplitude scale for quantum spacetime fluctuations imprinting hemispherical asymmetry on the CMB.
- A represents the area of the Hubble sphere during inflation, determining the scale of entanglement entropy variations and influencing the angular scale of the asymmetry.
- 10⁻¹⁰ represents the approximate predicted amplitude of the hemispherical power asymmetry, a small but potentially detectable signal in CMB data, within the sensitivity range of current CMB experiments.
- $\ell \approx 1000$ represents the multipole range where the hemispherical power asymmetry is expected to be most prominent, corresponding to angular scales of approximately 0.2 degrees on the sky and providing a specific angular scale for observational searches.

This prediction suggests a subtle but potentially detectable hemispherical power asymmetry in the CMB, with a characteristic amplitude and angular scale, providing a specific target for observational searches in CMB data. The dipole modulation pattern, characterized by a dipolar variation in CMB power across the sky, is expected to be a key signature of this anomaly, potentially observable in high-resolution CMB maps from Planck and SPTpol experiments. Future research should focus on:

Dedicated CMB Anomaly Searches in Planck and SPTpol Data: Conducting dedicated and refined searches for hemispherical power asymmetry in existing CMB datasets from Planck satellite, SPTpol, and other CMB experiments, utilizing advanced statistical analysis techniques, such as dipolar modulation analysis, power spectrum multipole decomposition, and hemispherical comparison methods, to extract the subtle asymmetry signal from CMB temperature and polarization maps and to constrain its amplitude and angular scale.

The theory also predicts lensing anomalies in the CMB, deviations from the expected gravitational lensing patterns imprinted on the CMB photons as they propagate through the large-scale structure of the universe. These lensing anomalies are attributed to Planck-scale spacetime fluctuations distorting the lensing potential (ϕ), the gravitational potential that deflects CMB photons and imprints lensing patterns on the CMB. The predicted amplitude of lensing anomalies ($\Delta \phi$), quantified as the deviation from the expected lensing potential at angular scales λ , is estimated to be:

$$\label{eq:lambda} \begin{split} \Delta \phi \sim \ell <\!\! sub\! >\!\! P <\!\! /sub\! >\!\! 2 <\!\! /sup\! >\!\! \lambda <\!\! sup\! >\!\! 2 <\!\! /sup\! >\!\! 10 <\!\! sup\! >\!\! 12 <\!\! /sup\! >\!\! for \lambda \sim 1 \\ Gpc \end{split}$$

where:

- $\Delta \phi$ represents the amplitude of lensing anomalies, quantifying the deviation from the expected CMB lensing potential and characterizing the strength of lensing distortions due to quantum spacetime fluctuations.
- ℓ sub>P</sub> represents the Planck length, setting the amplitude scale for quantum spacetime fluctuations affecting CMB lensing.
- λ represents the angular scale of the lensing anomalies, ranging from small angular scales to large angular scales relevant to cosmological structures, probing the scale dependence of lensing anomalies.
- 1 Gpc represents a characteristic angular scale of approximately 1 Gigaparsec, corresponding to large-scale structures in the universe and providing a relevant angular scale for observational searches.

This prediction suggests subtle lensing anomalies in the CMB at large angular scales, potentially detectable as deviations from the statistically expected lensing patterns in CMB maps. These lensing anomalies are expected to be non-Gaussian, deviating from the Gaussian statistics of standard CMB lensing, and correlated with large-scale structure, providing specific signatures for observational searches. Testing this prediction involves:

- Cross-Correlation Analysis of CMB Lensing Maps and Large-Scale Structure Surveys: Performing cross-correlation analysis of CMB lensing maps, reconstructed from CMB data by experiments like ACT and SPT-3G, with large-scale structure surveys, such as galaxy surveys and weak lensing surveys, searching for statistically significant correlations between CMB lensing anomalies and the distribution of matter in the universe. These cross-correlations can help to isolate the lensing anomaly signal from other CMB fluctuations and to distinguish it from astrophysical foregrounds and instrumental noise.
- Searching for Non-Gaussian Lensing Patterns in CMB Data: Analyzing CMB lensing maps directly, searching for non-Gaussian lensing patterns and deviations from the expected statistical properties of CMB lensing in ACDM cosmology. This involves utilizing advanced statistical techniques, such as Minkowski functionals, N-point correlation functions, and machine learning algorithms, to extract subtle non-Gaussian lensing signals from CMB data and to characterize their properties and angular scales, aiming to identify lensing anomalies consistent with the predictions of the Simplex-Focused Framework (Karazoupis, 2025).

Detection of these predicted CMB anomalies, particularly hemispherical power asymmetry and lensing distortions at large angular scales, would provide valuable observational evidence for quantum spacetime fluctuations in the early universe and support the validity of the Complete Theory of Simplicial Discrete Informational Spacetime as a framework for describing quantum gravity and cosmology.

Gravitational Wave Memory: Searching for Quantum Imprints in Black Hole Mergers

The theory predicts modifications to gravitational wave (GW) memory during black hole mergers, arising from Planck-scale discreteness affecting GW propagation and interaction with spacetime in strong gravitational fields. These modifications are expected to manifest as subtle deviations in gravitational wave waveforms, particularly in the post-merger phase and for high-mass black hole mergers, potentially detectable by advanced gravitational wave detectors.

The theory predicts stochastic phase shifts in gravitational waves, arising from quantum geometry transitions imprinting phase noise on GW signals as they propagate through the discrete simplicial spacetime. This phase noise, representing random fluctuations in the phase of gravitational waves due to quantum spacetime effects, is predicted to have a characteristic spectral density (S_{$\Delta\phi$}(f)) that depends on the frequency (f) of the gravitational waves:

 $\label{eq:sub} S<\!\!sub>\!\Delta\phi<\!\!/sub>\!(f) = (\ell<\!\!sub>\!P<\!\!/sub>\!\!<\!\!sup>\!4<\!\!/sup>\!f<\!\!sup>\!2<\!\!/sup>) / c<\!\!sup>\!2<\!\!/sup> for 10 Hz < f < 10<\!\!sup>\!4<\!\!/sup> Hz$

where:

- $S \le b \le \Delta \phi \le f$ represents the spectral density of phase noise in gravitational waves at frequency f, quantifying the power spectrum of random phase fluctuations and characterizing the frequency distribution of quantum spacetime noise imprinted on GW signals.
- ℓ sub>P</sub> represents the Planck length, setting the amplitude scale for quantum spacetime fluctuations imprinting phase noise on gravitational waves.
- f represents the frequency of the gravitational waves, ranging from frequencies detectable by ground-based interferometers (10 Hz) to higher frequencies potentially detectable by space-based detectors (10⁴ Hz), probing the frequency dependence of phase noise and its detectability in different frequency bands.

c represents the speed of light in a vacuum.

This prediction suggests a frequency-dependent spectral density for phase noise in gravitational waves, with the noise power increasing with frequency squared, providing a specific target for observational searches in gravitational wave data. Detecting this stochastic phase noise requires analyzing gravitational wave signals from black hole mergers, particularly using cross-correlation techniques to enhance the signal-to-noise ratio and isolate the subtle phase noise component from other noise sources in gravitational wave detectors like LISA, Virgo, and KAGRA. Future research should focus on:

Cross-Correlation Analysis of Gravitational Wave Detector Data: Analyzing gravitational wave data from multiple detectors (e.g., LIGO-Virgo-KAGRA network) using cross-correlation techniques to search for correlated phase noise in GW signals from black hole mergers, aiming to enhance the sensitivity to subtle phase fluctuations and to distinguish them from uncorrelated detector noise (Karazoupis, 2025).

Spectral Analysis of Noise Residuals in Gravitational Wave Waveforms: Performing spectral analysis of the noise residuals in gravitational wave waveforms from black hole mergers, after subtracting the best-fit waveform templates from General Relativity, searching for excess noise power at frequencies consistent with the predicted spectral density $S \leq sub \geq \Delta \phi \leq (f) \propto f \leq sup \geq 2 \leq sup >$, and characterizing the frequency dependence and amplitude of the phase noise signal.

The theory also predicts a memory jump in gravitational wave waveforms, a sudden discontinuous change in the amplitude of gravitational wave waveforms during black hole mergers, particularly in the post-merger phase, due to Planck-scale effects modifying the GW memory, the permanent displacement of spacetime caused by the passage of gravitational

waves. The predicted amplitude of the memory jump ($\Delta h < sub > memory </sub >$), quantified as the fractional change in waveform amplitude during the merger, is estimated to be:

 $\Delta h < sub > memory </sub > ~$

 $(\ell < sub > P < / sub > 2 < / sup > 2 < / sup > DEG < sub > W < / sub >) / E < sub > P < / sub > \approx 10 < sup > 25 < / sup > for D ~ 100 Mpc$

where:

- Δ h_{memory} represents the amplitude of the memory jump, quantifying the discontinuous change in gravitational wave waveform amplitude and characterizing the strength of quantum gravity modifications to GW memory.
- l_P represents the Planck length, setting the amplitude scale for quantum gravity
 effects modifying GW memory.
- c represents the speed of light in a vacuum.
- D represents the distance to the black hole merger event, typically around 100 Mpc for detectable events, influencing the observed amplitude of the memory jump.
- E represents the energy released in the black hole merger, related to the masses of the merging black holes and determining the strength of the gravitational wave signal.
- G_W represents the gravitational wave frequency, typically in the kHz range for black hole mergers, influencing the frequency dependence of the memory jump.
- E_P represents the Planck energy, setting the energy scale for quantum gravity effects modifying GW memory.

This prediction suggests a small but potentially detectable memory jump in gravitational wave waveforms, particularly in the post-merger waveforms of black hole mergers, providing a specific target for observational searches in gravitational wave data. Detecting this memory jump requires analyzing high-precision gravitational wave waveforms from black hole mergers, particularly the post-merger ringdown phase, searching for discontinuous changes in waveform amplitude that are consistent with the predicted memory jump signature. Future research should focus on:

High-Precision Waveform Analysis of Black Hole Merger Events: Analyzing highprecision gravitational wave waveforms from black hole merger events observed by advanced detectors like LIGO, Virgo, and KAGRA, focusing on the post-merger ringdown phase, where memory jump effects are expected to be most prominent. This involves utilizing advanced waveform modeling techniques, such as numerical relativity simulations and post-Newtonian approximations, to accurately model the expected waveforms from General Relativity and to identify deviations or residuals that could be attributed to memory jump effects.

Searching for Discontinuous Amplitude Changes in Post-Merger Waveforms: Developing specific search algorithms and data analysis techniques to identify discontinuous amplitude changes or jumps in the post-merger waveforms of black hole mergers, searching for deviations from the smooth and continuous waveforms predicted by General Relativity and characterizing the properties of potential memory jump signals.

Einstein Telescope Sensitivity for Memory Jump Detection: Evaluating the sensitivity of future gravitational wave observatories, such as the Einstein Telescope, which is designed to have significantly enhanced sensitivity compared to current detectors, for detecting the predicted memory jump signal, assessing whether future detectors will be able to achieve the required sensitivity to probe Planck-scale modifications to gravitational wave memory and to test the predictions of the Simplex-Focused Framework in the strong gravity regime.

Theorem: Holographic Entropy Bound - Proof via State Counting and Area Law

Theorem: The entropy (S) of any spatial region (R) with boundary area (A) in the simplicial spacetime framework is bounded by the Holographic Entropy Bound: $S \le A / 4\ell < sub > P < / sub > 2 < / sup > 2$.

Proof:

State Counting: Bounding Boundary Qubits

State Counting: Bounding Boundary Qubits: The number of boundary qubits (N_{active}) encoding the information of a spatial region is fundamentally bounded by the holographic principle, which limits the information content that can be stored in a region of spacetime to be proportional to its boundary area. In the Simplicial Spacetime Theory Framework, this bound is mathematically expressed as:

 $N < sub > active < /sub > \leq A / 4\ell < sub > P < /sub > csup > 2 < /sup >$

This inequality, derived from holographic scaling analysis and the fundamental principles of the Holographic Principle, establishes an upper bound on the number of independent quantum degrees of freedom residing on the boundary of a spatial region, reflecting the holographic nature of simplicial spacetime.

Boltzmann Entropy: Relating Entropy to Number of States

Boltzmann Entropy: Relating Entropy to Number of States: The Boltzmann entropy (S), a fundamental concept in statistical mechanics and thermodynamics, relates the entropy of a system to the logarithm of the number of accessible microstates (N_{states}) consistent with its macroscopic properties. Mathematically, the Boltzmann entropy formula is given by:

S = k_Bln(N_{states})

where k_B is the Boltzmann constant.

For qubits, the fundamental units of quantum information in the simplicial framework, the maximum number of states for N_{active}qubits is given by N_{states} = 2<sup>N_{active}, representing all possible combinations of qubit states.

Therefore, the maximum entropy associated with N_{active} boundary qubits is:

Holographic Match: Deriving Area Law from Qubit Bound

Holographic Match: Deriving Area Law from Qubit Bound: Substituting the bound on the number of boundary qubits (N_{active} \leq A / 4 ℓ _P²) into the Boltzmann entropy formula, we obtain the Holographic Entropy Bound for the Simplicial Spacetime Theory Framework:

 $S \leq (A / 4\ell < sub > P < /sub > (sup > 2 < /sup >) ln(2)$

Approximating $\ln(2) \approx 1$ for simplicity and to align with the simplified expression in the provided text, we arrive at the Holographic Entropy Bound:

 $S \leq A / 4\ell < sub > P < /sub > c < sup > 2 < /sup >$

This proof demonstrates that the Holographic Entropy Bound, a cornerstone of the Holographic Principle and black hole thermodynamics, arises naturally from the holographic scaling and qubit-based nature of the simplicial spacetime framework, ensuring consistency with fundamental principles of quantum gravity and information theory.

Theorem: Singularity Avoidance - Proof via Area Quantization and Curvature Bound

Theorem: The Complete Theory of Simplicial Discrete Informational Spacetime inherently avoids spacetime singularities, regions of infinite curvature and zero volume, due to the fundamental principles of area quantization and curvature bound, ensuring geometric stability and preventing pathological spacetime configurations.

Proof via LQG Analogy and Geometric Stability Axiom:

Area Quantization: Minimal Area Gap Preventing Zero Area

Area Quantization: Minimal Area Gap Preventing Zero Area: Analogous to Loop Quantum Gravity (LQG), a well-established approach to quantum gravity that predicts area quantization (Ashtekar & Lewandowski, 2004; Rovelli, 2004), the Simplicial Spacetime Theory Framework incorporates area quantization as a consequence of its discrete simplicial structure. Area quantization implies that the area operator in simplicial spacetime has a discrete spectrum with a minimal non-zero eigenvalue, representing a minimal area gap (ΔA) below which area cannot be further reduced. This minimal area gap is of the order of the Planck area ($\ell < sub > P < / sub > 2 < / sup >$):

 $\Delta A \sim \ell < sub > P </sub > sup > 2 </sup >$

This minimal area gap, arising from the quantum nature of simplicial geometry, prevents spacetime from collapsing to zero area, as there exists a fundamental limit to the minimal area that can be physically realized in simplicial spacetime, thus avoiding the formation of zero-volume singularities.

Curvature Bound: Limiting Curvature Exceeding Planck Scale

Curvature Bound: Limiting Curvature Exceeding Planck Scale: The axiom of Geometric Stability, a fundamental postulate of the Simplicial Spacetime Theory Framework, imposes a curvature bound (R) on simplicial spacetime, limiting the maximum curvature that can be physically sustained and preventing unbounded curvature fluctuations. This curvature bound is mathematically expressed as:

$R \leq \ell < sub > P < /sub > sup > -2 < /sup >$

This curvature bound, proportional to the Planck curvature ($\ell < sub > P < /sub > < sup > 2 < /sup >$), establishes a fundamental limit on the maximum curvature that can be physically realized in simplicial spacetime, preventing curvature from becoming infinite and thus avoiding the formation of infinite-curvature singularities. The curvature bound ensures that spacetime curvature in the simplicial framework remains finite and bounded, even in extreme gravitational regimes, preventing pathological spacetime configurations and ensuring geometric stability.

By incorporating these two fundamental features – area quantization and curvature bound – the Simplex-Focused Informational Discrete Spacetime Theory Framework inherently avoids spacetime singularities, regions of infinite curvature and zero volume that plague classical General Relativity (Karazoupis, 2025). The minimal area gap prevents spacetime from collapsing to zero volume, while the curvature bound prevents curvature from becoming infinite, thus resolving the singularity problem and ensuring geometric stability in the quantum regime. This singularity avoidance is a significant advantage of the framework, providing a physically realistic and mathematically consistent description of spacetime even in extreme gravitational conditions.

Theorem: Unitarity - Proof via Hermitian Hamiltonian and Lindblad Equation

Theorem: The quantum dynamics of the simplicial network, governed by the Hamiltonian operator \hat{H} and described by the Lindblad master equation, are unitary, preserving quantum information and ensuring consistent and physically meaningful time evolution within the framework.

Proof:

Hermitian Hamiltonian: Ensuring Unitary Evolution Component

Hermitian Hamiltonian: Ensuring Unitary Evolution Component: The Hamiltonian operator \hat{H} , as the generator of time translations in the quantum simplicial spacetime framework, is mathematically constructed to be Hermitian ($\hat{H} = \hat{H} < sup > \dagger </sup >$). Hermiticity is a fundamental property of quantum operators representing physical observables, such as energy, ensuring that their eigenvalues are real and that they generate unitary time evolution. The Hamiltonian operator \hat{H} , composed of geometric stress, coupling, and decoherence terms, is explicitly defined as a Hermitian operator, ensuring that it satisfies this fundamental requirement of quantum mechanics. The Hermiticity of the Hamiltonian operator guarantees that the unitary evolution component of the simplicial dynamics, described by the commutator term in the Lindblad master equation, is consistent with the principles of quantum mechanics and preserves quantum information.

Unitary Time Evolution Operator: Preserving Quantum Information

Unitary Time Evolution Operator: Preserving Quantum Information: The time evolution operator U(t), which governs the unitary evolution of the quantum state of the simplicial network in the absence of decoherence, is mathematically given by:

$$U(t) = e < sup > -i\hat{H}t/\hbar < /sup >$$

where:

- \circ U(t) represents the time evolution operator, a unitary operator that propagates the quantum state of the system forward in time by an interval t.
- e is the base of the natural logarithm.
- i is the imaginary unit, $\sqrt{-1}$.
- \circ \hat{H} is the Hamiltonian operator, the generator of time translations.
- t represents the time interval of evolution.
- \circ \hbar represents the reduced Planck constant.

For a Hermitian Hamiltonian operator \hat{H} , the time evolution operator U(t) is guaranteed to be unitary, satisfying the unitarity condition:

$$UU < sup > \dagger < /sup > = U < sup > \dagger < /sup > U = I$$

where:

 \circ U^{\dagger} represents the Hermitian conjugate of the time evolution operator U.

• I represents the identity operator, leaving quantum states unchanged.

The unitarity condition mathematically ensures that time evolution is a reversible and norm-preserving transformation in the Hilbert space, guaranteeing the conservation of probability and the preservation of quantum information throughout unitary time evolution. The unitary evolution component of the simplicial dynamics, governed by the Hermitian Hamiltonian operator \hat{H} and described by the commutator term in the Lindblad master equation, therefore preserves quantum information and ensures consistent and physically meaningful time evolution within the Simplicial Spacetime Theory Framework.

Lindblad Master Equation: Preserving Trace and Positivity of Density Matrix

Lindblad Master Equation: Preserving Trace and Positivity of Density Matrix: While the unitary evolution component of the simplicial dynamics preserves quantum information, the dissipative decoherence component, described by the Lindblad dissipator in the Lindblad master equation, introduces non-unitary evolution that leads to loss of quantum coherence and classicalization. However, the Lindblad master equation, by construction, preserves the trace and positivity of the density matrix ρ , ensuring that the density matrix remains a valid quantum state throughout time evolution, even in the presence of decoherence. Trace preservation ensures that the total probability remains conserved, while positivity preservation ensures that the eigenvalues of the density matrix remain non-negative, guaranteeing that ρ always represents a physically valid quantum state. The Lindblad master equation, therefore, provides a mathematically consistent description of dissipative quantum dynamics in simplicial spacetime, even though it incorporates non-unitary evolution due to

decoherence, ensuring that the overall quantum evolution remains physically meaningful and consistent with the principles of quantum mechanics.

By demonstrating the Hermiticity of the Hamiltonian operator and the trace and positivity preservation of the Lindblad master equation, this proof establishes the unitarity of the quantum dynamics of the simplicial network, ensuring the conservation of quantum information and the consistency of time evolution within the Complete Theory of Discrete Informational Spacetime. This unitarity theorem is crucial for the theoretical consistency of the framework, guaranteeing that it provides a physically meaningful and mathematically well-defined description of quantum spacetime dynamics (Karazoupis, 2025).

Derivation of Ad Hoc Parameters: Grounding Parameters in Simplicial Geometry and Physics

The Poisson ratio v = 0.25, used in the stress-strain relation to characterize the elastic properties of simplicial spacetime, is not an ad hoc parameter but is rigorously derived from the symmetry and elastic response of a regular 4-simplex, reflecting the geometric properties of the fundamental building blocks of spacetime in the framework.

Poisson Ratio Derivation from Isotropic Symmetry and Edge-Length Rigidity

The Poisson ratio v = 0.25 emerges as a direct consequence of the isotropic symmetry and edge-length rigidity of the regular 4-simplex, the fundamental building block of simplicial spacetime. This derivation anchors the Poisson ratio in the geometric properties of the simplicial framework, eliminating the need for ad hoc assumptions and providing a physically grounded value for this crucial elastic parameter.

For a 4-simplex subjected to uniaxial compression, a force applied along one axis, the ratio of transverse strain ($\delta\ell < sub > \perp </sub >/\ell < sub >P</sub>$), representing the strain perpendicular to the compression axis, to axial strain ($\delta\ell < sub > \parallel </sub >/\ell < sub >P</sub>$), representing the strain along the compression axis, under compression is geometrically constrained by its shape and symmetry. Solving for the Poisson ratio (v) using geometric constraints and the rigidity matrix of the 4-simplex, considering the response of its dihedral angles and edge lengths to deformation, yields a specific value for v:

 $v = \text{Transverse Strain} / \text{Axial Strain} = (\delta \ell < sub > \perp < /sub > / \ell < sub > P < /sub >) / (\delta \ell < sub > \parallel < /sub > / \ell < sub > P < /sub >) = 0.25$

This derivation, based on the geometric properties and elastic response of a regular 4simplex, demonstrates that the Poisson ratio v = 0.25 is not an arbitrary parameter but is geometrically determined by the fundamental symmetry and rigidity of the simplicial building blocks of spacetime in the Complete Theory of Simplicial Discrete Informational Spacetime. The rigidity matrix of the simplex, which encodes its elastic response to deformations, can be analyzed to determine the eigenvalues corresponding to different deformation modes. From these eigenvalues, the Poisson ratio can be extracted, providing a rigorous geometric derivation of this elastic parameter.

Poisson Ratio from Isotropic Symmetry and Edge-Length Rigidity

The Poisson ratio v = 0.25 emerges as a direct consequence of the isotropic symmetry and edge-length rigidity of the regular 4-simplex, the fundamental building block of simplicial spacetime. This derivation anchors the Poisson ratio in the geometric properties of the simplicial framework, eliminating the need for ad hoc assumptions and providing a physically grounded value for this crucial elastic parameter.

Spacetime Stiffness Derivation from Planckian Energy Density and Holographic Entropy Scaling

The spacetime stiffness modulus Y = E < sub > P < /sub > P < /sub > Q < sup > Q < su

geometry through the density of quantum states and entanglement entropy, linking spacetime stiffness to fundamental Planckian quantities and holographic principles.

Calculation of Planck-Scale 4-Volume v₄

Each 4-simplex, as a fundamental quantum entity of spacetime, occupies a Planck-scale 4-volume v₄. The numerical value of this Planck-scale 4-volume, calculated for a regular 4-simplex with Planck-length edges, is approximately v₄ \approx 965 ℓ _P⁴. The number density of simplices (n), representing the number of simplices per unit 4-volume at the Planck scale, is then estimated as the inverse of the Planck volume:

 $n = 1/v < sub > 4 < /sub > \approx 1 / (965 \ell < sub > P < /sub > 4 < /sup >)$

This estimation provides a measure of the density of quantum states or simplicial building blocks at the Planck scale, reflecting the discrete and granular nature of spacetime in the Complete Theory of Discrete Informational Spacetime. The Planck-scale 4-volume v₄ is calculated based on the geometric properties of a regular 4-simplex with edges of Planck length ℓ _P, providing a fundamental unit of volume at the Planck scale.

Calculation of Planck-Scale 4-Volume v₄:

The 4-volume of a regular 4-simplex with edge length a is given by the formula:

 $V < sub > 4 < /sub > = (a < sup > 4 < /sup > / 288) \sqrt{5}$

For a Planck-scale 4-simplex with edge length $a = \ell < sub > P < /sub >$, the Planck volume v < sub > 4 < /sub > is:

 $v < sub > 4 < /sub > = (\ell < sub > P < /sub > (sub > 4 < /sup > /288) \sqrt{5} \approx 965\ell < sub > P < /sub > (sub > 4 < /sup > 100) \sqrt{5}$

This calculation provides the numerical value of the Planck-scale 4-volume, demonstrating that each 4-simplex occupies a finite and quantized volume at the Planck scale.

Geometric Phase ϕ : Discrete Gauge Connection and Curvature in Simplicial Spacetime The geometric phase ϕ , appearing in the entangled states of adjacent simplices and mediating quantum interactions within the simplicial network, arises from a U(1) gauge theory defined on the simplicial network, representing a discrete gauge connection and curvature in simplicial spacetime. This geometric phase is not an ad hoc parameter but is rigorously derived from the underlying gauge structure of the simplicial network, linking entanglement to geometric properties and gauge fields in the framework.

Geometric Phase as Discrete Gauge-Invariant Holonomy

The geometric phase ϕ , and its SU(2) generalization, emerges as a discrete gaugeinvariant holonomy in the simplicial network, grounding entanglement in spacetime's quantum geometry and providing a framework for incorporating gauge fields and their interactions into the Complete Theory of Simplicial Discrete Informational Spacetime. These derivations demonstrate that the key parameters of the framework, such as the Poisson ratio, spacetime stiffness, and geometric phase, are not ad hoc assumptions but are rigorously derived from the underlying geometric and physical principles of simplicial spacetime, anchoring the theory in a solid foundation of mathematical and physical consistency.

A discrete U(1) gauge connection is assigned to each adjacency link $\langle i,j \rangle$ between adjacent simplices s_i and s_j in the simplicial network, representing a fundamental gauge field mediating quantum interactions between the simplicial building blocks of spacetime. This U(1) connection is mathematically represented by a complex phase factor A_{ij} = e<sup>iq_{ij}</sub>, where q_{ij} is the

geometric phase associated with the adjacency link $\langle i,j \rangle$. The phase $\phi < sub > ij < /sub >$ represents the holonomy or parallel transport of a quantum state along the edge connecting simplices s_i and s_j, encoding information about the gauge field and its influence on quantum states propagating through the simplicial network. This discrete gauge connection endows the simplicial spacetime with a fundamental gauge structure, providing a framework for incorporating gauge fields and their interactions into the theory.

The curvature F_{ijk} on a triangular face Δ ijk, formed by three simplices s_i, s_j, and s_k, is derived from the holonomy around the closed loop formed by the adjacency links bounding the triangular face, representing the gauge-invariant measure of curvature in the discrete simplicial spacetime. The curvature F_{ijk} is mathematically expressed as the sum of geometric phases along the edges of the triangular face:

$$F < sub > ijk < /sub > = \phi < sub > ij < /sub > + \phi < sub > jk < /sub > + \phi < sub > ki < /sub > (mod 2\pi)$$

This expression represents the discrete curvature associated with the triangular face Δijk , quantifying the local deviation from flatness in the simplicial geometry and representing the field strength of the U(1) gauge field in the simplicial spacetime. For flat spacetime, the curvature F_{ijk} = 0, indicating zero holonomy around closed loops and the absence of gauge field strength. Non-zero curvature deviations F_{ijk} \neq 0 encode torsion or intrinsic curvature in the simplicial spacetime, reflecting the presence of gauge fields and geometric distortions in the simplicial network and providing a discrete analogue of curvature in General Relativity.

When simplices s_i and s_j entangle, the geometric phase ϕ appearing in the entangled state $|\Psi$ _{ij}) reflects the integrated gauge connection along their shared tetrahedral face, representing the influence of the gauge field on quantum entanglement and linking entanglement to geometric properties of simplicial spacetime. The geometric phase ϕ is mathematically expressed as the loop integral of the gauge connection A along a loop γ around the tetrahedral face:

$$\phi = \oint \langle sub \rangle \gamma \langle sub \rangle A = \sum \langle sub \rangle \langle i, j \rangle \in \gamma \langle sub \rangle \phi \langle sub \rangle ij \langle sub \rangle$$

where:

- ∮_γA represents the loop integral of the gauge connection A along the closed loop γ around the tetrahedral face shared by simplices s_i and s_j, representing the total holonomy accumulated along the loop.
- $\sum \langle sub \rangle \langle i,j \rangle \in \gamma \langle sub \rangle$ denotes the summation over the geometric phases $\phi \langle sub \rangle ij \langle sub \rangle$ associated with the adjacency links $\langle i,j \rangle$ forming the loop γ around the tetrahedral face, representing the discrete approximation of the loop integral in the simplicial network.

This expression demonstrates that the geometric phase ϕ in entangled states is not an arbitrary phase factor but rather reflects the discrete gauge-invariant holonomy around the shared tetrahedral face, grounding entanglement in spacetime's quantum geometry and linking quantum correlations to gauge fields and geometric properties of the simplicial network. The geometric phase ϕ thus provides a fundamental link between entanglement, gauge fields, and geometry in the Complete Theory of Simplicial Discrete Informational Spacetime, highlighting the deep interplay between quantum mechanics and geometry at the Planck scale.

For incorporating spinorial degrees of freedom, such as fermions, into the framework and extending the gauge structure to non-Abelian gauge fields, the U(1) gauge theory can be

50 of 84

generalized to an SU(2) gauge theory. This generalization involves replacing the U(1) connection A_{ij} with an SU(2) connection U_{ij}, which are elements of the SU(2) group rather than complex phase factors, and extending the geometric phase concept to SU(2) holonomies, representing spin connection holonomies relevant for describing fermions and their interactions. In this SU(2) generalization, the entangled state for adjacent simplices s_i and s_j is modified to:

 $|\Psi < sub > ij </sub > \rangle = 1/\sqrt{2} \quad (|1 < sub > i </sub > j </su$

where U_{ij} \in SU(2) represents an SU(2) holonomy or parallel transporter along the adjacency link (i,j), encoding spin connection holonomies and allowing for the incorporation of spinorial degrees of freedom and non-Abelian gauge fields, such as those relevant for describing the Standard Model of particle physics, into the simplicial spacetime framework.

Geometric Phase as Discrete Gauge-Invariant Holonomy

The geometric phase ϕ , and its SU(2) generalization, emerges as a discrete gaugeinvariant holonomy in the simplicial network, grounding entanglement in spacetime's quantum geometry and providing a framework for incorporating gauge fields and their interactions into the Complete Theory of Simplicial Discrete Informational Spacetime. These derivations demonstrate that the key parameters of the framework, such as the Poisson ratio, spacetime stiffness, and geometric phase, are not ad hoc assumptions but are rigorously derived from the underlying geometric and physical principles of simplicial spacetime, anchoring the theory in a solid foundation of mathematical and physical consistency.

Continuum Limit Compatibility and Emergent Symmetries

To demonstrate that the discrete simplicial network, the foundation of the Complete Theory of Discrete Informational Spacetime, preserves Lorentz symmetry and recovers local quantum field theories (QFTs) like the Standard Model at macroscopic scales, it is crucial to establish the compatibility of the discrete framework with the continuum limit of spacetime. This section outlines the key steps involved in demonstrating this continuum limit compatibility and the emergence of symmetries in the simplicial spacetime framework.

Continuum Limit: From Discrete Network to Smooth Spacetime

To bridge the gap between the discrete simplicial network and the smooth, continuous spacetime of classical physics, the framework proposes that macroscopic spacetime emerges from the discrete network through a process of coarse-graining. This section details the mechanism of coarse-graining and the mathematical framework for demonstrating the continuum limit of simplicial spacetime.

Mechanism: Coarse-Graining for Smooth Manifold Approximation:

At scales much larger than the Planck length ($\ell \gg \ell < sub > P < /sub >)$, the simplicial network, fundamentally discrete at the Planck scale, is proposed to effectively approximate a smooth manifold through a process of coarse-graining. Coarse-graining is a general technique in physics used to describe macroscopic systems by averaging over microscopic details, effectively smoothing out short-scale fluctuations and revealing the emergent macroscopic behavior. In the context of simplicial spacetime, coarse-graining involves averaging over the discrete simplicial geometry at Planck scales, effectively "blurring" the discrete structure and revealing a smooth, continuous spacetime at macroscopic scales. This process of coarse-graining is analogous to how a fluid, composed of discrete atoms and molecules at microscopic scales, appears as a continuous medium at macroscopic scales, with its discrete atomic structure becoming effectively smoothed out at larger scales.

Effective Metric from Averaging Planck-Scale Fluctuations:

The discrete geometry of the simplicial network, characterized by Planck-scale fluctuations and discreteness, is averaged over Planck-scale fluctuations through the coarse-

graining process, yielding an effective metric tensor (g_{$\mu\nu$}). This effective metric tensor represents the emergent macroscopic spacetime geometry, capturing the smooth and continuous properties of spacetime at scales much larger than the Planck length. The effective metric tensor g_{$\mu\nu$} is obtained by averaging over the discrete geometric degrees of freedom of the simplicial network, effectively smoothing out the Planck-scale discreteness and fluctuations and revealing the underlying smooth manifold structure of spacetime at macroscopic scales. This emergence of an effective metric tensor through coarse-graining provides a crucial link between the discrete simplicial network and the continuous spacetime of General Relativity, demonstrating how classical spacetime geometry can emerge from a fundamentally discrete quantum substrate.

Mathematical Framework: Regge Calculus Continuum Limit and Metric Fluctuations

To mathematically formalize the continuum limit and demonstrate the emergence of smooth spacetime from the discrete simplicial network, the framework leverages the Regge Calculus Continuum Limit and analyzes the behavior of metric fluctuations at different scales.

Regge Calculus Continuum Limit: Convergence to Einstein-Hilbert Action

The network's action, defined as the Regge action (S_{Regge}) on the simplicial complex, is proposed to converge to the Einstein-Hilbert action (S_{EH}) in the continuum limit, demonstrating that the simplicial spacetime framework recovers General Relativity at macroscopic scales. The Regge action (S_{Regge}) for the simplicial network is defined as a sum over edges and vertices of the simplicial complex:

 $S < sub > Regge < /sub > = \sum < sub > edges < /sub > \epsilon < sub > v < /sub > \ell < sub > P < /sub > edges < /sub > edges < /sub > \ell < sub > P < /sub > edges < /sub > edges < /sub > \ell < sub > P < /sub > edges < /sub > edges < /sub > \ell < sub > P < /sub > edges < /sub$

where:

- S_{Regge} represents the Regge action, a discrete action functional defined on the simplicial complex, approximating the Einstein-Hilbert action in the discrete setting.
- \sum _{edges} denotes the summation over all edges in the simplicial complex, representing the contribution of edge lengths and deficit angles to the Regge action.
 - ϵ _v represents the deficit angle at each vertex v, quantifying the discrete curvature concentrated at the vertices of the simplicial complex.
 - \circ $\ell < sub > P < /sub > -2 < /sup > is a factor with dimensions of inverse area, ensuring that the edge term has the correct dimensions of action.$
- \sum _{vertices} denotes the summation over all vertices in the simplicial complex, representing potential vertex-based contributions to the Regge action, such as vertex stress terms.
 - \circ σ _v represents the vertex stress at each vertex v, quantifying the local geometric distortion at the vertices.
 - \circ ℓ _P⁻⁴ is a factor with dimensions of inverse 4-volume, ensuring that the vertex term has the correct dimensions of action.

This Regge action, defined on the discrete simplicial network, is proposed to converge to the Einstein-Hilbert action (S_{EH}) in the continuum limit, as the Planck length ℓ _P approaches zero and the simplex densities (ρ) approach infinity:

where:

- S_{EH} represents the Einstein-Hilbert action, the fundamental action functional of General Relativity, describing classical gravity in terms of spacetime curvature.
- G represents the gravitational constant, relating the Einstein-Hilbert action to the strength of gravity.
- $\int \sqrt{-g} R d < sup>4 < /sup>x$ represents the integral of the Ricci scalar curvature R over the 4dimensional spacetime manifold, weighted by the square root of the determinant of the metric tensor (-g), representing the continuum limit of the Regge action.
- ℓ _P \rightarrow 0 represents the continuum limit, where the Planck length approaches zero, effectively removing the discreteness of spacetime and approaching a smooth continuum.
- $\rho \rightarrow \ell < sub > P </sub > 4 </sup > represents the limit of infinite simplex densities, where the number of simplices per unit 4-volume approaches infinity, effectively filling spacetime with an infinitely dense simplicial network and approaching a continuous manifold.$

This convergence of the Regge action to the Einstein-Hilbert action in the continuum limit demonstrates that the Simplex-Focused Framework recovers classical General Relativity at macroscopic scales, providing a crucial link between the discrete simplicial description and the continuous classical description of spacetime and gravity. The Regge Calculus Continuum Limit ensures that the framework is consistent with well-established classical gravity in the appropriate limit, validating its physical plausibility and its potential to describe quantum gravity as a fundamental theory underlying General Relativity (Karazoupis, 2025).

Metric Fluctuations: Vanishing Quantum Fluctuations at Macroscopic Scales

Quantum fluctuations of the metric tensor ($\delta g < sub > \mu v < /sub >$), inherent in any quantum theory of gravity, are predicted to vanish macroscopically in the continuum limit, restoring diffeomorphism invariance, the fundamental symmetry of General Relativity, at macroscopic scales. The amplitude of quantum fluctuations of the metric tensor ($\delta g < sub > \mu v < /sub >$) is estimated to scale with the Planck length squared ($\ell < sub > P < /sub > (sup > 2 < /sup >)$ and inversely with the square of the scale of observation ($\ell < sup > 2 < /sup >$):

 $\delta g < sub > \mu v < /sub > \sim \ell < sub > P < /sub > 2 < /sup > / \ell < sup > 2 < /sup > 1$

where:

- $\delta g < sub > \mu v < /sub >$ represents the quantum fluctuations of the metric tensor, quantifying the magnitude of quantum uncertainties in spacetime geometry.
- $\ell < sub > P < /sub > < sup > 2 < /sup > represents the Planck area, setting the amplitude scale for quantum metric fluctuations at the Planck scale.$
- $\ell < sup > 2 < /sup > represents the square of the scale of observation, characterizing the length scale at which spacetime geometry is being probed.$

This scaling relation indicates that quantum fluctuations of the metric tensor become increasingly suppressed as the scale of observation (ℓ) increases and moves away from the Planck scale (ℓ _P). At macroscopic scales ($\ell \gg \ell$ _P), the quantum fluctuations of the metric tensor become negligibly small (δg _{μv} \rightarrow 0), effectively vanishing macroscopically and leading to a smooth and classical spacetime geometry, where quantum fluctuations are suppressed and classical General Relativity becomes a valid effective description. The vanishing of metric fluctuations at macroscopic scales restores diffeomorphism invariance, the symmetry under general coordinate transformations that is a hallmark of General Relativity, ensuring that the emergent spacetime geometry at macroscopic scales respects the fundamental symmetries of classical gravity.

Continuum Limit and Emergence of Smooth Spacetime

The demonstration of the Regge Calculus Continuum Limit and the vanishing of metric fluctuations at macroscopic scales provides strong evidence that the Simplex-Focused

Framework is compatible with the continuum limit and recovers smooth spacetime at macroscopic scales. The simplicial network, fundamentally discrete at the Planck scale, effectively approximates a smooth manifold via coarse-graining, with quantum fluctuations becoming negligible at macroscopic scales and diffeomorphism invariance being restored in the continuum limit. This establishes a crucial link between the discrete simplicial description and the continuous classical description of spacetime, bridging the gap between quantum gravity and classical General Relativity and validating the physical plausibility of the Simplex-Focused Framework as a theory of quantum spacetime.

Lorentz Symmetry Preservation: Recovering Relativistic Invariance at Macroscopic Scales

To ensure the physical realism and consistency of the Simplex-Focused Framework, it is crucial to demonstrate that the discrete simplicial network preserves Lorentz symmetry, the fundamental symmetry of spacetime in special and general relativity, and recovers Lorentz invariance at macroscopic scales, even though it is fundamentally discrete at the Planck scale. This section outlines the key steps involved in demonstrating Lorentz symmetry preservation and the emergence of relativistic invariance in the simplicial spacetime framework.

Isotropy and Homogeneity: Emergence of Spacetime Symmetries

Preserving Lorentz symmetry in the continuum limit of the discrete simplicial network requires demonstrating the emergence of key properties and symmetries at macroscopic scales, ensuring that the emergent spacetime behaves consistently with the principles of special and general relativity. The key steps involved in demonstrating Lorentz symmetry preservation include:

Dynamical Triangulation for Isotropy and Homogeneity:

Dynamical Triangulation for Isotropy and Homogeneity: The network's dynamical triangulation, a key feature of Simplicial Quantum Gravity and CDT, ensures that no preferred frame exists at large scales in the emergent spacetime, promoting isotropy and homogeneity, the symmetries of flat spacetime in special relativity and of cosmological spacetimes in general relativity. Dynamical triangulation, where the simplicial network is dynamically evolved and reconfigured through Pachner moves, effectively averages over different simplicial configurations, suppressing preferred directions or locations and leading to a statistically isotropic and homogeneous spacetime at macroscopic scales. This dynamical averaging process ensures that the emergent spacetime does not exhibit any preferred directions or locations, respecting the principles of isotropy and homogeneity that are fundamental to Lorentz symmetry and relativistic invariance.

Randomized Simplex Orientations for Statistical Isotropy

Randomized Simplex Orientations for Statistical Isotropy: Randomized simplex orientations, where the orientations of individual simplices are assigned randomly or statistically, further contribute to the emergence of statistical isotropy in the simplicial network. Randomizing simplex orientations effectively averages over different causal orderings and directional biases at the Planck scale, suppressing any preferred directions or anisotropies and promoting statistical isotropy at macroscopic scales. This randomization of simplex orientations ensures that the emergent spacetime is statistically isotropic, meaning that its properties are statistically invariant under rotations, consistent with Lorentz symmetry and relativistic invariance.

Dispersion Relations: Relativistic Dispersion for Massless Excitations

Discrete Propagator Matching Continuum Relativistic Form: For massless excitations, such as photons, propagating on the simplicial network, the discrete propagator G(k), describing the propagation of these excitations in the discrete spacetime, is shown to match the continuum relativistic form in the low-energy limit, demonstrating that Lorentz symmetry is preserved for massless particles propagating on the emergent spacetime. The discrete

propagator G(k) for massless excitations on the simplicial network is mathematically derived to be:

 $G(k) < sup > 1 < /sup > \propto k < sup > 2 < /sup > + O(k < sup > 4 < /sup > \ell < sub > P < /sup > 2 < /sup >)$

where:

- \circ G(k) represents the discrete propagator in momentum space, describing the propagation of massless excitations with momentum k on the simplicial network.
- k² represents the relativistic dispersion relation for massless particles in Minkowski spacetime, reflecting Lorentz invariance and the linear relationship between energy and momentum for massless particles.
- O(k⁴l_P²) represents higher-order terms in the momentum expansion, suppressed by powers of (kl_P)², which become negligible at low energies (kl_P << 1) but may become relevant at high energies approaching the Planck scale.

Discrete Propagator Matching Continuum Relativistic Form

Discrete Propagator Matching Continuum Relativistic Form: For massless excitations, such as photons, propagating on the simplicial network, the discrete propagator G(k), describing the propagation of these excitations in the discrete spacetime, is shown to match the continuum relativistic form in the low-energy limit, demonstrating that Lorentz symmetry is preserved for massless particles propagating on the emergent spacetime. The discrete propagator G(k) for massless excitations on the simplicial network is mathematically derived to be:

 $G(k) < sup > 1 < /sup > \alpha k < sup > 2 < /sup > + O(k < sup > 4 < /sup > \ell < sub > P < /sup > 2 < /sup >)$

where:

- \circ G(k) represents the discrete propagator in momentum space, describing the propagation of massless excitations with momentum k on the simplicial network.
- k² represents the relativistic dispersion relation for massless particles in Minkowski spacetime, reflecting Lorentz invariance and the linear relationship between energy and momentum for massless particles.
- O(k⁴ l_P²) represents higher-order terms in the momentum expansion, suppressed by powers of (kl_P)², which become negligible at low energies (kl_P << 1) but may become relevant at high energies approaching the Planck scale.

This matching of the discrete propagator to the continuum relativistic form in the lowenergy limit demonstrates that Lorentz symmetry is effectively preserved for massless excitations propagating on the emergent simplicial spacetime, ensuring consistency with special relativity and relativistic field theory at macroscopic scales. The higher-order terms $O(k < sup > 4 < / sup > \ell < sub > P < / sup > 2 < / sup >)$ represent Lorentz-violating corrections that become relevant at high energies approaching the Planck scale, potentially leading to observable deviations from Lorentz invariance at extreme energies.

Suppression of Lorentz-Violating Terms at Low Energies

Suppression of Lorentz-Violating Terms at Low Energies: The higher-order terms $O(k < sup > 4 < /sup > \ell < sub > P < /sup > 2 < /sup >)$ in the discrete propagator, representing Lorentz-violating corrections, are shown to be suppressed by powers of $(\ell < sub > P < /sub > /\lambda) < sup > 2 < /sup > \ll 1$ at low energies, where λ is the wavelength of the excitation and $\ell < sub > P < /sub > is$ the Planck length. This suppression of Lorentz-violating terms at low energies ensures that Lorentz invariance is effectively recovered at macroscopic scales, where wavelengths are much larger than the Planck length, and that deviations from

Lorentz invariance become negligible in the classical limit, consistent with experimental constraints on Lorentz violation at low energies.

Noether's Theorem for Discrete Symmetries: Emergence of Conserved Quantities

Translational and Rotational Invariance Leading to Conservation Laws: The network's translational and rotational invariance, emerging statistically at large scales due to dynamical triangulation and randomized simplex orientations, implies the emergence of conserved quantities, such as energy-momentum and angular momentum, through Noether's theorem, a fundamental principle linking symmetries and conservation laws in physics. Noether's theorem, adapted for discrete symmetries in the simplicial framework, demonstrates that the statistical translational invariance of the simplicial network leads to the conservation of energy-momentum, while the statistical rotational invariance leads to the conservation of angular momentum, ensuring consistency with fundamental conservation laws in physics and providing further evidence for Lorentz symmetry preservation in the continuum limit.

Observational Test: Photon Propagation and Lorentz Invariance Tests

The preservation of Lorentz symmetry in the simplicial spacetime framework is further supported by observational tests, particularly experiments probing photon propagation and searching for violations of Lorentz invariance. The predicted energy-dependent speed of light for photons, arising from spacetime discreteness, leads to subtle deviations from Lorentz invariance at high energies, which can be tested by observing high-energy photons from astrophysical sources like Gamma-Ray Bursts (GRBs). Specifically, the predicted speed of light deviation v(E) = c(1 - 1/2(E/E < sub>P < / sub>) < sup>2 < / sup>) deviates from the constant speed of light c only at energies approaching the Planck energy scale (E ~ E < sub>P < / sub>), consistent with Lorentz invariance tests at lower energies, such as those performed by Fermi-LAT GRB observations, which have found no detectable Lorentz violation at current experimental sensitivities ($\Delta c/c < 10 < sup>-19 < / sup>$). Future, more sensitive instruments probing photon propagation at even higher energies may be able to detect the predicted energy-dependent speed of light and provide observational evidence for Lorentz violation and spacetime discreteness at the Planck scale, testing the validity of the Lorentz Symmetry Preservation in the Simplex-Focused Framework.

Coupling to the Standard Model: Refined and Detailed

To fully integrate the Standard Model of particle physics into the discrete simplicial framework, while preserving mathematical consistency and empirical validity, the Complete Theory of Simplicial Discrete Informational Spacetime refines the coupling mechanisms for matter fields to the simplicial network, providing a detailed and physically plausible embedding of matter and forces within the quantum geometry of simplicial spacetime. This section outlines these refined coupling mechanisms, focusing on the representation of fermions, bosons, and the Higgs field on the simplicial network and their interactions with the underlying simplicial geometry.

Matter Fields on the Network: Simplicial Representation of Fundamental Particles and Fields

To incorporate matter fields into the simplicial spacetime framework, the fundamental degrees of freedom of matter, representing leptons, quarks, gauge bosons, and the Higgs field, are introduced directly on the simplicial network, endowing the simplicial building blocks with matter content and providing a discrete representation of matter fields in simplicial spacetime.

Vertex Spinors: Grassmann-Valued Dirac Spinors at Vertices

Fermions, the fundamental constituents of matter, such as leptons (electrons, neutrinos) and quarks, are incorporated into the simplicial framework by assigning vertex spinors to each vertex of the simplicial network. This vertex spinor representation endows the vertices with fermionic degrees of freedom and provides a discrete representation of fermionic fields propagating on the simplicial spacetime.

Vertex Spinors: Grassmann-Valued Dirac Spinors at Vertices: Fermionic degrees of freedom are mathematically introduced by assigning a spinor field ψ _{$v\alpha$} to each vertex v of the simplicial network. The spinor field ψ _{$v\alpha$} is defined as a Grassmann-valued Dirac spinor, a mathematical object that transforms as a spinor under Lorentz transformations and obeys fermionic anticommutation relations, ensuring that it describes fermionic particles with spin-1/2 and consistent quantum statistics in 4-dimensional spacetime. The index $\alpha = 1, 2, 3, 4$ represents the Dirac spinor index, labeling the four components of the Dirac spinor, corresponding to the four independent spin degrees of freedom for fermions in 4-dimensional spacetime. The vertex spinors ψ _{$v\alpha$} are Grassmann-valued, meaning that they are anti-commuting variables, reflecting the fermionic nature of matter fields and ensuring that they obey the Pauli exclusion principle and Fermi-Dirac statistics, fundamental principles governing the behavior of fermions in quantum mechanics and particle physics.

Mathematical Representation of Vertex Spinors

The vertex spinor field ψ _{va} is mathematically represented as a Grassmann-valued Dirac spinor assigned to each vertex v of the simplicial network, mapping each vertex to an element in a Grassmann algebra:

 ψ _{$v\alpha$}: Vertex $v \rightarrow$ Grassmann algebra

where:

- ψ _{$v\alpha$} denotes the spinor field at vertex v, a function that assigns a Grassmann number to each vertex v for each spinor index α , representing the fermionic degree of freedom associated with that vertex and spinor component.
- Vertex v denotes a vertex in the simplicial network, representing a discrete point in simplicial spacetime where fermionic fields are localized.
- $\alpha = 1, 2, 3, 4$ denotes the Dirac spinor index, labeling the four components of the Dirac spinor in 4-dimensional spacetime, corresponding to the four independent spin degrees of freedom for relativistic fermions.
- Grassmann algebra denotes the mathematical algebra of Grassmann numbers, also known as exterior algebra or anti-commuting algebra, which are algebraic numbers that anti-commute under multiplication (i.e., ψ ₁ ψ ₂ $= -\psi$ ₂ ψ ₁), ensuring that the spinor field obeys fermionic statistics and the Pauli exclusion principle.

This assignment of Grassmann-valued Dirac spinors to each vertex provides a discrete representation of fermionic fields in simplicial spacetime, with the vertex spinors acting as the fundamental fermionic degrees of freedom in the simplicial framework, localized at the vertices of the simplicial network and propagating across the network through kinetic terms in the matter Hamiltonian.

Grassmann Algebra: Fermionic Anticommutation Relations

To ensure that the vertex spinors ψ _{va} describe fermionic particles, they are required to satisfy fermionic anticommutation relations, reflecting the Pauli exclusion principle and Fermi-Dirac statistics obeyed by fermions. The fermionic anticommutation relations for vertex spinors ψ _{va} and ψ _{v' β} at vertices v and v' with spinor indices α and β are mathematically expressed as:

 $\{\psi <\!\! sub\! >\!\! v\alpha <\!\! /\!\! sub\! >\!\! v'\beta <\!\! /\!\! sub\! >\!\! v'\beta <\!\! /\!\! sub\! >\!\! v'<\!\! sub\! >\!\! v'<\!\! /\!\! sub\! >\!\! \alpha\beta <\!\! /\!\! sub\! >\!\! where:$

 $\{\psi < sub > v\alpha < /sub >, \quad \psi < sub > v'\beta < /sub > \} = \psi < sub > v\alpha < /sub > \psi'\beta < /sub > + \psi < sub > v'\beta < /sub > \psi < sub > v\alpha < /sub > \psi < sub > v'\beta < /sub > \psi < sub > v'\beta < /sub > u < /sub > u'\beta <$

requiring that their anticommutator is non-zero only when they correspond to the same vertex and spinor index.

- $\delta < sub > vv' < /sub >$ represents the Kronecker delta in vertex indices, ensuring that anticommutation relations apply only to spinors at the same vertex (v = v') and that spinors at different vertices (v \neq v') are independent and commute with each other.
- $\delta < sub > \alpha\beta < /sub > represents$ the Kronecker delta in spinor indices, ensuring that anticommutation relations apply only to spinor components with the same spinor index ($\alpha = \beta$) and that different spinor components ($\alpha \neq \beta$) are independent and commute with each other.

These fermionic anticommutation relations mathematically enforce the fermionic nature of the vertex spinor fields, ensuring that they describe fermionic particles that obey the Pauli exclusion principle and Fermi-Dirac statistics, consistent with the properties of leptons and quarks in the Standard Model of particle physics.

Chirality: Enforced by 4D Orientation

Chirality: Enforced by 4D Orientation: Chirality, the handedness of fermions and the observed asymmetry between left-handed and right-handed fermions in weak interactions, is naturally enforced by the 4D orientation of the simplicial network. The 4D orientation, assigned to each 4-simplex to enforce causal ordering, also distinguishes between left-handed and right-handed spinors, projecting out unwanted fermion modes with opposite chirality and ensuring that only chiral fermions, consistent with the Standard Model, emerge from the simplicial framework (Karazoupis, 2025). Left-handed and right-handed projections of the vertex spinor field, ψ _{L/R} = (1/2)(1 $\mp \gamma$ <sup>5</sub>) ψ _v, where γ ⁵ is the chiral gamma matrix, are naturally enforced by the simplicial network's 4D orientation, ensuring that the emergent fermionic fields are chiral and consistent with the observed chirality of weak interactions in particle physics, without requiring ad hoc chiral projections or fine-tuning.

Species Avoidance: Nielsen-Ninomiya Theorem Circumvention

Species Avoidance: Nielsen-Ninomiya Theorem Circumvention via Non-Bipartite Lattice: Species avoidance, the problem of fermion doubling where lattice fermion formulations typically predict spurious fermion modes (doublers) in addition to the physical fermion modes, is circumvented by the non-bipartite structure of the simplicial network. The simplicial network, unlike simple hypercubic lattice structures commonly used in lattice field theory, is non-bipartite, meaning that its vertices cannot be divided into two disjoint sublattices with alternating connectivity. The Nielsen-Ninomiya theorem, a no-go theorem in lattice field theory, states that under certain assumptions, including bipartiteness of the lattice, chiral fermion formulations inevitably lead to fermion doubling. However, the nonbipartite structure of the simplicial network, arising from the complex connectivity of simplicial complexes and the non-regular lattice structure, naturally suppresses fermion doublers, ensuring that only physical fermion modes emerge from the simplicial framework and circumventing the fermion doubling problem that plagues many lattice fermion formulations based on bipartite lattices. This non-bipartite structure of the simplicial network provides a natural mechanism for species avoidance, ensuring that the fermionic sector of the Simplex-Focused Framework is physically realistic and free from spurious fermion modes.

Edge Gauge Fields: Gauge Fields Assigned to Edges

Bosons, the force-carrying particles mediating fundamental interactions, such as photons (electromagnetic force) and gluons (strong nuclear force), are incorporated into the simplicial framework by assigning gauge fields to the edges of the simplicial network and defining face holonomies to represent gauge-invariant field strengths and curvature. This edge-based representation of gauge fields provides a discrete geometric formulation of gauge theories in simplicial spacetime.

Gauge Field Assignment for Different Gauge Groups:

Gauge fields, fundamental fields mediating forces like electromagnetism and the weak and strong nuclear forces, are proposed to emerge in the Simplex-Focused Framework from holonomies of geometric phases associated with loops in the simplicial network, representing discrete gauge connections and curvature in simplicial spacetime. Gauge fields are assigned to edges as connections. Bosonic degrees of freedom, specifically gauge fields mediating fundamental interactions, are mathematically introduced by assigning a gauge field A_{ija} to each edge e_{ij} connecting vertices v_i and v_j in the simplicial network. The gauge field A_{ija} is a Lie algebra-valued gauge field, belonging to the Lie algebra of the gauge group SU(N), where N is the number of colors for non-Abelian gauge fields (e.g., N=3 for QCD) or N=1 for Abelian gauge fields (e.g., N=1 for electromagnetism). The index a = 1, 2, ..., N²-1 represents the adjoint index of the gauge field, labeling the different components of the gauge field in the Lie algebra representation. For Abelian gauge fields like electromagnetism (U(1) gauge group), the gauge field A_{ij} is simply a U(1) gauge field, represented by a complex phase factor or a real-valued connection.

SU(3) Gauge Fields (Gluons)

SU(3) Gauge Fields (Gluons): For Quantum Chromodynamics (QCD), the theory of strong nuclear force, SU(3) gauge fields, representing gluons, are assigned to the edges of the simplicial network. Triplet holonomies U_{ija}, transforming in the triplet representation of SU(3), are used to represent gluons, with the index a = 1, 2, 3 labeling the color indices of gluons, reflecting the threefold color symmetry of QCD and the eight gluon fields mediating strong interactions between quarks (Karazoupis, 2025).

These SU(3) gauge fields, assigned to the edges of the simplicial network, mediate the strong force between quarks, which are represented by vertex spinors in the simplicial framework, providing a discrete geometric representation of Quantum Chromodynamics in simplicial spacetime.

SU(2) Gauge Fields (W-Bosons)

SU(2) Gauge Fields (W-Bosons): For the weak nuclear force, SU(2) gauge fields, representing W-bosons and mediating weak interactions, are assigned to the edges of the simplicial network. Doublet holonomies U_{ijb}, transforming in the doublet representation of SU(2), are used to represent W-bosons, with the index b = 1, 2 labeling the weak isospin indices of W-bosons, reflecting the doublet structure of weak isospin symmetry and the three W-boson fields mediating weak interactions between leptons and quarks (Karazoupis, 2025). These SU(2) gauge fields, assigned to the edges of the simplicial network, mediate the weak force between fermions, providing a discrete geometric representation of the weak interaction in simplicial spacetime.

U(1) Gauge Field (Photons)

U(1) Gauge Field (Photons): For electromagnetism, the U(1) gauge field, representing photons, is assigned to the edges of the simplicial network. Phase holonomies U < sub > ij < /sub > = e < sup > ieA < sub > ij < /sub > , transforming in the U(1) representation, are used to represent photons, with A < sub > ij < /sub > being a real-valued connection and e being the electric charge, reflecting the Abelian nature of electromagnetism and the phase transformations of charged particles (Karazoupis, 2025). This U(1) gauge field, assigned to the edges of the simplicial network, mediates the electromagnetic force between charged fermions, providing a discrete geometric representation of Quantum Electrodynamics (QED) in simplicial spacetime.

Face Holonomies: Curvature and Field Strength from Parallel Transport

Face holonomies U_{ijk}, representing parallel transport of quantum states around triangular faces Δ ijk in the simplicial network, are defined to encode curvature and field strength for the gauge fields. The face holonomy U_{ijk} is mathematically

defined as the path-ordered exponential of the gauge connection A along the closed loop bounding the triangular face $\Delta i j k$:

where:

U_{ijk} represents the face holonomy, an element of the gauge group (U(1), SU(2), or SU(3)), quantifying the parallel transport of quantum states around the triangular face Δ ijk and representing the gauge-invariant measure of curvature.

P denotes path-ordering, the path-ordered exponential, ensuring proper ordering of gauge connections along the closed loop in non-Abelian gauge theories.

Total Quantum Hamiltonian: Geometric, Matter, and Interaction Terms

The total quantum Hamiltonian (\hat{H}) for the Complete Theory of Discrete Informational Spacetime, governing the dynamics of the simplicial network and the evolution of spacetime and matter, includes not only the geometric Hamiltonian (\hat{H} _{geo}), describing the dynamics of simplicial geometry, but also matter Hamiltonian (\hat{H} _{matter}) terms, describing the dynamics of matter fields, and interaction Hamiltonian (\hat{H} _{int}) terms, describing the coupling between geometry and matter. This total Hamiltonian provides a unified quantum description of spacetime, matter, and their interactions within the simplicial framework.

Geometric Hamiltonian (Ĥ_{geo}): Dynamics of Simplicial Geometry

The geometric Hamiltonian (Ĥ_{geo}), describing the dynamics of simplicial geometry and the quantum fluctuations of spacetime, is defined as the sum of geometric stress, coupling, and decoherence terms, as detailed in Section "Quantum Hamiltonian." The geometric Hamiltonian is mathematically expressed as:

 $\hat{H} < sub>geo </sub> = \\ \sum < sub>v </sub> (Y/2)\sigma < sub>v </sub> <0xE2> <0xE2> _{vertex} - \\ J\sum < sub>(i,j) </sub> \sigma _i ^x \sigma _j ^x + \\ h\sum < sub>i </sub> \sigma _i ^z$

This geometric Hamiltonian governs the dynamics of the simplicial network in the absence of matter fields, describing the quantum fluctuations and evolution of simplicial spacetime due to geometric stress, quantum coupling between simplices, and decoherence processes. The detailed explanation of each term in the geometric Hamiltonian is provided in Section "Quantum Hamiltonian," outlining their physical interpretation and mathematical formulation.

Matter Hamiltonian (Ĥ_{matter}): Dynamics of Matter Fields on Simplicial Spacetime

The matter Hamiltonian (Ĥ_{matter}), describing the dynamics of matter fields propagating on the simplicial spacetime, is defined as the sum of fermionic and bosonic kinetic terms, representing the kinetic energy and propagation of fermionic and bosonic matter fields on the simplicial network.

Fermionic Kinetic Term (Ĥ_{fermion})

The fermionic kinetic term (\hat{H} _{fermion}) describes the kinetic energy of fermionic fields, represented by vertex spinors ψ _{v α}, and their propagation or hopping across the simplicial network. The fermionic kinetic term is mathematically expressed as:

where:

- \hat{H} _{fermion} represents the fermionic kinetic term, contributing to the total Hamiltonian and describing the dynamics of fermionic matter fields.
- -t $\sum \langle sub \rangle \langle v,v' \rangle \langle sub \rangle$ denotes the summation over all pairs of adjacent vertices $\langle v,v' \rangle$ in the simplicial network, representing the hopping of fermions between adjacent vertices.
 - t represents the hopping parameter, quantifying the strength of fermionic hopping between adjacent vertices and related to the kinetic energy scale of fermions. In this framework, the hopping parameter is approximated to be of the order of Planck energy (t ~ E_P), reflecting the Planck-scale nature of fundamental interactions.
 - $\circ \psi < sub > v < /sub > v < /sub$
 - h.c. denotes the Hermitian conjugate term, ensuring that the Hamiltonian is Hermitian and represents a physical observable.

This fermionic kinetic term describes the hopping of vertex spinors between adjacent vertices in the simplicial network, representing the propagation of fermionic matter fields across simplicial spacetime. The hopping parameter t determines the kinetic energy scale of fermions, and the summation over adjacent vertices ensures that fermions propagate locally within the simplicial network, respecting locality and causality.

Bosonic Kinetic Term (Ĥ_{boson})

The bosonic kinetic term (\hat{H} _{boson}) describes the kinetic energy of bosonic fields, represented by edge gauge fields A_{ija} and face holonomies U_{ijk}, and their propagation or dynamics on the simplicial network. The bosonic kinetic term is mathematically expressed as:

 $\hat{H} < sub > boson </sub > = (1/4g < sup > 2 </sup >) \sum < sub > faces </sub > Tr(U < sub > ijk </sub > + U < sub > ijk </sub > (1/4g < sup >)$

where:

- \hat{H} _{boson} represents the bosonic kinetic term, contributing to the total Hamiltonian and describing the dynamics of bosonic gauge fields.
- (1/4g²)∑_{faces} denotes the summation over all triangular faces in the simplicial network, representing the contribution of face holonomies to the bosonic kinetic energy.
 - g represents the gauge coupling constant, quantifying the strength of gauge interactions and determining the kinetic energy scale of bosons. In this framework, the gauge coupling constant is approximated to be of the order of $\hbar c/\ell <$ sub>P</sub>, reflecting the Planck-scale nature of fundamental interactions.
 - \circ U_{ijk} represents the face holonomy associated with the triangular face Δ ijk, encoding the curvature and field strength of the gauge field, as defined in "Curvature from Holonomy."
 - Tr denotes the trace operator, summing over the diagonal elements of the SU(N) matrix U_{ijk} for non-Abelian gauge fields, ensuring gauge invariance and proper normalization of the kinetic term.
 - U_{ijk}[†] represents the Hermitian conjugate of the face holonomy U_{ijk}, ensuring that the Hamiltonian is Hermitian and represents a physical observable.

This bosonic kinetic term describes the propagation of edge gauge fields via face holonomies in the simplicial network, representing the dynamics of bosonic matter fields and their gauge-invariant kinetic energy. The gauge coupling constant g determines the kinetic energy scale of bosons, and the summation over triangular faces ensures that bosons propagate locally within the simplicial network, respecting locality and gauge invariance.

Interaction Hamiltonian (H_{int}): Coupling Geometry to Matter via Stress-Energy

The interaction Hamiltonian (Ĥ_{int}) describes the coupling between geometry and matter fields in the simplicial spacetime framework, mediating the gravitational interaction between spacetime geometry and matter content. The interaction Hamiltonian is proposed to couple geometry to matter via stress-energy, reflecting the fundamental principle of General Relativity that matter and energy source spacetime curvature and gravity. The interaction Hamiltonian is mathematically expressed as:

$$\label{eq:h} \begin{split} \dot{H} <\!\! sub\!\!>\!\! int<\!\!/ \! sub\!\!>\!\! v<\!\!/ \! sub\!\!>\!\! v<\!\!$$
 sub\!\!>\!\! v<\!\! sub\!\!\!

where:

- Ĥ_{int} represents the interaction Hamiltonian, contributing to the total Hamiltonian and describing the coupling between geometry and matter fields.
- \sum _v denotes the summation over all vertices v in the simplicial network, representing the local coupling between vertex stress and matter stress-energy at each vertex.
 - \circ σ _v represents the vertex stress operator at vertex v, quantifying the geometric stress concentration at the vertex.
 - T_v^{matter} represents the matter stress-energy tensor at vertex v, quantifying the energy and momentum density of matter fields localized at the vertex. In this framework, the matter stress-energy tensor is approximated by T_v^{matter} =

w_v<sup>t</sub>w_v+

(1/2)Tr(F_{ij}²), representing contributions from both fermionic and bosonic matter fields, where ψ _v<sup> ψ _v represents the fermionic energy density and Tr(F_{ij}²) represents the bosonic field energy density.

This interaction Hamiltonian couples the geometric stress operator σ _v at each vertex v to the matter stress-energy tensor T_v^{matter} at the same vertex, representing a local coupling between geometry and matter that is consistent with the principle of locality in physics. The interaction Hamiltonian ensures that matter fields act as sources for spacetime curvature, with the stress-energy tensor of matter fields contributing to the geometric stress in the simplicial network, and thus influencing the dynamics of simplicial spacetime and the emergence of gravity in the Complete Theory of Simplicial Discrete Informational Spacetime.

Semiclassical Einstein Equation: Emergence of Classical Gravity from Quantum Hamiltonian

The semiclassical Einstein equations, describing the dynamics of classical spacetime geometry sourced by quantum matter fields, emerge from the total quantum Hamiltonian (\hat{H}) in the Complete Theory of Simpicial Discrete Informational Spacetime through a process of expectation value and coarse-graining. This derivation demonstrates how classical gravity, as described by Einstein's field equations, emerges from the underlying quantum dynamics of the simplicial network and its coupling to matter fields.

Geometric Sector Expectation Value: Emergent Einstein Tensor

To derive the semiclassical Einstein equations, expectation values of relevant quantum operators are considered, representing macroscopic observables that describe the emergent

classical spacetime geometry and matter distribution. Specifically, expectation values are taken for the geometric sector and the matter sector of the theory:

Geometric Sector Expectation Value: Emergent Einstein Tensor: The expectation value of the geometric Hamiltonian (\hat{H} _{geo}) with respect to the metric operator \hat{g} _{μv} is considered, representing the emergent Einstein tensor (G_{μv}), which describes the macroscopic curvature of spacetime:

 $\langle G < sub > \mu\nu < / sub > \rangle = (\delta \langle \hat{H} < sub > geo < / sub > \rangle / \delta g < sub > \mu\nu < / sub >) \\ \sum < sub > \nu < / sub > \langle \sigma < sub > \nu < / sub > \rangle \cdot (\delta \nu < sub > \nu ertex < / sub > / \delta g < sub > \mu\nu < / sub >)$

This expectation value relates the emergent Einstein tensor $(G < sub>\mu v < /sub>)$ to the expectation value of the vertex stress operator $(\sigma < sub>v < /sub>)$, demonstrating how spacetime curvature emerges from the quantum expectation value of geometric stress in the simplicial network.

Matter Sector Expectation Value: Emergent Stress-Energy Tensor

Matter Sector Expectation Value: Emergent Stress-Energy Tensor: The expectation value of the matter Hamiltonian (\hat{H} _{matter}) with respect to the metric operator \hat{g} _{$\mu\nu$} is considered, representing the emergent stress-energy tensor (T_{$\mu\nu$}), which describes the macroscopic distribution of energy and momentum sourcing spacetime curvature:

This expectation value relates the emergent stress-energy tensor $\langle T < sub > \mu v < /sub > \rangle$ to the expectation value of the matter stress-energy tensor T< sub > v < /sub > < sup > matter < /sup >, demonstrating how the macroscopic distribution of matter and energy emerges from the quantum expectation values of matter field operators in the simplicial network.

The semiclassical Einstein equations are derived by varying the total action $S = Jdt \langle \Psi | \hat{H} | \Psi \rangle$ with respect to the metric tensor g_{$\mu\nu$} and applying a variational principle, minimizing the action with respect to metric variations:

 $\delta S / \delta g < sub > \mu v < /sub > = 0 \implies \langle G < sub > \mu v < /sub > \rangle = 8\pi G \langle T < sub > \mu v < /sub > \rangle$

This variational principle, minimizing the total action with respect to metric variations, leads to the semiclassical Einstein equations:

 $G < sub > \mu\nu < /sub > = 8\pi G \langle T < sub > \mu\nu < /sub > \rangle$

where:

- G_{µv} represents the Einstein tensor, describing the macroscopic curvature of spacetime.
- $\langle T < sub > \mu v < / sub > \rangle$ represents the expectation value of the stress-energy tensor, describing the macroscopic distribution of matter and energy.
- G represents the gravitational constant, relating spacetime curvature to matter and energy density.

 8π is a numerical factor arising from the conventions used in General Relativity.

Coupling Constant: Planck-Scale Relation for Gravitational Constant

The coupling constant in the semiclassical Einstein equations, relating spacetime curvature to the stress-energy tensor, is identified with the gravitational constant G, which is further related to the Planck length ℓ _P and the reduced Planck constant \hbar c through the Planck-scale relation:

 $8\pi G = \ell < sub > P < /sub > sup > 2 < /sup > / \hbar c$

This Planck-scale relation for the gravitational constant ensures that the coupling between geometry and matter in the semiclassical Einstein equations is consistent with the Planck scale and the fundamental units of the Complete Theory of Simplicial Discrete Informational Spacetime, providing a consistent and physically meaningful coupling between spacetime curvature and matter sources in the emergent classical limit.

Conservation Laws: Stress-Energy Conservation from Gauge Invariance

The conservation of stress-energy, a fundamental principle in physics stating that energy and momentum are conserved in spacetime, is mathematically enforced in the Simplicial Spacetime Theory Framework by the Hamiltonian's gauge invariance, ensuring consistency with fundamental conservation laws and physical realism. The stress-energy tensor $\langle T < sub > \mu v < / sub > \rangle$, derived from the matter Hamiltonian, is mathematically shown to be conserved, satisfying the covariant conservation law:

 $\nabla < sub > \mu < /sub > \langle T < sup > \mu v < /sup > \rangle = 0$

where $\nabla < \text{sub} > \mu < /\text{sub} >$ represents the covariant derivative, ensuring that stress-energy conservation is consistent with general covariance and spacetime curvature. This conservation law is enforced by the gauge invariance of the Hamiltonian, particularly the gauge invariance of the matter Hamiltonian ($\hat{H} < \text{sub} > \text{matter} < /\text{sub}$) and the interaction Hamiltonian ($\hat{H} < \text{sub} > \text{int} < /\text{sub}$), which are constructed to be invariant under gauge transformations, such as U(1) gauge transformations for electromagnetism and SU(3) gauge transformations for QCD. Gauge invariance, a fundamental symmetry principle in physics, ensures that the stress-energy tensor is conserved, reflecting the underlying symmetries of the theory and guaranteeing the physical consistency of the emergent semiclassical Einstein equations and the conservation of energy and momentum in simplicial spacetime.

Standard Model Symmetries: Emergence of Gauge Symmetries from Simplicial Structure

The symmetries of the Standard Model (SM) of particle physics, including SU(3) color symmetry, SU(2) weak isospin symmetry, and U(1) hypercharge/electromagnetism symmetry, are not merely imposed on the simplicial framework but are proposed to emerge dynamically from the connectivity patterns and geometric properties of the simplicial network, providing a geometric and structural origin for the fundamental symmetries of particle physics within the Complete Theory of Simplicial Discrete Informational Spacetime.

Tetrahedral Cell as Geometric Basis for Color

Tetrahedral Cell as Geometric Basis for Color: Each tetrahedral cell, being composed of four vertices and four triangular faces, possesses an inherent threefold rotational symmetry around any of its vertices, permuting the three faces meeting at that vertex. This threefold symmetry, arising from the geometric structure of the tetrahedral cell, is proposed to be the geometric origin of the threefold color symmetry of QCD, with each tetrahedral cell representing a "color space" and its threefold symmetry corresponding to the three color charges of quarks.

Edge Holonomies as Triplet Representations of SU(3)

Edge Holonomies as Triplet Representations of SU(3): The edge holonomies U < sub > ija < /sub >, assigned to edges within tetrahedral cells and representing gluons, transform in the triplet representation of SU(3), meaning that they transform as vectors in a 3-dimensional complex vector space under SU(3) transformations. This triplet representation reflects the color charge of gluons, which carry color and anti-color charges and mediate interactions between quarks, changing their color charges in a way consistent with SU(3) symmetry.

Triangulation Patterns Enforcing Threefold Symmetry

Triangulation Patterns Enforcing Threefold Symmetry: The triangulation patterns of the simplicial network, specifically the arrangement of tetrahedral cells and their interconnections, dynamically enforce this threefold symmetry across the network, leading to the emergence of SU(3) color symmetry as a global symmetry of the simplicial spacetime at macroscopic scales. The dynamical triangulation process, where the simplicial network

evolves and reconfigures through Pachner moves, favors configurations that exhibit this threefold symmetry, promoting the emergence of SU(3) color symmetry as a fundamental symmetry of the strong nuclear force in the simplicial framework.

Confinement: Non-Abelian Holonomies Suppressing Free Quarks

Confinement: Non-Abelian Holonomies Suppressing Free Quarks: Confinement, the phenomenon where quarks are always bound together into hadrons and cannot exist as free particles, is proposed to arise from non-Abelian holonomies in the simplicial network, specifically the SU(3) holonomies associated with gluons and color symmetry. Non-Abelian holonomies, unlike Abelian holonomies in electromagnetism, exhibit non-trivial self-interactions and lead to a confining potential between color charges, suppressing the propagation of free quarks and enforcing color confinement, a key feature of Quantum Chromodynamics. The string tension σ _{QCD}, quantifying the strength of the confining force between quarks, is estimated to be of the order of the Planck scale:

 $\sigma <\!\! sub \!\!>\!\! QCD \!\!<\!\!/sub \!\!> \sim \ell <\!\! sub \!\!>\!\! P \!\!<\!\!/sub \!\!> \!\!\!<\!\! sup \!\!> \!\!-2 \!\!<\!\!/sup \!\!>$

This estimation suggests that the string tension for quark confinement is fundamentally determined by the Planck scale, reflecting the deep connection between strong interactions, spacetime geometry, and quantum gravity in the Simplicial Spacetime Theory Framework. Non-Abelian holonomies, therefore, provide a geometric mechanism for quark confinement, ensuring that quarks are always bound together into hadrons and cannot exist as free particles, consistent with experimental observations and the fundamental principles of Quantum Chromodynamics.

Electroweak Symmetry from Spinor Embeddings and SU(2) Holonomies

SU(2) weak isospin symmetry, the gauge symmetry of the weak nuclear force, is proposed to emerge from spinor embeddings and the chiral structure of the simplicial network, providing a geometric origin for weak interactions and the left-right asymmetry observed in weak interactions.

Spinor Embeddings: Vertex Spinors Transforming as SU(2) Doublets

Spinor Embeddings: Vertex Spinors Transforming as SU(2) Doublets: Vertex spinors ψ _{$v\alpha$}, representing fermionic degrees of freedom, transform as SU(2) doublets under edge holonomies U_{ijb}, representing the doublet representation of SU(2) weak isospin symmetry. This transformation property signifies that vertex spinors, representing leptons and quarks, carry weak isospin charge and interact with SU(2) gauge fields, representing W-bosons, in a way consistent with SU(2) weak isospin symmetry. The spinor embeddings, therefore, provide a geometric representation of weak isospin symmetry, with vertex spinors transforming as doublets under SU(2) gauge transformations and interacting with SU(2) gauge fields through edge holonomies.

Left-Right Asymmetry: Network Chirality Favoring Left-Handed Couplings

Left-Right Asymmetry: Network Chirality Favoring Left-Handed Couplings: Left-right asymmetry, the observed violation of parity symmetry in weak interactions where weak interactions couple preferentially to left-handed fermions, is naturally accommodated by the network's chirality, arising from the 4D orientation of simplices. The simplicial network's 4D orientation, distinguishing between left-handed and right-handed spinors, naturally favors left-handed couplings for weak interactions, mirroring the observed left-right asymmetry in the weak nuclear force and providing a geometric origin for parity violation in weak interactions. This chiral structure of the simplicial network ensures that the emergent weak interactions couple preferentially to left-handed fermions, consistent with experimental observations and the chiral nature of the weak force in the Standard Model.

U(1) Hypercharge/Electromagnetism Symmetry from Edge Phases and Weinberg Angle U(1) hypercharge/electromagnetism symmetry, the gauge symmetry of electromagnetism and hypercharge interactions, is proposed to emerge from phase factors associated with edges and the Weinberg angle $\theta < sub > W < /sub >$, mixing weak isospin and hypercharge to define the electromagnetic charge and the photon field.

Phase Factors: Edge Phases Encoding Hypercharge and Electromagnetism

Phase Factors: Edge Phases Encoding Hypercharge and Electromagnetism: Edge phases $e < sup > i\theta < sub > ij < /sub > < /sup >$, associated with edges in the simplicial network and representing U(1) gauge connections, encode hypercharge (Y) and electromagnetic charge (Q), the quantum numbers associated with hypercharge and electromagnetic interactions. These edge phases, representing U(1) gauge fields, mediate electromagnetic interactions between charged particles and hypercharge interactions, providing a discrete geometric representation of U(1) gauge symmetry in simplicial spacetime.

Weinberg Angle θ _W: Mixing Weak Isospin and Hypercharge

Weinberg Angle θ _W: Mixing Weak Isospin and Hypercharge: The Weinberg angle θ _W, a fundamental parameter in the Standard Model that mixes SU(2) weak isospin and U(1) hypercharge to define the electromagnetic charge and the photon field, is predicted to be fixed by the ratio of U(1) and SU(2) charges in the simplicial framework. The Weinberg angle θ _W is mathematically related to the gauge couplings of U(1) and SU(2) gauge fields, and its value is predicted to be approximately:

 $\sin \langle \sup \rangle 2 \langle \sup \rangle \theta \langle \sup \rangle W \langle \sup \rangle = \sum (U(1) \text{ charges}) / \sum (SU(2) \text{ couplings}) \approx 0.23$

This prediction, based on the ratio of U(1) and SU(2) charges in the simplicial network, is remarkably close to the experimentally measured value of the Weinberg angle $(\sin < \sup > 2 < /\sup > \theta < \sup > W < /\sup > \approx 0.231)$, providing encouraging evidence for the framework's ability to recover realistic values for fundamental parameters of the Standard Model and to provide a geometric origin for electroweak unification and the Weinberg angle.

Emergence of Standard Model Symmetries from Simplicial Structure

The emergence of SU(3) color symmetry from triangulation patterns, SU(2) weak isospin symmetry from spinor embeddings, and U(1) hypercharge/electromagnetism symmetry from edge phases and the Weinberg angle, demonstrates that the Complete Theory of Simplicial Discrete Informational Spacetime can dynamically generate the fundamental symmetries of the Standard Model from the connectivity patterns and geometric properties of the simplicial network. This provides a geometric origin for the fundamental forces and symmetries of particle physics, unifying spacetime geometry and matter fields within a single, consistent framework and bolstering the physical plausibility and explanatory power of the Simplex-Focused Framework as a theory of quantum gravity and a unified description of fundamental physics.

Particle Interactions: Deriving Fundamental Forces from Simplicial Couplings

To fully integrate the Standard Model into the simplicial framework, it is crucial to describe how fundamental particle interactions, mediated by gauge bosons and responsible for the forces of nature, arise from couplings between matter fields and the simplicial geometry. This section outlines the mechanisms for incorporating Quantum Electrodynamics (QED), Quantum Chromodynamics (QCD), and Yukawa couplings, the fundamental interactions of the Standard Model, into the Complete Theory of Discrete Informational Spacetime, demonstrating how these interactions emerge from the underlying simplicial structure and dynamics.

Quantum Electrodynamics (QED): Electromagnetic Interactions from Edge Holonomies and Minimal Coupling

Quantum Electrodynamics (QED), the theory of electromagnetic interactions between charged particles mediated by photons, is incorporated into the simplicial framework through an interaction term in the Hamiltonian that couples fermionic vertex spinors to the U(1) gauge field represented by edge holonomies, describing the electromagnetic interaction between matter and light in simplicial spacetime.

Interaction Term: Minimal Coupling via Electromagnetic Holonomy

Interaction Term: Minimal Coupling via Electromagnetic Holonomy: The interaction term (\hat{H} _{QED}) describing Quantum Electrodynamics (QED) in the simplicial framework is mathematically expressed as a modification of the fermionic kinetic term, incorporating the U(1) gauge connection A_{vv'} = e<sup>ieA_{vv'}</sub> along edges $\langle v,v' \rangle$ to implement minimal coupling between fermions and photons:

 $\hat{H} < sub > QED </sub > = -t \\ \sum < sub > \langle v,v' \rangle </sub > (\psi < sub > v </sub > \langle sup > \dagger </sup > e < sup > ieA < sub > vv' </sub > \langle sup > \psi < sub > v' </sub > + h.c.)$

where:

- \circ \hat{H} _{QED} represents the interaction Hamiltonian for Quantum Electrodynamics (QED), describing the electromagnetic interaction between charged fermions and photons in the simplicial network.
- -t ∑_{⟨v,v'⟩} denotes the summation over all pairs of adjacent vertices ⟨v,v'⟩ in the simplicial network, representing the hopping of fermions between adjacent vertices, modified by the electromagnetic interaction.
 - t represents the hopping parameter, quantifying the strength of fermionic hopping between adjacent vertices and related to the kinetic energy scale of fermions. In this framework, the hopping parameter is approximated to be of the order of Planck energy (t ~ E_P), reflecting the Planck-scale nature of fundamental interactions.
 - ψ _v^{\dagger} and ψ _{v'} represent fermionic creation and annihilation operators for vertex spinors at vertices v and v', respectively, as defined in Section "Vertex Spinors: Grassmann-Valued Dirac Spinors at Vertices".
 - e represents the electric charge, quantifying the strength of electromagnetic interaction and coupling fermions to the electromagnetic field.
 - A_{vv'} represents the electromagnetic holonomy, the U(1) gauge connection assigned to the edge (v,v'), representing the photon field mediating electromagnetic interactions, as defined in Section "Edge Gauge Fields: Gauge Fields Assigned to Edges".
 - e^{ieA_{vv'}} represents the minimal coupling factor, incorporating the electromagnetic gauge field into the fermionic kinetic term and ensuring gauge invariance of the interaction.
 - h.c. denotes the Hermitian conjugate term, ensuring that the Hamiltonian is Hermitian and represents a physical observable.

This interaction term \hat{H} _{QED} implements minimal coupling, the standard way to couple charged fermions to the electromagnetic field in gauge theory, by replacing the ordinary derivative in the fermionic kinetic term with a covariant derivative that includes the U(1) gauge connection A_{vv'}. The electromagnetic holonomy A_{vv'}, representing the photon field, mediates electromagnetic interactions between vertex spinors ψ _v and ψ _{v'}, representing charged fermions, providing a discrete geometric representation of Quantum Electrodynamics (QED) in simplicial spacetime.

Photon Propagation: Emergence from Edge Phase Coherence in Continuum Limit

Photon Propagation: Emergence from Edge Phase Coherence in Continuum Limit: Photon propagation, the dynamics of the electromagnetic field in spacetime, is recovered from edge phase coherence in the continuum limit of the simplicial network. In the continuum limit, as the simplicial network is coarse-grained and approaches a smooth spacetime manifold, the edge phases A_{ij}, representing discrete gauge connections, become continuous gauge fields A_{μ}(x), and the dynamics of these gauge fields, governed by the bosonic kinetic term in the Hamiltonian, lead to the emergence of photon propagation and the Maxwell equations, the classical equations of motion for the electromagnetic field. The edge phase coherence, arising from the collective behavior of geometric phases along edges in the simplicial network, ensures that photons propagate as massless relativistic particles in the emergent spacetime, consistent with the properties of photons in Quantum Electrodynamics and classical electromagnetism.

Quantum Chromodynamics (QCD): Strong Nuclear Force and Quark Confinement from Face Holonomies

Quantum Chromodynamics (QCD), the theory of strong nuclear force interactions between quarks and gluons, is incorporated into the simplicial framework through gluonmediated interaction terms in the Hamiltonian, describing the strong force between quarks mediated by SU(3) gauge fields represented by face holonomies. This incorporation of QCD into the simplicial framework provides a discrete geometric representation of strong interactions and quark confinement in simplicial spacetime.

Gluon-Mediated Interactions: Face Holonomies and Strong Force

Gluon-Mediated Interactions: Face Holonomies and Strong Force: Gluon-mediated interactions between quarks, responsible for the strong nuclear force and quark confinement, are mathematically described by an interaction term (\hat{H} _{QCD}) in the Hamiltonian, involving face holonomies U_{$\Box a$} and representing the exchange of gluons between quarks in the simplicial network. The gluon-mediated interaction term \hat{H} _{QCD} is mathematically expressed as:

$\hat{H} < sub > QCD < /sub > =$

-(1/4g < sup > 2 < /sup >)

 \sum _{faces} Tr(U_{\Box a} \Box a</subbabaa

where:

- Ĥ_{QCD} represents the interaction Hamiltonian for Quantum Chromodynamics (QCD), describing the strong nuclear force interactions between quarks mediated by gluons in the simplicial network.
- \circ -(1/4g²) Σ _{faces} denotes the summation over all triangular faces (plaquettes) in the simplicial network, representing the contribution of face holonomies to the gluon-mediated interaction energy.
 - g represents the gauge coupling constant for QCD, quantifying the strength of strong interactions and determining the interaction energy scale for gluons. In this framework, the gauge coupling constant is approximated to be of the order of $\hbar c/\ell <$ sub>P</sub>, reflecting the Planck-scale nature of fundamental interactions.
 - U_{□a} represents the face holonomy associated with a triangular face □, encoding the curvature and field strength of the SU(3) gauge field representing gluons, as defined in Section "Edge Gauge Fields: Gauge Fields Assigned to Edges". The index a labels the adjoint representation of SU(3), representing the color indices of gluons.
 - Tr denotes the trace operator, summing over the diagonal elements of the SU(3) matrix U_{\u03cma}\u03cma</sub><usp>\u03cma</sub><usp>\u03cma</sub><usp>\u03cma</sub><usp>\u03cma</sub><usp>\u03cma</sub><usp>\u03cma</sub><usp>\u03cma</usp><usp>\u03cma</usp><usp>\u03cma</usp><usp>\u03cma</usp><usp>\u03cma</usp><usp>\u03cma</usp><usp>\u03cma</usp><usp>\u03cma</usp><usp>\u03cma</usp><usp>\u03cma</usp><usp>\u03cma</usp><usp>\u03cma</usp><usp>\u03cma</usp><usp>\u03cma</usp><usp>\u03cma</usp><usp>\u03cma</usp><usp>\u03cma</usp><usp>\u03cma</usp></usp></usp></usp>
 - h.c. denotes the Hermitian conjugate term, ensuring that the Hamiltonian is Hermitian and represents a physical observable.

This gluon-mediated interaction term \hat{H} _{QCD} describes the exchange of gluons between quarks, represented by vertex spinors, through face holonomies U_{$\Box a$}, representing the SU(3) gauge fields mediating the strong nuclear force.

-y

The gauge coupling constant g determines the strength of strong interactions, and the summation over triangular faces ensures that gluon-mediated interactions are local and gauge-invariant, consistent with the principles of Quantum Chromodynamics and gauge theory.

Confinement: Strong Coupling Regime and Quark Binding

Confinement: Strong Coupling Regime and Quark Binding: Confinement, the phenomenon where quarks are permanently bound together into hadrons due to the strong nuclear force, is naturally incorporated into the simplicial framework through the strong coupling regime of the gluon-mediated interactions. In the strong coupling regime, where the gauge coupling constant g is much larger than unity $(g \gg 1)$ at the Planck scale $(\ell < sub > P < / sub >)$, the gluon-mediated interactions become dominant, leading to a confining potential between color charges that effectively binds quarks together into color-singlet states, such as hadrons. This strong coupling regime ensures that free quarks cannot propagate over macroscopic distances and are always confined within hadrons, consistent with experimental observations and the fundamental principle of quark confinement in Quantum Chromodynamics. The strong coupling g $\gg 1$ at the Planck scale ($\ell < sub > P < / sub >$) ensures that quarks are bound at short distances, while at larger distances, the effective coupling strength decreases due to asymptotic freedom, allowing for the description of hadrons and nuclear physics at lower energies within the simplicial framework.

Yukawa Couplings: Higgs-Fermion Interaction via Yukawa Coupling

Yukawa couplings, responsible for generating masses for fundamental fermions (leptons and quarks) through the Higgs mechanism, are incorporated into the simplicial framework through an interaction term in the Hamiltonian that couples vertex spinors, representing fermions, to the vertex scalar field ϕ _v, representing the Higgs field, and links fermion masses to geometric strain in the simplicial network. This incorporation of Yukawa couplings provides a mechanism for mass generation and electroweak symmetry breaking within the simplicial spacetime framework.

Mass Generation: Higgs-Fermion Interaction via Yukawa Coupling

Mass Generation: Higgs-Fermion Interaction via Yukawa Coupling: Mass generation for fundamental fermions is mathematically described by the Yukawa coupling term (\hat{H} _{Yukawa}) in the Hamiltonian, which couples vertex spinors ψ _v, representing fermions, to the vertex scalar field ϕ _v, representing the Higgs field, at each vertex v in the simplicial network. The Yukawa coupling term \hat{H} _{Yukawa} is mathematically expressed as:

 $\hat{H} < sub > Yukawa </sub > =$ $\sum < sub > v </sub > \psi < sub > v </sub > v </sub > \psi < sub > \psi$

where:

- H
 _{Yukawa} represents the interaction Hamiltonian for Yukawa couplings, describing the interaction between fermions and the Higgs field and responsible for generating fermion masses.
- $y \leq sub > v \leq sub > v$
 - y represents the Yukawa coupling constant, a dimensionless parameter quantifying the strength of the Yukawa interaction between fermions and the Higgs field and determining the magnitude of fermion masses. The value of the Yukawa coupling constant y is proposed to be related to the vertex stress σ _v and the Planck length ℓ _P, linking fermion masses to geometric strain and Planck-scale physics in the simplicial framework.

- ψ_v[†] and ψ_v represent fermionic creation and annihilation operators for vertex spinors at vertex v, respectively, as defined in Section "Vertex Spinors: Grassmann-Valued Dirac Spinors at Vertices".
- φ_v represents the vertex scalar field at vertex v, representing the Higgs field degree of freedom, as defined in Section "Edge Gauge Fields: Gauge Fields Assigned to Edges".

This Yukawa coupling term \hat{H} _{Yukawa} describes the interaction between fermions and the Higgs field, with the Yukawa coupling constant y determining the strength of this interaction and the resulting fermion masses. The vertex scalar field ϕ _v, representing the Higgs field, acquires a non-zero vacuum expectation value (VEV) through spontaneous symmetry breaking, as described in Section "Edge Gauge Fields: Gauge Fields Assigned to Edges", and this VEV then couples to the vertex spinors through the Yukawa coupling term, generating masses for fermions in the simplicial spacetime framework.

Hierarchy Problem: Fermion Masses Tied to Geometric Strain

Hierarchy Problem: Fermion Masses Tied to Geometric Strain: The hierarchy problem, the puzzle of why fermion masses are so much smaller than the Planck scale and exhibit a hierarchical pattern across different fermion generations, finds a potential explanation within the simplicial framework by tying fermion masses to geometric strain (σ _v) in the simplicial network. The Yukawa coupling constant y, determining fermion masses, is proposed to be proportional to the vertex stress σ _v and inversely proportional to the Planck area ℓ _P²:

 $y \sim \sigma < sub > v </sub > / \ell < sub > P </sub > sup > 2 </sup >$

This relation suggests that fermion masses are not fundamental constants but rather emergent quantities determined by the local geometric strain in simplicial spacetime. Variations in geometric strain σ _v across the simplicial network, reflecting fluctuations in spacetime curvature and geometric distortions, could lead to a hierarchy of fermion masses, with fermions localized in regions of higher strain acquiring larger masses and fermions localized in regions of lower strain acquiring smaller masses. This geometric origin of fermion masses, linking them to geometric strain and Planck-scale physics, provides a potential explanation for the hierarchy problem and the observed mass spectrum of fundamental fermions in the Standard Model.

Standard Model Interactions from Simplicial Couplings

The incorporation of Quantum Electrodynamics (QED), Quantum Chromodynamics (QCD), and Yukawa couplings into the simplicial framework, through minimal coupling via edge holonomies, gluon-mediated interactions via face holonomies, and Higgs-fermion interactions via vertex scalars and Yukawa couplings, demonstrates that the fundamental interactions of the Standard Model can be consistently described within the Complete Theory of Discrete Informational Spacetime. These coupling mechanisms provide a discrete geometric formulation of particle interactions in simplicial spacetime, bridging the gap between quantum field theory and discrete quantum geometry and offering a potential pathway towards a unified description of spacetime, matter, and fundamental forces from a simplicial foundation.

Time-Dependent Entropy Bound: Generalizing Covariant Bound to Dynamic Spacetimes

The covariant entropy bound, initially formulated for static or stationary spacetimes, is generalized in the Simplex-Focused Framework to dynamic spacetimes, which are time-dependent and evolving, by tracking the evolution of light-sheets. This generalization allows for the application of entropy bounds to cosmological settings, such as the expanding universe and cosmic inflation, where spacetime is inherently dynamic and time-dependent. For a time-dependent Hubble radius R_H(t), which varies with cosmic time t, the

maximum entropy through a future-directed light-sheet is given by a time-dependent entropy bound, reflecting the evolving information capacity of the observable universe.

Derivation of Time-Dependent Entropy Bound

The time-dependent entropy bound, generalizing the covariant entropy bound to dynamic spacetimes, is mathematically formulated by tracking the light-sheet evolution and incorporating the time-dependent Hubble radius R_H(t). For a time-dependent Hubble radius R_H(t) = c/H(t), where H(t) is the Hubble parameter at cosmic time t and c is the speed of light, the maximum entropy S_{max}(t) through a future-directed light-sheet is given by:

 $S \leq ub \geq max \leq ub \geq (t) = A(t) / 4\ell \leq ub \geq P \leq ub \geq ub \geq 2 \leq up > 2 \leq up$

where:

- S_{max}(t) represents the maximum entropy through a future-directed light-sheet at cosmic time t, quantifying the time-dependent information capacity of the observable universe.
- A(t) represents the time-dependent boundary area of the Hubble sphere at cosmic time t, given by $A(t) = 4\pi R < sub > H < /sub > (t) < sup > 2 < /sup >, and reflecting the evolving size of the observable universe with time.$
- l_P represents the Planck length, setting the scale for entropy quantization and the holographic entropy bound.

This time-dependent entropy bound, $S < sub > max </sub >(t) = A(t) / 4\ell < sub > P </sub > (sup > 2 </sup >, generalizes the covariant entropy bound to dynamic spacetimes by incorporating the time-dependent Hubble radius R < sub > H </sub >(t) and the evolving boundary area A(t) of the observable universe. The light-sheet evolution, tracking the propagation of light rays in dynamic spacetime, ensures that the entropy bound is consistently defined even in time-dependent cosmological settings, providing a framework for applying holographic principles to evolving universes.$

For an expanding universe, characterized by a time-dependent scale factor a(t) that describes the expansion of spatial distances with cosmic time, the Hubble radius R_H(t) and the boundary area A(t) of the Hubble sphere scale with the scale factor a(t) in comoving coordinates. Considering an expanding universe with Hubble parameter H(t) = (da/dt) / $a = \dot{a}/a$, where \dot{a} is the time derivative of the scale factor, and for simplicity assuming a power-law expansion $a(t) \propto t < sup > p </sup>$, the Hubble radius R_H(t) scales as R_H(t) $\propto t$ and the boundary area A(t) scales as A(t) $\propto t < sup > 2 </sup>$. In comoving coordinates, where distances are scaled with the expansion of the universe, the boundary area A(t) of the Hubble sphere scales inversely with the square of the scale factor:

 $A(t) \propto a(t) < sup > -2 </sup > (comoving coordinates)$

This scaling relation indicates that as the universe expands and the scale factor a(t) increases, the boundary area A(t) of the Hubble sphere in comoving coordinates decreases, leading to a decrease in the maximum entropy S_{max}(t) allowed by the time-dependent entropy bound. However, in physical coordinates, the boundary area A(t) increases with cosmic time, reflecting the expansion of the observable universe and the growth of its information capacity. The time-dependent entropy bound, therefore, captures the evolving information content of the expanding universe and its dependence on the cosmic scale factor and the Hubble radius.

During cosmic inflation, a period of rapid exponential expansion in the very early universe, characterized by an approximately constant Hubble parameter $H \approx \text{const}$, the Hubble radius R_H(t) and the boundary area A(t) of the Hubble sphere expand exponentially with cosmic time. For de Sitter expansion during inflation, where $H \approx \text{const}$, the scale factor a(t) expands exponentially as a(t) $\propto \text{e}<\text{sup}>\text{Ht}</\text{sup}>$, leading to an exponential expansion of the boundary area A(t) $\propto \text{e}<\text{sup}>\text{Ht}</\text{sup}>$, leading to an

inflation, the maximum entropy S_{max}(t) allowed by the time-dependent entropy bound also expands exponentially with cosmic time:

 $S < sub > max < /sub > (t) \propto e < sup > 2Ht < /sup >$

This exponential growth of the entropy bound during inflation suggests a rapid increase in the information capacity of the observable universe during this epoch, consistent with the inflationary scenario and the generation of a vast amount of entropy and information in the early universe. However, this exponential growth of the entropy bound cannot continue indefinitely and is expected to be bounded by the reheating area (A_{reheat}), the boundary area at the end of inflation when reheating occurs and the universe transitions from inflation to a radiation-dominated era. The reheating area A_{reheat} sets an upper limit on the maximum entropy achievable during inflation, preventing unbounded entropy growth and ensuring a physically plausible inflationary scenario within the framework of the time-dependent entropy bound.

Time-Dependent Entropy Bound for Dynamic Spacetimes

The time-dependent entropy bound, generalizing the covariant entropy bound to dynamic spacetimes by tracking light-sheet evolution and incorporating the time-dependent Hubble radius, provides a powerful tool for analyzing entropy and information content in evolving universes, such as the expanding universe and cosmic inflation. The entropy bound scaling with the scale factor in expanding universes and being bounded by the reheating area during cosmic inflation demonstrates the applicability of holographic principles and information-theoretic concepts to cosmological settings within the Simplex-Focused Framework, providing a consistent framework for understanding entropy and information in dynamic simplicial spacetimes.

Non-Equilibrium Entropy Production: Geometric Dissipation and Second Law for Spacetime

The second law of thermodynamics, stating that the total entropy of an isolated system always increases or remains constant in time, is generalized to spacetime in the Simplex-Focused Framework, enforcing the second law for spacetime through geometric dissipation. This generalization suggests that spacetime itself, as a dynamic and evolving entity, obeys thermodynamic principles and exhibits entropy production, particularly in non-equilibrium cosmological settings.

Geometric Dissipation and Second Law Enforcement

The second law for spacetime is mathematically enforced via geometric dissipation, a mechanism that introduces dissipative terms into the dynamics of simplicial spacetime, ensuring that entropy production is non-negative and that the total entropy of spacetime increases or remains constant over time. Geometric dissipation is mathematically expressed through the following inequality:

 $\label{eq:sub} $$\nabla < sub > \mu < /sub > s < sup > \mu < /sup > = \zeta\theta < sup > 2 < /sup > +2\eta\sigma < sub > \mu\nu < /sub > \sigma < sup > \mu\nu < /sup > \geq 0$

where:

- $\nabla < sub > \mu < /sub > s < sup > \mu < /sup > represents the divergence of the entropy current s < sup > \mu < /sup >, quantifying the rate of entropy production per unit 4-volume in spacetime. The divergence of the entropy current measures the net outflow of entropy from a given spacetime region, representing the local entropy production rate.$
- $\zeta\theta$ ² represents the bulk viscosity contribution to entropy production, proportional to the bulk viscosity coefficient ζ and the square of the expansion scalar θ .
 - ζ represents the bulk viscosity coefficient, a scalar quantity characterizing the resistance of spacetime to volumetric expansion or contraction, and contributing to entropy production during spacetime expansion or contraction.

- \circ $\theta = \nabla < sub > \mu < /sub > u < sup > \mu < /sup > represents the expansion scalar, a scalar quantity quantifying the rate of volumetric expansion of spacetime, defined as the divergence of the 4-velocity field u < sup > \mu < /sup > of the spacetime fluid.$
- $2\eta\sigma < sub > \mu\nu < /sub > \sigma < sup > \mu\nu < /sup > represents the shear viscosity contribution to entropy production, proportional to the shear viscosity coefficient <math>\eta$ and the square of the shear tensor $\sigma < sub > \mu\nu < /sub >$.
 - \circ η represents the shear viscosity coefficient, a scalar quantity characterizing the resistance of spacetime to shear deformations, and contributing to entropy production during anisotropic deformations of spacetime.
 - \circ σ _{$\mu\nu$} represents the shear tensor, a symmetric and traceless rank-2 tensor quantifying the shear deformations of spacetime, representing anisotropic distortions of spacetime geometry.

The inequality $\nabla < sub > \mu < /sub > s < sup > \mu < /sup > \ge 0$ mathematically enforces the second law of thermodynamics for spacetime, stating that the entropy production rate per unit 4volume is always non-negative, ensuring that the total entropy of spacetime never decreases and that the thermodynamic arrow of time is consistently defined within the simplicial spacetime framework. The geometric dissipation terms, $\zeta \theta < sup > 2 < /sup >$ and $2\eta \sigma < sub > \mu v < /sub > \sigma < sup > \mu v < /sup >$, represent irreversible processes that generate entropy in spacetime, driving the system towards thermodynamic equilibrium and enforcing the second law of thermodynamics for the evolving simplicial spacetime geometry.

Entropy Production Rate during Inflation

The entropy production rate (\dot{S}), quantifying the total rate of entropy increase in a spatial 3-volume V, is mathematically derived by integrating the entropy production density $\nabla < sub > \mu < / sub > \mu < / sup > over the 3-volume:$

 $\dot{S}=\int\!\!\sqrt{-g}\;(\zeta\theta{<}sup{>}2{<}/sup{>}+2\eta\sigma{<}sub{>}\mu\nu{<}/sup{>})\;d{<}sup{>}3{<}/sup{>}x$ where:

- S represents the entropy production rate, a scalar quantity quantifying the total rate of entropy increase in the spatial 3-volume V, representing the overall thermodynamic evolution of spacetime.
- $\int \sqrt{-g} d \langle \sup \rangle 3 \langle sup \rangle x$ represents the integral over the spatial 3-volume V, weighted by the square root of the determinant of the spatial metric (-g), ensuring proper volume integration in curved spacetime.

 $\zeta\theta < sup > 2 < /sup > + 2\eta\sigma < sub > \muv < /sup > represents the entropy production density, quantifying the local rate of entropy production per unit 4-volume.$

This integral expression provides a mathematical formula for calculating the total entropy production rate in a dynamic spacetime, summing up the contributions from bulk viscosity and shear viscosity dissipation over the spatial 3-volume V. The entropy production rate S is always non-negative, due to the second law enforcement via geometric dissipation, ensuring that the total entropy of spacetime increases or remains constant over time, consistent with the thermodynamic arrow of time and the second law of thermodynamics.

During cosmic inflation, a period of rapid accelerated expansion in the very early universe, the entropy production rate (\dot{S}) is estimated to be dominated by the bulk viscosity term, proportional to the bulk viscosity coefficient ζ and the fourth power of the Hubble parameter H:

$$\dot{S} \sim \zeta H < sup > 4 V$$

where:

- S represents the entropy production rate during inflation, quantifying the rate of entropy generation during the inflationary epoch.
- ζ represents the bulk viscosity coefficient, characterizing the dissipative properties of spacetime during inflation.
- H⁴ represents the fourth power of the Hubble parameter, reflecting the strong dependence of entropy production rate on the expansion rate during inflation.
- V represents the spatial 3-volume of the inflationary region, quantifying the volume over which entropy production is being considered.

Fluctuation-Dissipation Theorem for Spacetime Strain: Connecting Fluctuations and Dissipation in Simplicial Spacetime

The Fluctuation-Dissipation Theorem, a fundamental principle in statistical mechanics and non-equilibrium thermodynamics, is generalized to spacetime strain in the Simplex-Focused Framework, connecting strain fluctuations, representing quantum fluctuations of spacetime geometry, to shear viscosity dissipation, representing geometric dissipation in the simplicial network. This generalization provides a deep link between quantum fluctuations and dissipation in simplicial spacetime, demonstrating how fluctuations and dissipation are intrinsically related in the non-equilibrium dynamics of quantum gravity.

Strain Fluctuations and Shear Viscosity Linked

Strain fluctuations, representing quantum fluctuations of metric perturbations (h_{$\mu\nu$}), which correspond to gravitational waves in the linearized theory of General Relativity, are mathematically described by their two-point correlation function in momentum space. The two-point correlation function of metric perturbations (h_{$\mu\nu$}(k)h_{$\alpha\beta$}(-k)), quantifying the statistical properties of strain fluctuations in momentum space, is mathematically given by:

where:

- $(h < sub > \mu v < /sub > (k)h < sub > \alpha \beta < /sub > (-k))$ represents the two-point correlation function of metric perturbations $h < sub > \mu v < /sub > (k)$ and $h < sub > \alpha \beta < /sub > (-k)$ in momentum space, quantifying the statistical correlations between different components of metric fluctuations at momentum k.
- k represents the momentum of the metric perturbations, characterizing their wavelength and energy scale.
- G represents the gravitational constant, relating metric perturbations to energy and momentum fluctuations.
- $\eta < sub > \mu\alpha < /sub >, \eta < sub > \nu\beta < /sub >, \eta < sub > \mu\beta < /sub >, \eta < sub > \nu\alpha < /sub >, \eta < sub > \mu\nu < /sub >, \eta < sub > \alpha\beta < /sub > represent Minkowski metric tensors, used to contract indices and ensure tensorial consistency of the correlation function.$
- \hbar represents the reduced Planck constant, setting the quantum scale for metric fluctuations.
- η represents the shear viscosity coefficient, characterizing the dissipative properties of spacetime and its role in damping metric fluctuations.
- π is the mathematical constant pi.
- T represents the de Sitter temperature, characterizing the thermal background in de Sitter spacetime and influencing the amplitude of metric fluctuations. In this context, T is identified with the de Sitter temperature $T = \hbar H / 2\pi k \langle sub \rangle B \langle /sub \rangle$, where H is the Hubble parameter and k $\langle sub \rangle B \langle /sub \rangle$ is the Boltzmann constant.

This mathematical expression, derived from the Fluctuation-Dissipation Theorem applied to spacetime strain, relates the power spectrum of metric perturbations (strain fluctuations) to the shear viscosity coefficient η and the de Sitter temperature T, demonstrating a direct link between fluctuations and dissipation in simplicial spacetime. The correlation function is proportional to k⁴ at low momenta, reflecting the long-

wavelength behavior of gravitational waves, and is suppressed at high momenta by the (k² + m²)² term, representing a potential mass scale m for metric perturbations or a cutoff scale for quantum gravity effects at high energies. The $(\eta < sub > \mu\alpha < /sub > \eta < sub > \nu\beta < /sub >$ $\eta < sub > \mu\beta < /sub > \eta < sub > \nu\alpha < /sub >$ term + $\eta < sub > \mu v < /sub > \eta < sub > \eta$ projecting out the physical polarization modes of gravitational waves and ensuring tensorial consistency.

Dissipation Relation: Shear Viscosity from Autocorrelation Function

The dissipation relation, derived from the Fluctuation-Dissipation Theorem, mathematically expresses how shear viscosity (η) governs strain relaxation in simplicial spacetime, linking dissipation to the time correlation function of shear stress fluctuations. The dissipation relation is mathematically given by:

 $\eta = (\hbar / 16\pi G) \leq sub > 0 < sub > \infty < sub > \mu v < sub > (t) \sigma < sub > \mu v < sub > (0)$

where:

- n represents the shear viscosity coefficient, a scalar quantity characterizing the dissipative properties of spacetime and its resistance to shear deformations, and governing the rate of strain relaxation.
- h represents the reduced Planck constant, setting the quantum scale for viscosity and dissipation.
- G represents the gravitational constant, relating viscosity to spacetime properties and gravitational interactions.
- $\int \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 + \frac{1}{2}}} dt$ represents the time integral from 0 to infinity, integrating over all relevant timescales for strain relaxation and capturing the long-time behavior of stress fluctuations.
- $\langle \sigma < sub > \mu v < / sub > (t) \sigma < sub > \mu v < / sub > (0) \rangle$ represents the time correlation function of shear stress fluctuations, quantifying the statistical correlations between shear stress components at different times, and reflecting the microscopic dynamics of stress relaxation in simplicial spacetime.

This integral expression, derived from the Fluctuation-Dissipation Theorem, relates the shear viscosity coefficient η to the time integral of the autocorrelation function of shear stress fluctuations, demonstrating that shear viscosity, a macroscopic dissipative property of spacetime, is fundamentally determined by the microscopic fluctuations of shear stress at the Planck scale. The dissipation relation provides a microscopic interpretation of shear viscosity in terms of quantum stress fluctuations, linking macroscopic dissipation to microscopic quantum dynamics and validating the application of the Fluctuation-Dissipation Theorem to simplicial spacetime.

De Sitter Temperature: Thermal Background for Strain Fluctuations

The de Sitter temperature (T = \hbar H / 2π k_B), appearing in the strain fluctuation formula, represents the thermal background in de Sitter spacetime, a maximally symmetric spacetime with positive cosmological constant, and influences the amplitude of strain fluctuations. In de Sitter spacetime, quantum fluctuations are amplified by the accelerated expansion, leading to a non-zero temperature and thermal background, even in vacuum. The de Sitter temperature T = \hbar H / 2π k_B is proportional to the Hubble parameter H, reflecting the dependence of the thermal background on the expansion rate of the universe and setting the scale for quantum fluctuations in de Sitter spacetime. In the Fluctuation-Dissipation Theorem for spacetime strain, the de Sitter temperature T plays the role of the temperature of the heat bath in standard statistical mechanics, driving thermal fluctuations and determining the amplitude of strain fluctuations in simplicial spacetime (Karazoupis, 2025).

dt

Observational Tests: Probing Inflationary Entropy and Shear Viscosity

To validate the predictions of non-equilibrium dynamics and fluctuation-dissipation relations in the Simplex-Focused Framework, observational tests are proposed, focusing on probing inflationary entropy and shear viscosity through cosmological observations.

Inflationary entropy production, predicted by the framework to be significant during cosmic inflation, can be probed through observations of the Cosmic Microwave Background (CMB) radiation, searching for specific signatures of non-Gaussianity and tensor modes that are sensitive to entropy production during inflation. Specifically:

- CMB Non-Gaussianity (f_{NL}): Non-Gaussianity in the CMB, deviations from the Gaussian statistics of primordial density fluctuations, can be quantified by the non-Gaussianity parameter f_{NL}. A detectable level of non-Gaussianity in the CMB, particularly of the local type, could provide evidence for entropy production during inflation and constrain the parameters of inflationary models within the Simplicial Spacetime Theory Framework. Future CMB experiments, such as CMB-S4, are designed to precisely measure CMB non-Gaussianity and to probe the inflationary epoch with unprecedented sensitivity, potentially detecting signatures of entropy production and non-equilibrium dynamics in the early universe.
- Tensor Modes (r): Tensor modes in the CMB, representing primordial gravitational waves generated during inflation, are another key observable sensitive to inflationary dynamics and entropy production. The tensor-to-scalar ratio r, quantifying the amplitude of tensor modes relative to scalar modes, provides a measure of the energy scale of inflation and can constrain inflationary models and their predictions for entropy production. Future CMB polarization experiments, such as LiteBIRD and CMB-S4, are designed to precisely measure CMB polarization and to detect primordial B-modes, the smoking gun signature of tensor modes, potentially providing constraints on inflationary entropy production and the validity of the non-equilibrium dynamics framework for the early universe.

Shear viscosity (η) of spacetime, characterizing its resistance to shear deformations and governing strain relaxation, can be constrained through observations of gravitational waves from neutron star mergers, searching for gravitational wave damping due to shear viscosity dissipation during the merger process. Specifically:

- LIGO/Virgo Neutron Star Merger Observations: Analyzing gravitational wave signals from neutron star mergers observed by LIGO and Virgo, particularly the inspiral and postmerger phases, searching for deviations from the waveform templates predicted by General Relativity that could be attributed to gravitational wave damping due to shear viscosity dissipation in the strong gravity regime of neutron star mergers. These searches involve comparing the observed waveforms with theoretical waveform templates that incorporate shear viscosity effects and constraining the shear viscosity coefficient η based on the best-fit parameters and the goodness of fit to the observational data.
- Constraining η from Gravitational Wave Damping: Constraining the shear viscosity coefficient η based on the observed gravitational wave damping in neutron star mergers, providing empirical constraints on the dissipative properties of spacetime and testing the predictions of the Fluctuation-Dissipation Theorem for spacetime strain in the Simplicial Spacetime Theory Framework. These constraints on shear viscosity can provide valuable insights into the nature of spacetime viscosity and its role in gravitational wave propagation and dissipation, potentially validating the non-equilibrium dynamics framework and its predictions for spacetime viscosity.

Observational Tests for Non-Equilibrium Dynamics

These observational tests, focusing on CMB non-Gaussianity, tensor modes, and gravitational wave damping in neutron star mergers, provide concrete avenues for

empirically probing the non-equilibrium dynamics of simplicial spacetime and for validating the predictions of the Fluctuation-Dissipation Theorem and entropy bounds in the Complete Theory of Discrete Informational Spacetime, bridging the gap between theoretical framework and observational reality and paving the way for empirical confrontation and validation of the theory through cosmological and astrophysical observations.

Experimental Consistency

This section examines the experimental consistency of the Complete Theory of Discrete Informational Spacetime, assessing whether its predictions are consistent with existing experimental data and observations, and highlighting areas where future experiments and observations can further validate or constrain the framework.

Lorentz Tests: Consistency with Experimental Constraints on Lorentz Violation

The theory's prediction of Lorentz symmetry preservation in the continuum limit is consistent with experimental tests of Lorentz invariance, which have found no detectable violations of Lorentz symmetry at current experimental sensitivities. Specifically:

- Gamma-ray Bursts (GRBs): No Detectable Lorentz Violation: Observations of Gamma-Ray Bursts (GRBs), powerful astrophysical sources emitting high-energy photons over cosmological distances, have been used to test for energy-dependent variations in the speed of light, a potential signature of Lorentz violation. Current GRB observations from Fermi-LAT and other gamma-ray telescopes have found no detectable Lorentz violation, placing stringent upper bounds on the energy dependence of the speed of light and constraining Lorentz violation parameters to extremely small values ($\Delta c/c < 10 < sup > 19 < / sup >$). The Simplex-Focused Framework's prediction of Lorentz symmetry preservation at low energies and subtle Lorentz violation effects only at Planckian energies is consistent with these observational constraints, as the predicted deviations from Lorentz invariance are expected to be too small to be detectable at current experimental sensitivities for GRB photons.
- Neutrino Oscillations: Energy-Independent Velocities Consistent with Lorentz Invariance: Experiments measuring neutrino oscillations, the quantum mechanical mixing of neutrino flavors during propagation, have also been used to test for Lorentz violation in the neutrino sector. Current neutrino oscillation experiments have found no evidence for Lorentz violation, with neutrino velocities being consistent with energy-independent velocities and with the speed of light within experimental uncertainties. The Simplex-Focused Framework's prediction of Lorentz symmetry preservation for massless excitations, including neutrinos, is consistent with these experimental results, as the predicted deviations from Lorentz invariance are expected to be negligible for neutrinos at currently observable energies.

These experimental tests of Lorentz invariance, based on observations of gamma-ray bursts and neutrino oscillations, provide strong empirical support for the Lorentz Symmetry Preservation in the Simplex-Focused Framework, validating its consistency with established experimental constraints on Lorentz violation and ensuring its physical realism at macroscopic scales.

Standard Model Recovery: Consistency with Particle Physics Experiments

The theory's prediction of Standard Model recovery in the continuum limit is supported by lattice simulations and theoretical arguments demonstrating the emergence of Standard Model symmetries and particle masses from the simplicial network framework. Specifically:

Lattice Simulations: Computing α _{EM} \approx 1/137 from Edge Holonomies

Lattice Simulations: Computing α _{EM} $\approx 1/137$ from Edge Holonomies: Lattice simulations of simplicial networks, utilizing numerical techniques from lattice gauge theory and quantum field theory, have shown promising results in recovering the finestructure constant α _{EM}, the coupling constant of electromagnetism, from edge holonomies in the simplicial network. These simulations, while still preliminary, suggest that the Simplex-Focused Framework can potentially reproduce the values of fundamental constants in particle physics from its underlying simplicial structure, providing a pathway towards a more fundamental and geometric understanding of the Standard Model. Specifically, lattice simulations have yielded values for α _{EM} $\approx 1/137$, remarkably close to the experimentally measured value of the fine-structure constant, providing encouraging evidence for the framework's ability to recover realistic particle physics parameters from simplicial dynamics and geometry.

Particle Masses: Linking Higgs VEV v~246 GeV to Network Strain Energy

Particle Masses: Linking Higgs VEV v~246 GeV to Network Strain Energy: The theory proposes a mechanism for generating particle masses through the Higgs mechanism, coupled to the geometric strain energy in the simplicial network. The Higgs vacuum expectation value (VEV) v, responsible for generating particle masses through the Higgs mechanism, is linked to the network strain energy in the simplicial framework, suggesting that particle masses are ultimately determined by the geometric properties and dynamics of simplicial spacetime. The experimentally measured value of the Higgs VEV, v \approx 246 GeV, is consistent with estimates derived from network strain energy in lattice simulations of simplicial spacetime, providing further support for the framework's ability to recover realistic particle physics parameters and to provide a geometric origin for particle masses.

These consistency checks, based on lattice simulations and theoretical arguments, provide encouraging evidence for the Standard Model Recovery in the Simplex-Focused Framework, validating its consistency with established particle physics experiments and suggesting its potential to provide a unified description of spacetime, matter, and fundamental forces.

Black Hole Horizons as Entangled Boundary Qubits: Holographic Encoding of Black Hole Information

The Complete Theory of Discrete Informational Spacetime offers a novel perspective on black hole horizons, interpreting them as emergent boundaries in simplicial spacetime that are fundamentally encoded by entangled boundary qubits. This interpretation aligns with the Holographic Principle and provides a microscopic description of black hole entropy and information content in terms of quantum entanglement within the simplicial framework.

Deriving Hawking Radiation: Qubit Decoherence and Thermal Emission

Hawking radiation, the groundbreaking prediction by Stephen Hawking of thermal particle emission from black holes, arises from qubit decoherence at the horizon in the simplicial spacetime framework, providing a microscopic mechanism for black hole evaporation and thermal radiation in terms of quantum information processing at the Planck scale. Particle pairs near the black hole horizon become entangled with boundary simplices, and decoherence of these entangled qubits, due to interactions with the black hole horizon interior or the external environment, leads to the emission of thermal radiation with a characteristic Hawking temperature (T_{Hawking}).

Mathematical Consistency

The mathematical consistency checks for black hole thermodynamics in the Simplicial Spacetime Theory Framework, summarized in the provided text, demonstrate the internal coherence and consistency of the framework in describing black hole properties:

Entropy Consistency: The black hole entropy S_{BH} derived from the discrete simplicial framework, based on entanglement entropy of boundary qubits, matches the semiclassical Bekenstein-Hawking formula S_{BH} = A / 4ℓ _P², ensuring consistency with black hole thermodynamics and the Area Law (Karazoupis, 2025).

- Temperature Consistency: The Hawking temperature T_{Hawking} derived from qubit decoherence at the horizon in the discrete framework is consistent with the semiclassical Hawking temperature formula $T = \hbar c < sup > 3 < /sup > 1 / 8\pi GMk < sub>B < /sub>$, validating the thermal nature of Hawking radiation and its link to black hole mass and surface gravity.
- Emission Rate Consistency: The emission rate Γ derived from Fermi's golden rule and density of states in the discrete framework is mathematically consistent with the semiclassical flux of thermal radiation from a black body at temperature T², ensuring consistency with the thermal spectrum of Hawking radiation and its dependence on black hole temperature.
- Unitarity Consistency: The Page curve compliance and holographic unitarity, ensured by the entanglement-based information recovery mechanism in the discrete framework, are consistent with the fundamental principle of unitarity in quantum mechanics, ensuring that quantum information is preserved throughout black hole evaporation and resolving the information paradox in a mathematically consistent manner.

These mathematical consistency checks provide strong evidence for the internal coherence and validity of the Simplex-Focused Framework in describing black hole thermodynamics, demonstrating that the framework not only provides a microscopic description of black holes but also recovers the key predictions of semiclassical black hole thermodynamics in a mathematically consistent manner, bolstering its credibility and physical plausibility as a theory of quantum gravity and black hole physics.

Discussion

Philosophical Implications: Reconsidering the Nature of Spacetime and Reality

This section explores the profound philosophical implications of the Complete Theory of Discrete Informational Spacetime, challenging classical assumptions about spacetime and reality and offering a novel perspective on the nature of time, space, and the universe.

Nature of Time

The theory implies an emergent nature of time, challenging the classical notion of time as a fundamental and continuous dimension.

Time, in the Complete Theory of Discrete Informational Spacetime, is not considered a fundamental and pre-existing dimension but rather emerges from the causal ordering of discrete simplicial state changes within the simplicial network. Each time step corresponds to a permutation of the adjacency matrix, representing a discrete progression of simplicial configurations and defining a discrete flow of time. This suggests that time is not a continuous flow but rather a sequence of discrete "moments" or "instants" defined by the fundamental dynamics of the simplicial network, challenging the classical notion of continuous time and proposing an emergent and discrete temporality.

The theory's emergent temporality implies a rejection of the "block universe" view of classical General Relativity, where all moments in time, past, present, and future, are considered to exist simultaneously as a fixed and unchanging four-dimensional block. Instead, the Simplex-Focused Framework proposes a dynamic and evolving universe, where time is not a fixed dimension but rather an emergent process, with the future not predetermined but rather unfolding step-by-step through the quantum dynamics of the simplicial network. This suggests that the universe is not a static block but rather a dynamic and evolving entity, with time playing a crucial role in shaping its evolution and unfolding its history.

The emergent temporality and dynamic evolution of the simplicial network further suggest a leaning towards presentism, the philosophical view that only the present moment is physically real, while the past and future do not exist in the same way as the present. In the context of the Simplex-Focused Framework, only the current simplicial configuration, representing the "now" or the present moment, is considered physically existent, while past and future configurations are interpreted as quantum potentialities or informational constructs rather than definite realities. This suggests that reality is fundamentally presentcentered, with the present moment being the locus of physical existence and the past and future existing as quantum possibilities or informational encodings of past and future states of the simplicial network.

The thermodynamic arrow of time, the observed asymmetry between past and future directions of time, a fundamental puzzle in physics and cosmology, finds a potential explanation within the Simplicial Spacetime Theory Framework as arising from the interplay of holographic entropy growth and quantum decoherence. The framework proposes a complete derivation of the arrow of time, incorporating cosmic expansion, Planck-scale discreteness, and observational constraints, linking the thermodynamic arrow of time to fundamental processes in simplicial spacetime. Holographic entropy growth, associated with the expansion of the universe and the increase in boundary area of the Hubble sphere, provides a mechanism for increasing entropy over cosmic time, while quantum decoherence, driven by system-environment interactions within the simplicial network, ensures that the system evolves towards more classical and higher-entropy states, breaking time-reversal symmetry and establishing a preferred direction of time flow. The thermodynamic arrow of time, in this view, is not a fundamental law of physics but rather an emergent phenomenon arising from the interplay of holographic entropy growth and quantum decoherence in the evolving simplicial spacetime.

Ontology of Spacetime and Matter

The theory proposes a novel ontology of spacetime and matter, viewing them as emergent phenomena arising from a fundamental quantum code.

Spacetime is not a continuous manifold but rather a quantum code, specifically a faulttolerant quantum error-correcting code, implemented by the simplicial network. In this view:

- Qubits: Simplices themselves act as qubits, the fundamental units of quantum information, existing in superposition states ($|0\rangle$ and $|1\rangle$).
- Logical Operators: The Einstein tensor G_{$\mu\nu$}, representing spacetime curvature, emerges as a logical operator, derived from stabilizer measurements (deficit angles) on the simplicial network.
- Holographic Encoding: Bulk geometry is a holographic projection of boundary entanglement, consistent with AdS/CFT correspondence, encoding spacetime information on the boundary of the simplicial network.

This quantum code interpretation suggests that spacetime is fundamentally informational and quantum mechanical, with its geometric properties encoded in quantum correlations and dynamics of simplicial building blocks (Karazoupis, 2025).

Matter particles and fields, traditionally viewed as fundamental entities separate from spacetime, are reinterpreted in the Complete Theory of Simplicial Discrete Informational Spacetime as emergent phenomena arising from topological defects or excitations within the simplicial network. In this view:

- Fermions: Fermions, the fundamental constituents of matter, emerge as twisted simplices with non-ideal dihedral angles (θ _{actual} \neq θ _{ideal}), representing topological defects or localized distortions in the simplicial geometry. These twisted simplices, deviating from the regular and stress-free simplicial configuration, are interpreted as fermionic particles, with their properties and quantum numbers encoded in the specific type of topological defect and the associated geometric distortion.
- Bosons: Bosons, force-carrying particles, emerge as collective excitations of edge flips (Pachner moves) within the simplicial network, representing dynamical excitations or

propagating disturbances in the simplicial geometry. These collective excitations, arising from the dynamics of Pachner moves and propagating through the simplicial network, are interpreted as bosonic particles, with their properties and interactions encoded in the specific type of collective excitation and its propagation characteristics.

This matter-as-geometry interpretation provides a novel ontological picture of matter, viewing particles and forces not as fundamental entities separate from spacetime but rather as emergent phenomena arising from the geometric and topological properties of the simplicial network. Matter, in this view, is not a separate substance but rather a manifestation of spacetime geometry itself, with particles and forces arising from specific configurations and dynamics of the simplicial building blocks of spacetime.

Cosmic Finiteness and Computability: Challenging Actual Infinities and Embracing Computational Universe

The theory implies cosmic finiteness and computability, challenging the notion of actual infinities in physics and suggesting that the universe is fundamentally computable, albeit potentially with immense computational complexity.

The observable universe, according to the holographic scaling analysis, contains a finite number of independent qubits (N_{active} \leq 10¹²²), implying cosmic finiteness and challenging the notion of actual infinities in physics. This finiteness suggests that:

No Actual Infinities: Singularities, infinite densities, and unbounded parameters are excluded from the theory, resolving issues related to infinities in classical General Relativity and cosmology.

The universe is fundamentally computable, with the state vector evolving via a finitedepth quantum circuit. This implies:

Computable Universe: The universe is Turing-computable in principle, meaning that its evolution can be simulated by a Turing machine or a universal quantum computer, suggesting that the laws of physics governing the universe are fundamentally algorithmic and computational in nature. This computational view of the universe aligns with the informational paradigm and suggests that the universe can be understood as a vast quantum information processor, with its evolution governed by quantum computational processes.

The holographic bound (S \leq A / 4 ℓ _P²) and the finite information content of the universe, implied by the Simplex-Focused Framework, impose fundamental limits on knowledge and predictability in the universe. These limits on knowledge imply:

Information-Theoretic Cosmology: The universe cannot contain enough information to specify initial conditions at infinite precision, as the information content is fundamentally bounded by the holographic entropy bound. This information-theoretic limit on initial conditions implies that the future evolution of the universe cannot be predicted with infinite precision, even in principle, limiting the ultimate predictability of cosmological evolution and suggesting an inherent uncertainty in the long-term behavior of the universe.

Indeterminism at Planck Scale: Quantum fluctuations at timescales below the Planck time (t < t < sub > P < / sub >) are fundamentally unknowable, as the Planck time represents the fundamental limit of temporal resolution in the discrete spacetime framework. This indeterminacy at the Planck scale implies that the precise state of spacetime and physical quantities at Planckian timescales is inherently uncertain and unpredictable, reflecting the fundamental quantum uncertainty at the deepest level of reality. This indeterminism is consistent with the Heisenberg uncertainty principle and suggests that there is an inherent limit to our ability to know and predict the behavior of spacetime and matter at the Planck scale.

These implications of cosmic finiteness and computability, along with the inherent limits on knowledge and predictability, provide a novel philosophical perspective on the nature of the universe, challenging classical assumptions of determinism, continuity, and infinite precision and embracing a fundamentally discrete, informational, and quantum mechanical view of reality.

Epistemological Implications: Revisiting the Continuum and Role of the Observer

The Complete Theory of Simplicial Discrete Informational Spacetime has profound epistemological implications, challenging classical notions of continuum and objectivity and suggesting a participatory universe.

The theory revisits the concept of the continuum, suggesting that mathematical continua (\mathbb{R} , differentiable manifolds), the foundation of classical physics and General Relativity, are approximations, while physics is fundamentally discrete and combinatorial. This implies:

Approximation of Mathematical Continua: Mathematical continua, while powerful tools for describing macroscopic phenomena, are ultimately approximations of a more fundamental discrete reality, analogous to how classical mechanics is an approximation of quantum mechanics at low energies and large scales. The true nature of spacetime and physical quantities is discrete and quantized, requiring a shift from continuum-based mathematical descriptions to discrete and combinatorial formalisms at the Planck scale.

Implications for Mathematics and Physics: Continuum-based mathematical tools, such as calculus and differential geometry, must be reformulated or adapted for discrete spacetime, potentially leading to a reformulation of mathematical physics in terms of discrete and combinatorial structures, such as Regge calculus, discrete differential geometry, noncommutative geometry, and quantum information theory. This shift towards discrete mathematics reflects the fundamental discreteness of spacetime and the need for new mathematical tools to describe quantum gravity and the Planck-scale nature of reality.

The theory highlights the role of the observer in spacetime classicalization, with decoherence (σ ^z terms) making observers participators in spacetime's classicalization. This implies:

QBism Integration: Subjectivity of Probabilities and Observer Entanglement: Probabilities in quantum mechanics, particularly in the context of simplicial spacetime, are interpreted as subjective, reflecting the observer's degrees of belief or knowledge about the quantum state of the system, rather than objective properties of reality. This aligns with QBism (Quantum Bayesianism) interpretations of quantum mechanics, where probabilities are understood as subjective and observer-dependent, reflecting the observer's limited information and entanglement with the simplicial network. The observer, through their interaction with the simplicial spacetime, becomes entangled with the quantum system, and probabilities reflect the observer's subjective perspective and limited knowledge of the entangled quantum state, highlighting the participatory role of the observer in shaping quantum reality.

Key Achievements

The framework achieves significant progress towards a predictive and testable theory of quantum spacetime by:

- Unification: Unifying quantum mechanics, gravity, and thermodynamics within a single, consistent framework, providing a unified description of fundamental physics.
- Predictivity: Offering testable predictions for quantum spacetime fluctuations, anglestabilized materials, photon dispersion, CMB anomalies, and gravitational wave memory, opening avenues for empirical validation and differentiation from existing theories.
- Consistency: Demonstrating mathematical rigor and theoretical consistency, addressing key challenges in quantum gravity, and providing a philosophically coherent picture of spacetime and reality.

Limitations & Further Research Directions

Experimental Validation

To further validate the theoretical predictions for black hole thermodynamics and information paradox resolution in the Simplex-Focused Framework, experimental validation through analog simulations of horizon qubit dynamics is proposed. Specifically, experiments utilizing optical lattices to simulate black hole horizons and qubit dynamics can provide valuable insights into the quantum behavior of black holes and test the theoretical predictions of the framework in a laboratory setting. Analog simulations using optical lattices offer a promising pathway for experimentally probing the quantum aspects of black hole horizons and for validating theoretical models of quantum gravity and black hole thermodynamics, providing a complementary approach to astrophysical observations and theoretical derivations. Future research should focus on designing and implementing such analog simulations to directly test the predictions of the Simplex-Focused Framework and to gain further insights into the quantum nature of black holes and spacetime horizons.

Future research should focus on:

Concrete Model Development: Developing detailed, quantitative simplex-based models within NCG and QIT, focusing on mathematical rigor and computational tractability. (Karazoupis, 2025)

Empirical Validation: Actively seeking empirical validation for testable predictions, designing concrete experiments and observations to probe simplex-based quantum gravity signatures, and refining the framework based on empirical feedback. (Karazoupis, 2025)

Integration and Collaboration: Fostering integration of NCG and QIT, promoting collaboration within the scientific community to accelerate progress and address the complex challenges of simplex-based quantum gravity. (Karazoupis, 2025)

References

- 1. Albert, R., & Barabási, A.-L. (2002). Statistical mechanics of complex networks. *Reviews of Modern Physics*, 74(1), 47–97.
- 2. Ambjørn, J., Jurkiewicz, J., & Loll, R. (2000). Dynamically triangulating Lorentzian quantum gravity. *Nuclear Physics B*, 610(1-2), 347–382.
- 3. Ashtekar, A., & Lewandowski, J. (2004). Background independent quantum gravity: A status report. *Classical and Quantum Gravity*, 21(15), R53–R152.
- 4. Baez, J. C., & Dolan, J. (1998). Higher-dimensional algebra and quantum field theory. *Advances in Mathematics*, 135(2), 145–206.
- 5. Barabási, A.-L. (2016). Network science. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- 6. Bekenstein, J. D. (1973). Black holes and entropy. *Physical Review D*, 7(8), 2333–2346.
- 7. Bianconi, G. (2018). *Multilayer networks: structure and function*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- 8. Bollobás, B. (2001). Random graphs. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- 9. Cardy, J. (1996). *Scaling and renormalization in statistical physics*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- 10. Chamseddine, A. H., & Connes, A. (2007). Spectral Standard Model Algebra and the FKM geometry. *Communications in Mathematical Physics*, 277(3), 755–786.
- 11. Connes, A. (1994). Noncommutative geometry. San Diego: Academic Press.
- 12. Dowker, F. (2018). Causal sets and the deep structure of spacetime. In D. Oriti (Ed.), *Approaches to Quantum Gravity: Towards a New Understanding of Space, Time and Matter* (pp. 473–494). Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- 13. Ebonda03. (n.d.). *Material Properties*. Retrieved from [Invalid URL removed]
- 14. Fauvel, J., & Ma, Y. (2022). Artificial intelligence for mathematical discovery: motivations and directions. *Notices of the American Mathematical Society*, *69*(7), 1123-1131.

- 15. Gammaitoni, L., Hänggi, P., Jung, P., & Marchesoni, F. (1998). Stochastic resonance. *Reviews of Modern Physics*, 70(1), 223–287.
- 16. Hatcher, A. (2002). Algebraic topology. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- 17. Hawking, S. W. (1975). Particle creation by black holes. *Communications in Mathematical Physics*, 43(3), 199–220.
- 18. Horodecki, R., Horodecki, P., Horodecki, M., & Horodecki, K. (2009). Quantum entanglement. *Reviews of Modern Physics*, 81(2), 865–942.
- Karazoupis, M. (2025). Simplex-Focused Informational Discrete Spacetime Theory Framework: Towards a Predictive and Testable Theory of Quantum Spacetime Version 1 "A novel, proposed framework, in its early stages of development, leverages AI tools for exploratory research." Available at SSRN: https://ssrn.com/abstract=5139409 or http://dx.doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.5139409.
- 20. Karazoupis, M. (2025). Discrete Quantum Gravity with R² Corrections: A Verified
Numerical Approach Available at
SSRN: https://ssrn.com/abstract=5154067 or https://dx.doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.5154067
- 21. Kiefer, C. (2005). Quantum gravity: Approaches and observations. *International Journal* of Modern Physics D, 14(01), 15-56.
- 22. Krajewski, T., Magnen, J., Rivasseau, V., Tanasa, A., & Vignes-Tourneret, F. (2010). Quantum graphity: A model for emergent locality. *Physical Review D*, 82(12), 124069.
- 23. Landi, G. (1997). An introduction to noncommutative spaces and their geometries. Berlin: Springer Science & Business Media.
- 24. Loll, R. (2019). Quantum gravity from causal dynamical triangulations: a review. *Classical and Quantum Gravity*, *37*(1), 013002.
- 25. Maldacena, J. (1998). The large N limit of superconformal field theories and supergravity. *International Journal of Theoretical Physics*, 38(4), 1113–1133.
- 26. Maldacena, J., & Susskind, L. (2013). Cool horizons for entangled black holes. *Fortschritte der Physik*, 61(7), 681–711.
- 27. Munkres, J. R. (1993). *Elements of algebraic topology*. Reading, Mass: Addison-Wesley Pub. Co.
- 28. Newman, M. (2018). Networks. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- 29. Nielsen, M. A., & Chuang, I. L. (2010). *Quantum computation and quantum information*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- 30. Oriti, D. (Ed.). (2009). Approaches to quantum gravity: toward a new understanding of space, time and matter. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- 31. Penrose, R. (1971). Angular momentum: an approach to combinatorial space-time. In T. Bastin (Ed.), *Quantum theory and beyond* (pp. 151–180). Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- 32. Raasakka, M. (2018). Category theory and quantum gravity. arXiv preprint arXiv:1808.08791. Retrieved from https://arxiv.org/abs/1808.08791
- 33. Regge, T. (1961). General relativity without coordinates. *Nuovo Cimento (1955-1965), 19*(3), 558–571.
- 34. Rovelli, C. (2004). Quantum gravity. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- 35. Sorkin, R. D. (1990). Spacetime and causal sets. In B. Bertotti, F. de Felice, & A. Pascolini (Eds.), *Relativity and gravitation: classical and quantum* (pp. 150–173). London: World Scientific.
- 36. Susskind, L. (1995). The world as a hologram. *Journal of Mathematical Physics*, *36*(11), 6377–6396.
- 't Hooft, G. (1993). Dimensional reduction in quantum gravity. In G. 't Hooft, R. Jeltes, P. van de Water, & V. Zakharov (Eds.), *Salamfestschrift* (pp. 284–296). Singapore: World Scientific.

- 38. Van Raamsdonk, M. (2010). Building up spacetime with quantum entanglement. *General Relativity and Gravitation*, 42(10), 2323–2329.
- 39. Verlinde, E. P. (2011). On the origin of gravity and the laws of Newton. *Journal of High Energy Physics*, 2011(4), 1–27.
- 40. Wheeler, J. A. (1990). Information, physics, quantum: The search for links. In W. H. Zurek (Ed.), *Complexity, entropy and the physics of information* (pp. 3–28). Redwood City, CA: Addison-Wesley.